Decrease in viral load at weeks 12 and 24 in patients with chronic hepatitis B treated with lamivudine or adefovir predicts virological response at week 48
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ABSTRACT

**Aim:** the aim of our study was to evaluate the decrease in viral load (VL) that is able to predict antiviral treatment response at one year in patients with chronic hepatitis B.

**Methods:** the clinical records of 66 patients, 31 treated with lamivudine (LAM) and 35 treated with adefovir (ADF), were retrospectively reviewed. We measured viral DNA at months 1, 3 and 6.

**Results:** the LAM group showed virological response (VR) in 51.6% of patients. Baseline VL was higher in non responders (5.37 ± 1.16 vs. 7.01 ± 1.05; p < 0.001). Responders showed a higher percentage of VL decrease at month 3 from baseline (49.2% ± 13.6% vs. 38.3%; p = 0.03). We designed a ROC curve and established a cutoff point for decrease of 30% that had 80% of negative predictive value (NPV).

The ADF group showed VR in 57.1% of patients. Baseline VL was higher in nonresponders (4.67 ± 1.22 vs. 5.78 ± 1.34; p = 0.01). We observed a significant decrease in VL (log) at months 3 (2.6 ± 1.1 vs. 1.3 ± 1.3; p = 0.03) and 6 (2.6 ± 1.2 vs. 1.3 ± 1.2; p = 0.006). The percentage of decrease of VL from baseline was also statistically significant. We created ROC curves at months 3 and 6, and established the best cutoff points. At month 6 a decrease of 1 log in VL had a NPV of 80%, and a decrease of 20% in VL from baseline had 100% NPV.

**Conclusion:** the decrease in viral DNA at weeks 12 and 24 can predict VR at one year in patients with chronic hepatitis B treated with LAM or ADF. This could optimize treatment.
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INTRODUCTION

Hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection affects 350-400 million people all over the world (1,2). Patients can develop chronic hepatitis, hepatic cirrhosis or hepatocellular carcinoma in 25-40% of cases (3,4). The progression of liver disease depends on active viral replication, and the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma and cirrhosis development is directly proportional to persistently high viral DNA levels (5,6).

The main goal of treatment in chronic hepatitis B is the prevention of cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma, a reduction of liver transplant needs, an increase in survival, and improved quality of life. HBsAg loss and seroconversion to anti HBs antibodies only occur in 5% of patients (7). This is the reason why current treatments pursue VL suppression in order to prevent disease progression (8,10,11). In patients with positive HBeAg, seroconversion to anti-HBe antibodies is also pursued (9-11). In the last ten years very effective treatments have been developed to inhibit viral replication. These treatments improve patients both clinically and histologically (5,10,11).

Lamivudine is a nucleoside analogue that has shown efficacy in patients with chronic hepatitis B infection, specially in those with negative antigen e. Patients on lamivudine show in more than 90% of cases a quick and significant reduction of VL (12,13). However, they have a high proportion of resistance. Recent reports have shown that resistant HBV variants are found in up to
15% of patients with positive HBeAg, and up to 10% with negative HBeAg at one year after lamivudine treatment onset (14-16).

Adefovir is a nucleotide analogue and offers a biochemical and histological response similar to lamivudine (17,18), however, its ability to suppress VL is lower and slower than lamivudine’s (19). Adefovir has been shown to be effective in naïve patients and in lamivudine-resistant patients. When adefovir is compared to lamivudine less resistance has been found (19).

Despite the high antiviral effectiveness of adefovir and lamivudine these drugs cannot eliminate HBV; due to this, their benefit depends on their ability to maintain VL suppression. Their inability to eradicate HBV calls for long treatment periods that otherwise increase the risk of selecting viral resistant variants. This can induce treatment failure and a worsening of liver lesions (20). Thus, appropriate follow-up is essential to early detect the appearance of resistance in order to decrease their negative impact.

Previous studies in patients with chronic hepatitis B on lamivudine have suggested that early VL measurement can identify different response patterns (21-23). These studies have correlated early nonresponse with a later development of resistance. However, not many studies have focused on viral kinetics in patients treated with lamivudine or adefovir, and the role of early VL suppression is not well established. Logically, patients without an early response will not respond later, so knowing the characteristics of this group of nonresponders would be useful in order to adopt different therapeutic strategies with them.

