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Abstract: The current research aims to investigate the multiple mediation of loneliness and negative affects in the relationship between adolescents’ social anxiety and depressive symptoms. Study participants, selected through convenience sampling, consisted of a total of 263 students, including 155 females (59%) and 108 males (41%), attending various high schools in a city in the mid Black Sea Region. Participant students’ ages ranged between 14 and 18, with an average age of 15.05 (SD=9.0). Data for the current study were collected through the Social Anxiety Scale for Adolescents, UCLA Loneliness Scale – Short Form, Positive and Negative Affect Schedule for Adolescents, Depression Scale for Children, and Personal Information Form. Current research data were analyzed through descriptive statistics, Pearson correlation coefficient, and an approach based on Ordinary Least Squares Regression, and the Bootstrap Method. The current study findings indicated that loneliness and negative affects, both separately and together, mediated the relationship between social anxiety and depressive symptoms. No significant difference was found in the comparison conducted to reveal the more powerful mediation variable in terms of mediation effect. In addition, it was found that the model overall was significant and it explained 44% of the total variance in depressive symptoms. A discussion about and interpretation of findings obtained in the current study were included along with suggestions for relevant practitioners.
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Introduction

Adolescence covers a period when efforts for independence and autonomy demands increase (Temel & Aksoy, 2001), beginning with puberty, continuing to adulthood, and consisting of a transitory period between childhood and adulthood (Yazgan, İnanç, Bilgin & Kılıç-Atıcı, 2012). Adolescence is a period of biological, psychological, social, and economic transitions (Steinberg, 2007). Even though adolescence is not always turbulent, it is a period when physical, cognitive, social, and emotional changes are experienced intensely. Adolescence is also a critical period in terms of social change in close friendships, romantic relationships, and social network expansion. The relationships between these important changes in adolescents’ social encounters and various aspects of mental health have been investigated by many. It is important that depression and anxiety in particular are studied in adolescence (La Greca & Harrison, 2005). Social anxiety and depression are the two most widely encountered psychological disorders (Davidson, Wingate, Grant, Judah & Mills, 2011), very often accompanying each other, as common mental health problems among adolescents (Hamilton et al., 2016; Kleemans, Curtiss, McLaughlin & Nolen-Hoeksema, 2016; La Greca, Ehrenreich-May, Mufson & Chan, 2016). High levels of social anxiety are common between the ages of 10 and 19. The prevalence of social anxiety disorder was 11% and that of depression was 27% in the study conducted by Beesdo et al. (2007). Stein et al. (2001) found the prevalence of social anxiety in adolescents to be 7.2% and Mehtalia & Vankar (2004) found it to be 12.8% in their study. Social anxiety disorder, encountered during pre-adolescence, increases the risk for depression (Beesdo et al., 2007). In addition, adolescents with social anxiety are three times more likely to exhibit depressive symptoms for life than those without it (Kessler, Stang, Witteman, Stein & Walters, 1999). Longitudinal studies indicated that depression may begin around ages 11 – 14 and increase later (Merikangas, Nakamura & Kessler, 2009). A review of studies conducted in Turkey indicates that depression is an important problem among youth (Emiroğlu, Murat & Bindak, 2011; Eskin, Ertekin, Harlak & Dereboy, 2008; Ozfirat, Pehlivan & Özdemir, 2009) and, similarly, social anxiety is very prevalent (Gültekin & Dereboy, 2011;
adolescence. Peer rejection is associated with social sustainability of positive peer relationships in childhood and tasks to be achieved (Gander & Gardiner, 2004; Havighurst, accepted by them are among the psycho-social development independent, initiating relationships with adults and being accepted to a community, becoming emotionally independent, initiating relationships with adults and being accepted to encounter problems in academic issues, peer relationships, and social skills (Aydin & Tekinsav-Sütcü, 2007; Beidel, Turner & Morris, 1999; Ginsburg, La Greca & Silverman, 1998; Greco & Morris, 2005; Khalid-Khan, Santibanez, McMicken & Rynn, 2007; La Greca & Lopez, 1998; Spence, Donovan & Brechman-Toussaint, 1999), to experience attachment problems (Bayramkaya, 2010), and to have self-destructive thoughts and low quality of life (Gültekin & Dereboy, 2010).

