Enteral feeding via jejunostomy as a cause of intestinal perforation and necrosis
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ABSTRACT

Background: Jejunostomy for enteral feeding is excellent for patients who cannot manage oral intake, with a low complication rate. A Foley catheter, Ryle tube, Kerh tube or needle-catheter (Jejuno-Cath®) are commonly used. It is a safe procedure but it can lead to severe complications.

Case report: We present two cases: firstly, an 80 year old male who was admitted to the Emergency Room with a bowel perforation secondary to Jejuno-Cath® for enteral feeding after a subtotal gastrectomy with Roux-en-Y reconstruction; and secondly, a 53 year old male who was admitted to the Emergency Room due to gastric perforation developing multiple complications, including bowel necrosis and enteral feeding impaction.

Discussion: We have reviewed the recent literature with regard to this rare complication.
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INTRODUCTION

Jejunostomy is a safe, cost effective and well established access for enteral feeding (EF) and drug administration in patients who cannot manage oral intake. It is performed when EF is expected to last for long periods of time (1-5). The introduction technique could be surgical, endoscopically guided, or radiologically guided (2,3). A Foley catheter, Ryle tube, Kerh tube, and needle-catheter (Jejuno-Cath®) are usually used for this purpose (1). The Jejuno-Cath® provides nutritional support to the surgical patient, and is routinely used in the supramesocolic compartment, trauma and oncologic procedures, as well as in malnourished patients (2,4).

CASE REPORT 1

An 80-year-old hypertensive male was admitted to the Emergency Room with a 1 cm neoplastic perforation in the incisura angularis. A subtotal gastrectomy with Roux-en-Y reconstruction, D1 lymphadenectomy and insertion of a Jejuno-Cath® was performed. The anatomopathological report showed a transmural perforation, active antral atrophic gastritis, extended intestinal metaplasia with low grade dysplasia, and an area of high grade dysplasia carcinoma in situ (pTisN0).

During the immediate postoperative period, the patient required hemodynamic support with vasoactive amines. After four days of parenteral feeding, enteral feeding via a Jejuno-Cath® was initiated with a good response. On day 14 postoperatively, the patient developed intense abdominal pain, oxygen desaturation and agitation, neutrophilia without leukocytosis and the C-reactive protein (CRP) was 21.40 mg/dl. The CT showed a bowel perforation in the right flank with a large subhepatic abscess (18 x 7.4 x 8 cm) with gas inside (Fig. 1A). An urgent laparotomy was performed, revealing a large, white, dense liquid collection compatible with enteral feeding. Two bowel perforations were found as well: a 3 cm perforation, with the Jejuno-Cath® tube protruding through it, located 30 cm away from its insertion.
point; and a second one 20 cm away from the previous. After
an exhaustive washing of the abdominal cavity, two bowel
resections with manual anastomosis were performed. The
anatomopathological report of the perforated area showed
hemorrhagic necrosis with signs suggestive of ischemia. In
the subserosa and peritoneum, there was an intense inflam-
matory infiltrate with foreign body giant cells. The patient
passed away after a week due to respiratory insufficiency
associated with trilobar mycotic pneumonia.

CASE REPORT 2

Fifty-three years old male patient with a history of
chronic alcoholism who had urgent surgery due to a 5 cm
retrogastric perforation at the antropyloric region. It was
repaired with a primary suture. Postoperative evolution
was torpid, requiring multiple reinterventions. In this sce-
nario the patient developed a catastrophic abdomen with
several enteroatmospheric fistulas and laparostomy. This
was initially treated using negative pressure therapy (VAC)
and placement of a Foley catheter through one of the fis-
tulas holes for EF purposes (previous fistulogram). The
patient was in ICU for a long period with multiple com-
plications requiring vasoactive drugs in order to achieve
hemodynamic stabilization in the long term, transient renal
failure needing hemodialysis filtration, parenteral feeding
related hepatopathy, mechanical ventilation-associated
pneumonia and septic shock with positive cultures for
dysenteric infection requiring broad-spectrum antibiot-
cics. A month after starting EF via the jejunostomy placed in
the fistula hole, a distal obstruction occurred and EF reflow
was observed. The laparostomy showed EF impaction in a
necrotic bowel segment, causing the obstruction (Fig. 2).