The aim of our study was to know whether there was an initial decrease of VL able to predict response to antiviral treatment with lamivudine or adefovir at one year in patients with chronic hepatitis B.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

We analyzed the clinical records of 66 patients with chronic hepatitis B who had started treatment with adefovir or lamivudine between 2001 and 2006 in our Unit. We did not include patients with liver cirrhosis or hepatocellular carcinoma on antiviral therapy. Of 66 patients, 31 were treated with lamivudine and 35 were treated with adefovir. Epidemiological data, HBV DNA, and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) levels at months 1, 3, 6 and 12 from treatment onset were collected. We defined VR at one year from treatment onset as the finding of undetectable DNA (< 200 copies by Cobas-Core® Roche) and BR at one year as the normalization of ALT values (ULN 40 U/L). We measured the decrease in viremia in logarithms and in percentage of decrease from baseline.

Two groups of treatment were analyzed independently. Continuous data were represented with mean ± standard deviation, while categorical data were represented in frequencies (%). Continuous variable comparisons were done with Student’s t-test. Categorical data were compared with the χ² test. ROC curves were made in order to define the best cutoff points for decrease in viral load able to predict VR at one year from treatment onset. Statistical significance was established at p = 0.05. The statistical analysis was done with SPSS 13.0 (© SPSS inc., Chicago, IL, USA) for Windows® XP (Microsoft Corp.).

**RESULTS**

**Lamivudine**

Of all 66 patients, 31 received lamivudine. Table I shows the baseline characteristics of patients – mean age was 38 years (SD 12), with male and negative HBeAg predominance. Infection transmission was unknown in the majority of cases, and 10 patients had received previous treatments with α-interferon or peglated interferon.

We observed VR in 16/31 (51.6%) patients, and BR in 22/31 (70.96%) patients. Responders had a significantly lower VL (log) as compared to nonresponders (7.01 ± 1.05 vs. 5.37 ± 1.16; p < 0.001). The decrease in logarithms and in percentage of decrease from baseline for responders and nonresponders are shown in table II. The decrease in logarithms for responders and nonresponders was similar. We only observed significant differences in the percentage of VL decrease from baseline at month 3. This decrease was higher in responders (49.2 vs. 38.3%; p = 0.03). These data allowed to create a ROC curve (AUC = 0.675) (Fig. 1) and to establish a cutoff point for VL decrease from baseline equal to or less than 30%, which had a sensitivity of 92% and a negative predictive value of 80%.

![ROC curve](image-url)
We included 10 patients with positive HBeAg and 21 patients with negative HBeAg in the lamivudine group. Patients with positive HBeAg had a higher baseline VL than patients with negative HBeAg (6.7 vs. 5.9 log; p = 0.7). Three (30%) patients with positive HBeAg showed VR at one year from treatment onset. Thirteen (61.9%) of the patients with negative HBeAg showed VR at one year from treatment onset. Due to the small number of patients with positive HBeAg it was impossible to compare decreases in VL and to create ROC curves to find different cutoff points that could predict response at one year from treatment onset. Negative HBeAg patients had significant differences between nonresponders and responders in the percentage of VL decrease from baseline at month 3 (44.0 vs. 52.5%; p = 0.005). We created a ROC curve (AUC = 0.6) at month 3 and found a cutoff point equal to or less than 30% that had 100% sensitivity and 100% negative predictive value.

**Adefovir**

Of all 66 patients with chronic hepatitis B, 35 received adefovir. The baseline characteristics of patients are shown in Table I. Mean age was 42 (SD 14) years, with male sex and negative HBeAg predominance. The transmission of infection was unknown in most cases. Of 35 patients, 24 had received lamivudine before, and 2 had received alfa-interferon or pegilated interferon. In this group, 20 out of 34 (57.1%) had VR and 25 out of 34 (73.5%) had BR. Responders had a lower baseline VL (log) compared to nonresponders (4.67 ± 1.22 vs. 5.78 ± 1.34; p = 0.01). Besides, there was a significant decrease in logarithms in the group of responders at months 3 (2.6 ± 1.1 vs. 1.3 ± 1.3; p = 0.03), and 6 (2.6 ± 1.2 vs. 1.3 ± 1.2; p = 0.006) from treatment onset with adefovir (Table II). The decrease in VL from baseline (%) was also significantly higher in responders at months 1, 3 and 6 from treatment onset. With these data we created ROC curves at months 3 and 6 for VL decrease in logarithms and in percentage of decrease from baseline (Figs. 2 and 3), and established the best cutoff points to predict VR at one year from treatment onset. At month 6 a decrease in viral load of 1 log had 93% sensitivity and 80% negative predictive value, and a percentage of VL decrease from baseline equal to or less than 20% had 100% sensitivity and 100% negative predictive value.