In adolescence, building new relationships with peers of both genders, achieving an appropriate social role, feeling belongingness to a community, becoming emotionally independent, initiating relationships with adults and being accepted to the psycho-social development tasks to be achieved (Gander & Gardiner, 2004; Havighurst, 1947). Social anxiety is likely to inhibit the development and sustainment of positive peer relationships in childhood and adolescence. Peer rejection is associated with social withdrawal, behavior inhibition, and anxiety. In addition, negative experiences with peers and lack of self-confidence in an individual’s skills may lead to social anxiety and social withdrawal (Inderbitzen, Walters & Bukowski, 1997). Su, Petit & Erath’s (2016) study on adolescents showed that adolescents rejected by friends and less guided by families experienced more social anxiety. Individuals with high levels of social anxiety have been observed to avoid social environments and to have difficulties initiating and sustaining friendships. Children with social anxiety have been found to experience problems with peers and to prefer to be alone (Bernstein, Bernat, Davis & Layne, 2008). In relevant studies, social anxiety, similarly, is found to be significantly associated with loneliness (Johnson, Lavoe & Mahoney, 2001; Sübaşı, 2007). Again, Lim, Rodebaugh, Zyphur & Gleeson’s (2016) study showed that, in six months, the social anxiety measured in the first months significantly predicted the loneliness measured later. Another study (Ebesutani et al., 2015), loneliness was found to mediate between anxiety and loneliness both in a clinical sample consisting of children and youth and in a regular population consisting of children and youth. Researchers (Ebesutani et al., 2015) particularly emphasized that loneliness had a significant relationship with anxiety and increased the depression risk. Lonely individuals experience more anxiety about being unwanted by others in interpersonal interactions. They think about themselves negatively, particularly in social spheres (Wilbert & Rupert, 1986).

Lonely individuals experience more negative and fewer positive affects (van Roekel et al., 2013). According to Moore & Schultz (1983), adolescence years consist particularly of an important developmental period of life because the feeling of loneliness is most widely-encountered in this time (Heinrich & Gullone, 2006). Moore & Schultz (1983), in their study on adolescents, found that loneliness was positively related to anxiety, depression, external locus of control, and social anxiety and negatively related to life satisfaction, happiness, attractiveness, and friendliness. According to the researchers, loneliness may be associated with low levels of social risk taking and social anxiety, and lack of social skills may be a symptom of loneliness or a leading factor in loneliness. Santrock (2011) stated that adolescents who are rejected by their peers, with no close relationships and inadequate communication with friends, had increasing inclinations towards depression. Significant relationships between loneliness and depression were found in many studies (Hsu, Hailey & Range, 1987; Kim, 2001; Lasgaard, Goossens & Ellkot, 2011; Rich & Scevel, 1987; Swami et al., 2007; Qualter, Brown, Munn & Rotenberg, 2010). According to the results of these studies in the relevant literature, lonely individuals are more likely to be depressed.

