Is the sinusoidal obstructive syndrome post-liver transplantation a pathologic entity with a multifactorial etiology?
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ABSTRACT

The sinusoidal obstructive syndrome is a complication typically associated with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. This syndrome, more commonly known as veno-occlusive disease, has also been described after liver transplantation. It can have a life-threatening course. Herein, we describe the hepatic graft loss secondary to the development of a sinusoidal obstructive syndrome after a severe acute cellular rejection and toxic levels of once daily modified released tacrolimus (TAC). We discuss the role of the endotheliitis of acute rejection and toxic metabolites of some immunosuppressants such as azathioprine and TAC. Based on the current scientific evidence, we contemplate the possibility that the etiology of sinusoidal obstruction syndrome post-liver transplantation is multifactorial.
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INTRODUCTION

Sinusoidal obstructive syndrome (SOS) is a potentially fatal complication of myeloablative treatment before hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (SCT). SOS is a syndrome that is characterized by hyperbilirubinemia (> 2 mg/dl), painful hepatomegaly and weight gain secondary to fluid retention. Histological features are characterized by an initial acute injury to the endothelial cells, followed by detachment and embolization in the central area of the hepatic lobule, leading to post-sinusoidal outflow block. Afterwards, there is sub-endothelial deposition of fibrous tissue in sinusoids and in central and sub-lobular veins (1). SOS has also been described after liver transplantation (LT) (2), but only a few articles have been published because SOS after LT is relative rare, approximately 2%. The endothelial damage that occurs during the process of acute cellular rejection (ACR) or the administration of certain cytotoxic chemotherapeutic agents is known to facilitate the eventual development of SOS. The prognosis of SOS varies from complete resolution after withdrawal of the offending drugs to fatal outcome resulting from hepatic failure and death (1,3,4).

Herein, we describe a case of liver re-transplantation due to SOS after a severe ACR and toxic levels of once daily modified released TAC (TAC-MR).

CASE REPORT

A 43-year-old woman underwent deceased donor LT for end-stage liver disease (MELD score 19) secondary to alcoholic hepatitis. The donor was a 54-year-old man and the liver was recovered using standard technique. It was preserved in Wisconsin University solution. A wedge biopsy before procurement showed 30% macro-steatosis without fibrosis. The cold ischemia time was 210 min. The recipient hepatectomy included preservation of the caval vein for the piggy-back technique. No transfusional requirement was needed during the surgery. The immunosuppression regimen consisted of triple therapy with TAC (Advagraf®, Astellas Pharma US, IL), mycophenolate mofetil, and prednisone. Initially, her post-transplantation course was marked by a mild graft dysfunction treated favorably with 0.03 µg/kg/min Alprostadil for 3 days. As the donor was cytomegalovirus (CMV) seropositive and the recipient CMV seronegative, we started CMV infection prophylaxis with 450 mg valganciclovir/12 h. Three weeks after LT she presented jaundice, abdominal pain, ascites and hepatomegaly. The blood test showed cholestasis associated with infratherapeutic levels of TAC (5.50 ng/ml). Analytical values were: Total bilirubin: 3.47 mg/dL; aspartate aminotransferase: 61 IU/L; alanine aminotransferase: 74 IU/L; gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase: 563 IU/L; alka-
line phosphatase: 312 UI/L; INR: 5.24 mg/dL. Doppler ultrasound showed mild ascites and patency of the hepatic artery (30 cm/s), and portal (25 cm/s) and hepatic veins. Histological examination by transjugular biopsy carried out 24th post-transplantation day PTD revealed post-ACR ductopenia with moderate inflammatory signs. Centrilobular sclerosis hyaline compatible with SOS (Figs. 1 and 2). The rejection activity index (RAI) = 7 (3+2+2). We started treatment of the cellular rejection with three endovenous bolus of 1 g methyl-prednisolone. Simultaneously, we optimized the plasmatic levels of TAC and we administered three endovenous bolus of 1 g methyl-prednisolone / 24 h. However, we observed an increasing ascites. TAC reached toxic plasmatic levels (between 15 and 10 for 18 days), in spite of consecutive dose-decreasing of TAC-MR. She needed repeat large-volume paracentesis, volume expansion with intravenous albumin, and diuretic treatment. The study of the ascitic liquid retrieved a transudate with negative cultures (included mycobacteria after 90 days) and a serum-ascites albumin gradient > 1.1 g/dl, consistent with portal hypertension. The liver function was impaired and associated acute renal failure (total bilirubin: 1.23 mg/dl; aspartate aminotransferase: 100 IU/l; alanine aminotransferase: 149 IU/l; gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase: 1346 IU/l; alkaline phosphatase: 504 IU/l; creatinine: 5.24 mg/dl; Cokcroft-Gault ClCr: 11.37 ml/min). The patient had a
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**Fig. 1.** First liver biopsy of the patient. A. Hematoxilin and eosin, 200x. Detail of a portal tract with a mild-moderate inflammatory perportal infiltrate, and endotheliitis. B. Slice stained by CK7 (immunohistochemistry), 100x. Moderate ductopenia post-acute cellular rejection.

