Letters to the Editor

Sengstaken-Blakemore tube related esophageal rupture
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Dear Editor,

The Sengstaken-Blakemore (SB) tube, which was first described in 1950s (1), is a multiluminal plastic tube with two inflatable balloons. The proximal balloon is used to arrest bleeding by directly compressing the esophageal varices. The effectiveness of balloon tamponade with the SB tube is quoted as 90% (1). Esophageal rupture is a rarely reported fatal complication following treatment of bleeding esophageal varices with the SB tube (2,3). We presented a case of SB tube related esophageal rupture, which was confirmed by CT scan.

Case report

A 51 year old female has alcoholic cirrhosis of liver with esophageal varices for 5 years. She was admitted because of uncontrolled esophageal variceal (EV) bleeding. The patient underwent exp. lap with ligation of esophageal varices, devascularization and splenectomy 3 years ago. The patient presented to the emergency department with a new episode of massive hematemesis with shock, and then admitted to intensive care unit (ICU). An emergent upper gastro-intestinal (GI) endoscopy was performed, which revealed active bleeding from ruptured esophageal varices. She had required placement of a Sengstaken-Blakemore tube for massive EV bleeding with unstable hemodynamic status. A chest radiograph taken soon after insertion of SB tube and showed a malpositioned gastric balloon (Fig. 1). Computed tomography of the chest showed SB tube penetrated throughout the middle third of esophageal wall to the left pleural cavity (Fig. 2). The patient underwent emergent surgical intervention of thoracotomy with repair of ruptured esophagus and cervical esophagogastomy. Postoperative course was uneventful, and the patient was well. The patient died of sepsis 6 months later.

Fig. 1. Chest radiograph shows gastric balloon of SB tube (arrow) in the left hemithorax.
Discussion

Esophageal rupture as a complication of management of esophageal varices secondary to portal hypertension was a particularly lethal injury. While balloon tamponade with SB tube is life saving and effective in 60-90% of bleeding esophageal varices (4), it is slowly becoming the least preferred method due to the high incidence of complications. The most common complications include aspiration pneumonia, esophageal or gastric injuries, airway obstruction, tracheal injury and pressure necrosis of the mucosa (4,5).

We report this case and show the importance of proper tube position be confirmed by CT of chest. Although ultrasound confirmed placement of SB tube was raised recently, failure in identifying the gastroesophageal junction sometimes occurs (6). As in our patient, CT scan with sagittal and coronal reformations is the more efficient diagnostic modality for confirming the diagnosis of a ruptured esophagus as well as further surgical plans.

It is important to consider the possibility in any patient presenting with atypical chest or abdominal pain after induction of SB tube. Esophageal rupture remains an important thoracic emergency, and accompanied complications include pneumonia, mediastinitis, sepsis, empyema, and respiratory distress syndrome. Aggressive operative therapy remains the mainstay for treatment. The use of CT scan might be more helpful in early detection of esophageal rupture when a chest radiograph is equivocal.

In conclusion, rupture of the esophagus is a critical injury with a high mortality rate. Following insertion of the SB tube, routine chest radiographs should be taken before and after full inflation of the gastric balloon to ascertain correct tube position. In addition, image studies of CT should be obtained immediately to make an accurate diagnosis of a suspected esophageal rupture to prevent further complications and prolonged hospitalization. The prognosis can be poor, especially if diagnosis is delayed. We suggest the early CT scan intervention is more helpful and necessary if SB tube related esophageal rupture is suspected.
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