Depression manifests itself through negative affects, behavioral problems, maladjustment (Lau, Chan & Lau, 1999), and dysfunctional coping styles (Sanjuan & Magallares, 2015). According to Kashdan (2004), depressive symptoms are associated with negative subjective experiences and lack of positive subjective experiences. Similarly, excessive social anxiety is associated with low levels of positive affects and high levels of negative affects. Lim, Rodebaugh, Zyphur & Gleeson’s (2016) study showed that, in six months, the loneliness measured in the first months significantly predicted the depression measured later. Vittingel & Holt (1998) stated that individuals with social anxiety often experienced fewer positive and more negative emotions. This mood state can be associated with low quality communication or being disturbed by social evaluations in interactions with non-acquaintances. In the researchers’ study, it was found that individuals with high levels of social anxiety experienced more negative emotions than individuals with low levels of social anxiety did. Thus, individuals with depression and anxiety experience more negative emotions. In another
study, adolescents experiencing more social anxiety and depression were found to have decreased emotional awareness and difficulties expressing and managing emotions (Klemanski, Curtiss, McLaughlin & Nolen-Hoeksema, 2016). As can be seen, depressive and anxious individuals experience more negative emotions and find it difficult to regulate their emotions. The threefold structure suggested by Clark & Watson (1991) included some common aspects, such as anxiety and depression, disturbance and negative emotions; however, it differs with physiological stimulation in anxiety and lack of positive emotions in depression.

According to the above studies from the relevant literature and theoretical information, social anxiety, loneliness, negative affects, and depressive symptoms are directly interrelated concepts. Particularly when social anxiety and depressive symptoms are considered to be closely related and accompanying each other, it may be important to investigate the mediation role of loneliness and negative affects that could impact the relationships between these variables. This is because social anxiety may be increasing adolescent depression leading to loneliness and negative affects. Defining these relationships may contribute to protective and preventive intervention studies on adolescents. Thus, the suggested model in Figure 1 is included in the current research.

As can be seen in Figure 1, based on the relevant literature review, social anxiety, depressive symptoms, loneliness, and negative affects in adolescents are predicted to be interrelated. Hence, in the current research, both serial and separate mediation roles of loneliness and negative affects in the relationship between social anxiety and depressive symptoms were investigated.

**Method**

**Participants**

Research participants, selected through convenience sampling, consisted of a total of 263 students, including 155 females (59%) and 108 males (41%), attending three different Anadolu high schools in a city in the mid Black Sea Region. Participant students’ ages ranged between 14 and 18, with an average age of 15.05 (SD=.90).

**Measures**

*Social Anxiety Scale for Adolescents (SASA):* The Social Anxiety Scale for Adolescents was developed by La Greca & Lopez (1998) to measure the social anxiety levels of adolescents. Adaptation studies of the SASA into the Turkish language were conducted by Aydin & Tekinsav-Sütcü (2007). SASA validity and reliability studies included 1242 adolescents (643 females and 599 males) between the ages of 12 and 15. The SASA is a 5-point Likert-type scale with a minimum score of 18 and maximum obtainable score of 90. There are three sub-scales available on the SASA: Fear of Negative Evaluation (FNE), General Social Avoidance and Emotional Distress (G-SAED), and Social Avoidance and Emotional Distress in Unfamiliar Situations (SAED-US). Internal consistency values calculated for SASA reliability were between .66 and .91. Among the sub-scales, correlation values were found to be .52 and .71. In the current study, the internal consistency coefficient was .90.

*UCLA Loneliness Scale – Short Form (ULS-8):* The UCLA Loneliness Scale – Short Form was developed by Hays &
Results of the explanatory factor analysis conducted for ULS-8 construct validity showed that the adapted scale was single-dimensional, as in the original form. Factor load values of the scale were stated to range between .31 and .71. ULS-8 fit values were found to be $\chi^2=27.12$, $df=14$, $\chi^2/df=1.94$, RMSEA=.06, SRMR=.04, GFI=.97, AGFI=.95, CFI=.98, NFI=.96, and NNFI=.97 through confirmatory factor analysis. In the analyses conducted for ULS-8 criterion validity, significant-level relationships, as expected, between loneliness and general belonging (-.71) and loneliness and life satisfaction (-.42) were found. The Cronbach’s alpha internal consistency coefficient of the ULS-8 was stated to be $\alpha=.74$. The scale composite reliability was .75 and average variance extracted value was .40. The ULS-8 test-retest reliability coefficient, with a two-week interval, was stated as .84 (Yıldız & Duy, 2014). In the current study, the internal consistency coefficient was found to be .68.