Urgent surgery was performed; the necrotic segment was
removed, leading to two more fistulas. The anatomopatho-
logical report showed transmural ischemic necrosis. The
postoperative evolution was fatal, and the patient passed
away a few weeks later due to septic shock of abdominal
origin.

DISCUSSION

Published complication rates for jejunostomies vary.
Complications are most frequent in Roux-en-Y enterosto-
mies (21%), and less frequent in needle inserted catheters
(1.5%), with an intermediate rate in the longitudinal Witzel
technique, transverse Witzel technique and percutaneous
jejunostomy technique (2.1-6.6 and 12%, respectively) (5).

Jejuno-Cath® feeding has multiple complications on
record: a) mechanical: accidental withdrawal, catheter
obstruction (0.74%), migration to the abdominal cavity,
enteroatmospheric fistulas (0.14%), ischemia (0.14%)
and intestinal necrosis (0.2%); b) infectious: subcutane-
ous abscess, abdominal wall infection, pneumonia caused
by contamination and aspiration of the enteral feeding;
c) gastrointestinal: abdominal distension, colic, diarrhea,
constipation, nausea or vomit; and d) metabolic: hypocal-
cemia (50%), hyperglucemia (29%), hydroelectrolytic and
base-acid imbalance, hypoglycemia, hypercalcemia, hypo
or hypernatremia, hypophosphatemia and hypomagnes-
emia (2,4,5). Some complications can be treated without
removing the catheter, such as cellulitis and subcutaneous
abscess. Other complications such as necrosis, pneuma-
tosis, small bowel obstructions and perforations are rare (4).

Ischemia and subsequent small bowel perforation is one
of the most severe complications that may appear (7,8).
Even though the ischemia rate is usually less than 1%,
rates of up to 3.8% have been published. When this com-
plication appears, an early diagnosis is difficult to achieve.
Mortality ranges from 41% to 100% (8,9).

Clinical presentation is very unspecific. The first signs
and symptoms include abdominal distention, colic pain and
absence of bowel sounds. Subsequent symptoms include
paralytic ileus, pneumatisis intestinalis, transmural necro-
sis and finally septic shock. Findings on abdominal x-rays
and CT-scans are: pneumatosis intestinalis (88%), thick-
ened bowel wall (38%), free intraperitoneal fluid and air
(38% and 25%, respectively) (10).

The causative mechanism is not clear. Multiple hypoth-
eses have been considered: a) intraluminal factors such as
hyperosmolarity, hypertension, bacterial overgrowth, or
EF retention and subsequent dehydration (10); b) factors
reducing mesenteric blood flow (low cardiac output, insuf-
ficient reanimation with fluids, hypotension, atherosclerot-
ic vascular disease or congestive cardiac insufficiency); an
inadequate functioning bowel perfusion could lead to bow-
el ischemia (8-10); c) exogenous factors such as the use
of vasoactive drugs (α1-adrenergic stimulation) produce

Fig. 2. Laparostomy showing necrosis of the bowel segment (black
arrow) and EF impaction (white arrow).
vasoconstriction, reducing even further the mucosa blood flow and contributing to the generation of the ischemia (8).

In the two cases presented, necrosis and bowel perforation were probably caused by multiple factors. Both patients, with recent abdominal surgeries, developed a certain degree of postoperative paralytic ileus, which translates to a higher EF retention time and subsequent dehydration. In the second patient several etiopathogenic factors add up: prolonged stay in the ICU, intestinal fistulas, transient renal failure and subsequent hydroelectrolytic imbalance. Both patients received vasoactive drugs support, therefore, they presented hypotension and/or low cardiac output with tissue hypoperfusion, especially in the splanchnic area. The first patient, with a history of arterial hypertension and advanced age, presented some degree of cardiopathy and peripheral arteriopathy, which could be the cause of the hypoperfusion. In this patient, the catheter and the constant pressure applied by its tip against a single bowel wall point (decubitus) (1) could have played a major role in the perforation since it was found in the final part and not in a distal position. Both patients had multiple risk factors that could have acted synergistically in the etiopathogenesis of the lesion.

CONCLUSION

Before initiating this type of nutrition, it is advisable to assess the risk factors of bowel necrosis for each patient individually. The two cases presented show the importance of being aware of this possible complication, since a high level of caution is needed to achieve an early diagnosis in order to diminish the high mortality rate.
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