**Fig. 2.** First liver biopsy of the patient. A. Hematoxilin and eosin, 100x. Slice with oblitrutive fibrous lesion and recanalization phenomena in a small hepatic vein. Mild inflammatory infiltrate associated. B. Reticulin stain, 200x. Centrilobular vein with oblitrutive fibrous lesion with several lumens, outlined by atrophic hepatocyte trabeculae and mild sinusoidal fibrosis.
comprehensive cardiac evaluation that excluded right-sided heart failure. At this point an angio computed tomography excluded venous and arterial flow obstruction again. We decided to quantify pressures and the portal gradient to define the SOS severity and prognosis. Unfortunately, a deterioration of her clinical status caused by a hemoperitoneum secondary to a paracentesis was diagnosed. An emergency laparotomy was made. The bleeding point was not identified, but the biopsy (Fig. 3) carried out confirmed an aggravation of the SOS, with extended and severe centrilobular fibrosis and partial resolution of the acute cellular rejection (RAI = 4). Retransplantation was performed 9 days after hemoperitoneum (51st PTD) without complications. The new immunosuppressive regimen was basiliximab, mycophenolate-mofetil, steroids and delayed introduction of TAC-MR. The pathologic study of the explanted allograft revealed striking bridging fibrosis between centrilobular veins and the centrilobular portal space. Some centrilobular veins had been totally replaced by a fibrous mass. Several portal venules showed remarkable multiplications with fibrous change, indicative of severe portal hypertension. Her post-transplantation course was complicated by a mild ACR and an acute renal failure. She was discharged at 29th PTD. At day, she is in study for biliary stenosis.

The clinical evolution of the clinical case is resumed in the figure 4.

DISCUSSION

Recently, it has been clarified that the initial trigger is a toxic injury at the level of the sinusoidal endothelial cell (SEC). It is characterized by the loss of sinusoidal wall integrity with consequent sinusoidal congestive obstruction and is occasionally associated with perisinusoidal fibrosis, centrilobular hepatic vein fibrotic obstruction, nodular regenerative hyperplasia or peliosis (6). This disease has long been recognized as a complication of SCT (7) and, recently, to the administration of oxaliplatin (8). Though SOS has been described after solid organ transplantation, there are only a few reports. In the case of LT, the reported incidence of clinical SOS is 1.9-2.9% (3,4). However, the real incidence of SOS after LT is unknown, because the clinical signs and symptoms are non-specific and relatively frequent in the postoperative course of a LT. Probably, the majority of diagnosed cases are considered
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Fig. 3. Second liver biopsy of the patient. A. Hematoxilin and eosin, 10x. Lumen of the centrilobular vein occupied by collagen and fibrous changes secondary to a fibroblastic proliferation. B. Reticulin stain, 40x. Collapse of the reticulin framework with extensive centrilobular fibrosis.