Positive and Negative Affect Schedule for Adolescents (PANAS-A): The PANAS-A, developed by Laurent et al. (1999), was adapted into the Turkish language by Yıldız (2014). A short form of the scale was created based on the analyses conducted. The scale has 14 items, including 7 positive affects and 7 negative affects. In Yıldız’s (2014) study, measurement invariance for genders was provided. The fit values based on the confirmatory factor analysis were stated as follows: $\chi^2=164.63$, $df=76$, $\chi^2/df=2.17$, RMSEA=.06, SRMR=.05, GFI=.93, AGFI=.90, and CFI=.98. Cronbach’s alpha values calculated for the scale’s internal consistency were found to be .91 for positive affects and .79 for negative affects. In addition, the PANAS-A composite reliability values were found to be .91 for negative affects and .79 for positive affects, as well as average variance extracted values of .76 for positive affects and .46 for negative affects. The scale test-retest reliability with a three-week interval was .70 for positive affects and .63 for negative affects. In the scale validity study, the PANAS-A was found to exhibit a significant relationship between life satisfaction and depressive symptoms (Yıldız, 2014). In the current research, the negative affect sub-scale of the PANAS-A was used and its internal consistency coefficient was found to be .79.

Children’s Depression Inventory (CDI): The CDI was developed by Kovacs (1985) and the adaptation studies of the CDI into Turkish language were conducted by Öy (1991). For the scale validity, 59 randomly selected students from every grade level were interviewed about depression and the Childhood Depression Rating Scale was applied. Depression diagnosis was based on DSM III criteria. Thus, scale sensitivity was found to be at the 60% level for children with major depression and depressive symptoms. For these students, the correlation between the total scores of the CDI and Childhood Depression Rating Scale was .61. CDI test-retest reliability with a one-week interval was stated to be .80 (Öy, 1991). In the current study, the scale internal consistency coefficient was found to be .82.

Procedure

Upon obtaining required permission from the Department of Education, researchers collected data on adolescents attending various high schools. Measures were applied on a voluntary basis; those volunteering to participate in the current study were briefly given information assuring that the research had nothing to do with their classes and the data collected would not be used for any purpose other than the current research. Data collection lasted approximately 20 minutes. Descriptive analyses and Pearson correlation coefficients were used for the data analyses. The statistical significance of the mediation effects in the serial mediation model tested in the current study was examined through PROCESS software developed by Hayes (2012, 2013), an approach based on ordinary least squares regression and the Bootstrap Method. In the current study, 10000 Bootstrap samples were used for mediation analyses. Bootstrap analyses in the current research were conducted through PROCESS Macro-based Multiple Mediation Model 6. The significance level for the current study was set at .05. The IBM SPSS 22.0 software package was used in the current research for data analyses.

Results

Pearson correlation analysis was conducted to define the relationships among social anxiety, loneliness, negative affect, and depressive symptoms. Findings obtained and descriptive statistics are included in Table 1.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics and Pearson correlation coefficient values for the study variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Kurtosis</th>
<th>Skewness</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Social anxiety</td>
<td>38.81</td>
<td>12.98</td>
<td>.31</td>
<td>.64</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Loneliness</td>
<td>13.12</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>-.31</td>
<td>.49</td>
<td>.37**</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Negative affects</td>
<td>16.23</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>.83</td>
<td>.30**</td>
<td>.28**</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Depressive symp.</td>
<td>41.46</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>.90</td>
<td>.87</td>
<td>.33**</td>
<td>.42**</td>
<td>.61**</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N=263, **p<.01

A review of the values in Table 1 indicates that the kurtosis and skewness values of all variables in the current study range between +1 and -1; thus, distribution of the data was at normal levels (Tabachnick & Fidell, 2013). Results of the correlation analysis indicated positive mid-level significant relationships between social anxiety and loneliness and negative affects and depressive symptoms. In addition, positive significant relationships, at low and mid-levels, were found between loneliness and negative affects and depressive symptoms. Also, a high-level, positive significant relationship between negative affects and depressive symptoms was
found. Figure 2 includes the results associated with the serial mediation of loneliness and negative affects in the relationship between social anxiety and depressive symptoms.