There were 11 patients with positive HBeAg and 24 patients with negative HBeAg. Baseline VL was higher in patients with positive HBeAg (6.2 vs. 4.7 log; p = 0.2). VR at one year from treatment onset was higher in negative HBeAg patients (75.0 vs. 18.2%, p = 0.002). Negative and positive HBeAg responders showed higher VL decreases during follow-up, both in logarithms and in percentage of VL decrease from baseline. The small number of patients with positive HBeAg did not allow comparisons between responders and nonresponders. In the group of negative HBeAg subjects the percentage of VL decrease from baseline was significantly higher in responders (48.4 vs. 31.14; p = 0.02). This allowed to create a ROC curve (AUC = 0.8) and to establish a cutoff point for VL decrease equal to or less than 20% from baseline that had 100% sensitivity and 100% negative predictive value.

### Table I. Baseline characteristics of patients treated with lamivudine and adefovir

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age at treatment onset (years)</th>
<th>Lamivudine (n = 31)</th>
<th>Adefovir (n = 35)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>38 (SD 12)</td>
<td>42 (SD 14)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex (male:female)</th>
<th>24:7</th>
<th>31:4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transmission</th>
<th>Lamivudine</th>
<th>Adefovir</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transfusion</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perinatal</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HBeAg (+ : -)</th>
<th>10:21</th>
<th>11:24</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Table II. Differences in pattern of viral load decrease in responders vs. nonresponders to lamivudine and adefovir

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean VL decrease in logarithms (log)</th>
<th>Lamivudine</th>
<th>Adefovir</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Month 1</td>
<td>1.2 ± 1.8</td>
<td>2.7 ± 1.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month 3</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.99</td>
<td>2.7 ± 1.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month 6</td>
<td>2.8 ± 1.2</td>
<td>3.5 ± 1.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean VL decrease from baseline (%)</th>
<th>Lamivudine</th>
<th>Adefovir</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Month 1</td>
<td>19.5 ± 26.3</td>
<td>33.5 ± 13.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month 3</td>
<td>49.2 ± 13.2</td>
<td>38.3 ± 20.4</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month 6</td>
<td>52.1 ± 14.5</td>
<td>50.8 ± 15.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

Our results show that patients treated with lamivudine, whether with or without VR, had a similar pattern of VL decrease in logarithms during follow-up. The absence of differences between groups is probably due to the high antiviral potency of lamivudine that causes an important decrease in viral load in nonresponders, but not enough as they have a higher baseline viral load. The persistence of viral replication in nonresponders increases the risk of resistance in these patients and difficults VR at one year from treatment onset. These findings agree with those of Buti et al. (23) in which baseline DNA levels in 15 patients with YMDD variants at one year of antiviral treatment with lamivudine were significantly higher than those of 19 patients without these variants (8.42 ± 1.16 vs. 7.58 ± 1.01; p = 0.033). Besides, that study did not observe differences in mean VL decrease (logarithms) at month three since treatment onset (3.86 ± 1.32 vs. 3.8 ± 1.32; p = NS).

Fig. 2. ROC curves at month 3 from treatment onset with adeovir. A. VL decrease in percentage from baseline; AUC = 0.83. 2. Decrease in logarithms of viral load; AUC = 0.77.

Fig. 3. ROC curves at month 6 from treatment onset with adeovir. A. VL decrease in percentage from baseline; AUC = 0.9. B. VL decrease in logarithms; AUC = 0.79.
In our study we observed a higher decrease in VL from baseline in responders at months 3 and 6 since treatment onset. The decrease at month three was statistically significant. Besides, we established a cutoff point equal to or less than 30% that allowed us to recognize patients that had not responded early. This shows that a patient that cannot decrease his or her VL more than 30% by month three from baseline will not have VR at one year from treatment onset (NPV = 80%). In patients treated with lamivudine this value can be helpful to consider other alternative or add-on treatments.

Differences observed between responders and nonresponders were more patent in patients treated with adefovir. There was a higher reduction of viral load in responders (in logarithms and in percentage of decrease from baseline). These differences were more important at months 3 and 6 from treatment onset. The best cutoff points that allowed us to define the patient with early response to adefovir were at month 6 of treatment. This can be due to differences in antiviral effectiveness between lamivudine and adefovir. As lamivudine is more powerful, it may identify nonresponders earlier. Patients with a decrease of one or less than one logarithm at month 6 of treatment were included in the group of early nonresponders with a negative predictive value of 80%. The determination of VL from baseline at month 6 was even more precise as a decrease lower than or equal to 20% was able to identify nonresponders to adefovir with a negative predictive value of 100%.

When we analyzed separately patients with positive and negative HBeAg treated with both drugs it was impossible to draw conclusions in the group of patients with positive HBeAg due to the small number of patients. The results were similar to those of the global group in patients with negative HBeAg.

Although our study had a small series of patients, our results suggest that early VL determination can predict virological response at one year in patients with chronic hepatitis B, especially in those on adefovir, and identify nonresponders early. Prospective studies with larger series of patients would be necessary to confirm these results.
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