Fig. 4. Line diagram showing trend of total bilirubin, plasmatic levels of tacrolimus and dose of TC-MR during the course of illness.
“Severe” or “Moderate”, and we do not study self-limited mild forms. Therefore, we cannot estimate the real incidence and consequences of SOS in the outcome of LT at day. In addition, the available scientific evidence about the SOS management is mostly related to the SCT.

It is currently recognized that the development of SOS after LT is frequently associated with ACR. However, the reason why some individuals with severe ACR went on to develop SOS and others did not is unclear. There have been presented some retrospective series (3,4,9,10) with a significant component of endothelial damage between the findings of the ACR in patients with histologic changes of SOS (9,10). Our clinical case was diagnosed after a biopsy proven acute rejection with endothelitis in postoperative day 24th and ruling out several pathologies.

Moreover, the pathologic study of our donor liver just prior to procurement showed 30% macro-steatosis. The detoxification of metabolites that precipitate oxidative stress carries out into the hepatocyte by means of the glutathione. The availability of glutathione in fatty liver mitochondria, such as in this donor, is diminished. Although the SEC also has the function of detoxification, the SEC intracellular glutathione concentrations are less than half that of hepatocytes and the glutathione detoxification capacity of the SEC is therefore much less than that of hepatocytes (10). It is suggested that this weak glutathione detoxification capacity of the SEC predisposes and exacerbates sinusoidal injury. Moreover, genetic polymorphism all appears to increase the risk of developing SOS. A specific polymorphism of the glutathione-S-transferase gene was found to be more frequent among patients who developed SOS (11).

Our recipient was also at risk of suffering a CMV-related vascular complication because of the CMV seromismatched transplantation. Vascular injury caused by CMV infection is well known regarding, in particular, the incidence of hepatic artery thrombosis after LT. In response to the infection, intercellular adhesion molecule 1, which is not detected on normal SEC, is induced by monokines such as interleukin-1 and tumor necrosis factor 1 alpha in the sinusoidal endothelium, leading to marked disturbance of the sinusoidal microcirculation. The injury also occurs in the sinusoidal endothelium (12) and it may increase the severity of the SOS.

Finally, there is another factor that we consider very important for the SOS development in this particular case. SOS has been associated to the administration of immunosuppressant agents used after LT, as azathioprine or TAC (2,13). Increasing concentrations of these specific metabolites might account for the appearance of sinusoidal endothelial injury. The hepatotoxicity caused by active metabolites is aggravated in situations where TAC half life of the drug (V1/2) increases and clearance impair, as consequence of the reduction of the absolute hepatocyte mass (hepatocyte dysfunction, post-reperfusion damage, reduced CYP (cytochrome P450) 450 enzymatic concentration or activity, and decreased sinusoidal perfusion). TAC is primarily metabolized by the cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A subfamily (mainly, CYP 3A4 and CYP 3A5) in liver microsomes. The zone 3 of the liver acinus has the highest levels of CYP and is the most affected by the SOS (13,14). However, this has not been confirmed, and further study is needed.

In our experience, in cases of SOS associated to ACR we try to minimize the dose of TAC to avoid perpetuating the sinusoidal (14). The optimization of dose to low levels, within the therapeutic range, is possible because we start early treatment with endovenous methyl-prednisolone boluses. We believe that the promptness in the decrease of the dose is more important in cases in which we use once daily modified released TAC (Advagraf®, Astellas Pharma US, II). The TAC-MR V1/2 is more sustained than in the traditional formula (TAC V1/2: 12 h vs. TAC-MR V1/2: 30 h) (15,16).

As conclusion, SOS is a complex pathologic entity with a probably multifactorial etiology. In our clinical case, we interpret that the ACR that did not respond to the treatment acted as a precipitating factor of sinusoidal endothelial damage, while the altered metabolism of TAC associated to the hepatic dysfunction contributed to perpetuate and aggravate the SOS through its own toxic metabolites.
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