A review of Figure 2 indicated a significant-level total effect ($c=.18$, $SE=.03$, $t=5.59$, $p<.001$) of social anxiety on depressive symptoms (Step 1). The direct effects of social anxiety on loneliness ($B=.12$, $SE=.02$, $t=6.40$, $p<.001$) and negative affects ($B=.11$, $SE=.03$, $t=3.61$, $p<.001$), as mediating variables, were also significant. The direct effect of loneliness, as the first mediating variable, on negative affects ($B=.27$, $SE=.09$, $t=2.97$, $p<.001$), as the second mediating variable, was also significant (Step 2). A review of the direct effects of mediating variables on depressive symptoms indicated that the effects of loneliness ($B=.37$, $SE=.08$, $t=4.40$, $p<.001$) and negative affects ($B=.61$, $SE=.06$, $t=10.54$, $p<.001$) were also statistically significant (Step 3). The relationship between social anxiety and depressive symptoms was not found to be significant ($c'=.05$, $SE=.03$, $t=1.73$, $p>.05$) in terms of the direct effect when social anxiety and both mediating variables were simultaneously entered into the equation (Step 4). Such results indicate that mediating variables mediate between social anxiety and depressive symptoms. In addition, it was found that the model overall showed significant levels ($F(4, 258)=50.59$, $p<.001$) and explained 44% of the variance in depressive symptoms. Table 2 includes a comparison of direct and specific indirect effects of adolescents' social anxiety on depressive symptoms, through loneliness and negative affects.

**Table 2.** The comparison of direct and specific indirect effects of adolescents' social anxiety on depressive symptoms, through loneliness and negative emotions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effects</th>
<th>Product of coefficients</th>
<th>Bootstrapping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>95% BCa Confidence Interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Point Estimate</td>
<td>SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Indirect Effects</td>
<td>.1292</td>
<td>.0261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Anxiety → Loneliness → Depressive Symp.</td>
<td>.0450</td>
<td>.0132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Anxiety → Loneliness → Neg. Emotions → Depressive Symp.</td>
<td>.0197</td>
<td>.0095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Anxiety → Neg. affects → Depressive Symp.</td>
<td>.0644</td>
<td>.0231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contrasts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1 versus Model 2</td>
<td>.0253</td>
<td>.0158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1 versus Model 3</td>
<td>-.0194</td>
<td>.0266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2 versus Model 3</td>
<td>-.0447</td>
<td>.0276</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: N=263, k=10000, *$p<.05$, **$p<.01$, ***$p<.001$, BCa: Bias corrected and accelerated 10000 bootstrap samples, Model 1 = Social Anxiety – Loneliness – Depressive Symptoms; Model 2 = Social Anxiety – Loneliness – Negative Affects – Depressive Symptoms; Model 3 = Social Anxiety – Negative Affects – Depressive Symptoms.

The statistical significance of the indirect effects in the model tested in the current research was examined on 10000 bootstrap samples. Estimates were taken within a 95% confidence interval, and bias-corrected and accelerated results are presented in Table 2. Table 2 indicates that the total indirect effect (namely, the difference between the total and in-
The current research investigated the mediating roles of loneliness and negative affects in the relationship between adolescents’ social anxiety and depressive symptoms. The current study results indicated that the predictor variable of social anxiety predicted depression, loneliness, and negative affects. Loneliness, one of the mediating variables, predicted negative affects, another mediating variable. Both mediating variables predicted depression, an outcome variable. Mediation analysis showed no significant direct relationship between social anxiety as the predictor variable and depression as the outcome variable. Thus, it may be said that mediating variables mediated between social anxiety and depressive symptoms. In addition, it was found that the model overall showed significant levels and explained 44% of the total variance in depressive symptoms. The comparison conducted to determine what mediating variable was stronger than the others in terms of mediation effect did not indicate any significant difference. Hence, it can be concluded that the mediating effects of both loneliness and negative affects and the effects of multiple serial mediation of both variables between social anxiety and depression were within a close range.

The current study results seemed consistent with those in the relevant literature. In some studies, social anxiety was found to predict depression (Beesdo et al., 2007; Davidson et al., 2011; Gültékin & Dereboy, 2011; Horn & Wuyek, 2010; Kocabasoglu, Doksat & Dogangun, 2004; Ottenbreit et al., 2014; Stein et al., 2001). This result is overwhelmingly supported in the relevant literature; adolescents with high levels of social anxiety avoid social environments as they think their behaviors would be considered worthless and inadequate (Türkçapar, 1999).

Problems of youth embarrassed to meet new people and unable to develop meaningful relationships with the people they meet are likely to increase. Thus, social anxiety not only presents itself in daily challenges but can also lead to serious issues such as suicide (Sübaş, 2007). Adolescents with high levels of social anxiety may feel inadequate about social interactions; thus, they may experience loneliness and negative affects that lead to feelings of guilt along with depressive symptoms. In addition, individuals with social anxiety may feel badly and be depressed each time they experience anxiety in social environments. When they are unable to cope with the anxiety, they may suffer learned helplessness due to dysfunctional thinking and their depression will continue.

That social anxiety predicts loneliness and negative affects is consistent with the findings of studies in the relevant literature (Johnson et al., 2001; Lim, Rodebaugh, Zypurh, Gleeson, 2016; Sübaş, 2007; van Roekel et al., 2013). Adolescents with high levels of social anxiety may avoid social environments through avoidance methods and when experiencing problems socializing with peers, be depressed due to loneliness and negative emotions. Adolescence is a time when social anxiety and loneliness in particular are intensely experienced. Adolescents with social anxiety may prefer loneliness because they think that they are unwanted and evaluated negatively by others. When adolescents in need of peer support are rejected by their peers, they experience more social anxiety (Su, Pettit & Erath, 2016). When the emphasis on peer relationships during adolescence is taken into account, an adolescent is likely to experience loneliness and negative affects upon being unable to initiate and sustain relationships. On the other hand, adolescents with high levels of social anxiety may feel lonely upon thinking that this situation will not change for the better as they often experience anxiety. Many studies showed that individuals with high levels of social anxiety experienced more negative affects than those with low levels of social anxiety did and were unable to functionally regulate their emotions (Contardi et al., 2012; Klemanski, Curtiss, McLaughlin & Nolen-Hoeksema 2016; Rusch, Westermann & Lincoln, 2012; Turk, Heimberg, Luterek, Mennin & Fresco, 2005). According to Eisen, Johnson & Carver (2009), individuals with social anxiety may try to lessen their anxiety about their looks, intensely experienced in social environments, by suppressing these feelings. Similarly, Werner, Goldin, Ball, Heimberg & Gross (2011) found that individuals with social anxiety avoided regulation their affects and, instead of showing their feelings, they suppressed them. These individuals felt less self-confident about cognitive re-evaluation. Similarly, Klemanski, Curtiss, McLaughlin & Nolen-Hoeksema (2016) found that adolescents experiencing more social anxiety and depression had less emotional awareness and difficulties expressing and managing their emotions.
of the current research, it may be concluded that adolescents with social anxiety have feelings of loneliness and negative affects due to difficulties regulating emotions about their anxiety and, thus, experience depressive symptoms. Loneliness as a predictor of depression is consistent with the results of previous research in the literature (Hsu, Hailey & Range 1987; Kim, 2001; Lasgaard, Goossens & Ellkit 2011, Lim, Rodebaugh, Zyphur & Gleeson, 2016). Since loneliness manifests through negative affects, isolation, and maladjustment, it is natural for adolescents to experience depressive symptoms. It is important for adolescents, in terms of feeling good during this period, to be emotionally nourished by peer relationships and to have friends as emotional support (Buhrmester & Furman, 1987). In order for adolescents to adjust properly, they must build close friendships (Buhrmester, 1990). Interacting with other people and socializing can be considered natural inclinations. Baumeister & Leary (1995) stated that people have a basic motivation to build permanent and meaningful interpersonal relationships. Thus, it is reasonable for adolescents who cannot build much-needed close and meaningful relationships with peers to exhibit negative emotions and depressive symptoms.

Thus, the mediation of loneliness and negative affects between social anxiety and depression is consistent with the findings in the literature. It may be considered natural for adolescents with social anxiety to avoid relationships and to experience negative affects since they are unable to fulfill basic needs by building social relationships. As adolescents become lonelier and experience negative affects, they are more likely to have depressive symptoms. An important aspect of both social anxiety and depression is negative affects (Clark & Watson, 1991; Kashdan, 2004). However, depression is an emotional dysregulation. Thus, the mediation role of negative affects can be considered very significant. Social anxiety is associated with the self-evaluation of an individual as someone negative and unwanted. Hence, in Beck’s cognitive model (2001), an individual’s thoughts in one situation influence the same individual’s perception of this situation. This effect is reflected in the situation-specific automatic thoughts and emotions that the individual experiences. This can be understood well when the increase in social anxiety and sensitivity towards being rejected by others, particularly during adolescence, is considered. An individual with high levels of social anxiety is consumed by the idea that others would evaluate him/her negatively in a social environment and this may lead to negative emotions, such as anger and sadness. Similarly, an individual with social anxiety may avoid social environments and prefer loneliness because s/he does not feel comfortable among others and finds social environments difficult. Miers, Bloëte, Heyne & Westenberg’s (2014) study findings indicated that, towards the end of adolescence, avoiding social environments seemed to increase and there was a significant relationship between avoiding social environments and social anxiety. Preferring loneliness may dissatisfy the need to belong and join in a prominent group; thus, negative affects and depressive symptoms may prevail.

Adolescence should be considered a critical period due to experiences of social anxiety, depression, loneliness, and negative affects. In educational institutions, students who are experiencing high levels of social anxiety and loneliness should be identified and interventions, targeting social anxiety in particular, should be undertaken. Ebesutani et al., (2015) stated that psychological disorders often were not noticed during school years but noticed when an important associated problem occurred; in addition, school staff was incompetent in identifying those psychological disorders. The importance of early intervention has been known for years in psychology literature. Scanning, identifying, referring, and following up with various psychological problems of students during school years will prevent more severe problems in later years from occurring. At this point, particularly mental health experts employed at schools may be notified and their competence may be developed. Cognitive and behavioral interventions to reduce social anxiety and loneliness in groups or for individuals are preferable. Students with high levels of social anxiety may be referred to student clubs that require social interaction, which may provide a basis for them to cope with social anxiety. In addition, for students experiencing negative affects intensely as well as the inability to regulate their emotions, both group and individual interventions about functionally regulating emotions may be planned. In further studies, emotion regulation strategies as a mediating variable may be tested. Also, the effects of variables such as perceived social support, belongingness, and self-competence on the relationships between social anxiety and depressive symptoms may be investigated.

The current study had some limitations. First, as the participants were not known to have any clinical problems, all students were considered to have no mental problems at the clinical level. Second, considering cultural differences are important, particularly in terms of their effects on social anxiety, the generalizability of the current study findings may be deemed limited because the sample was drawn in one city within one region.
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