Eosinophilic esophagitis in adults, an emerging cause of dysphagia. Description of 9 cases
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ABSTRACT

Background: eosinophilic esophagitis is a rare condition mainly affecting children, although the number of cases reported in adults is on the increase. It is characterized by intense infiltration of eosinophilic leukocytes in the esophageal mucosa, without involvement of other sections of the alimentary canal.

Material and methods: over the past year, following the performance of endoscopies and biopsies, our service identified nine patients who were diagnosed with suffering from this disorder. Each patient sought medical help for episodes of long-term, self-limited dysphagia or food impaction in the alimentary canal.

Results: endoscopy revealed esophageal stenosis in the form of simultaneous contraction rings or regular stenosis. In six cases, the manometric study showed a nonspecific motor disorder of severe intensity affecting the esophageal body, and another patient had a disorder characterized by the presence of simultaneous waves and secondary peristaltic waves in the three thirds of the organ. These disorders are presumably due to eosinophilic infiltration of the muscular layer or ganglionar cells of the esophagus, and account for symptoms in these patients. Although the etiopathogenesis of this illness is uncertain, it is clearly an immunomodulator manifestation.

Conclusions: as the number of diagnosed cases is on the increase, eosinophilic esophagitis in adults is a specific entity within the differential diagnosis of dysphagia in young males with a history of allergies. Eosinophilic esophagitis responds in a different number of ways to therapies used. We successfully used fluticasone propionate, a synthetic corticoid applied topically, which proved to be efficient in the treatment of this illness by acting on the pathophysiological basis of the process. It does not have any adverse effects, thus offering advantages over other therapies such as systemic corticoids or endoscopic dilations.

mentary canal remained unaffected after the stomach and/or duodenal biopsies were performed. After carrying out a 24-hour esophageal pH-metry and studying the presence of gastroesophageal reflux, the patients who had it were treated with omeprazole (40 mg/day) for two months before biopsies were repeated, thereby ruling it out as the cause for eosinophilic esophagitis (6). Endoscopic findings and motor disorders linked to this illness were recorded using stationary esophageal manometry, carried out with a pneumohydraulic perfusion system. Although all nine patients showed a certain history of allergies, neither this criteria nor the presence of blood hyper eosinophilia were taken into consideration during the diagnosis. Consent was given by all patients or their tutors prior to the carrying out of examinations.

RESULTS

All of our patients were young, aged between 15 and 38 years, and comprised eight men and one woman with a long-term history of allergies, mainly allergic asthma and seasonal rhinoconjunctivitis caused by dust mites, pollen and food. Three patients had slightly high levels of eosinophils in their blood.

The first endoscopic examination showed a concentric stenosis, which prevented observation of the distal lumen in three patients. The other six patients had simultaneous contraction rings along the length of the esophagus, which in two cases obstructed the passage of the endoscope while permitting observation of the esophageal lumen (Figs. 1-3). Three patients showed a slight alteration of the esophageal mucosa.

The manometric study revealed an abnormal behavior of the upper esophageal sphincter in two cases. Seven patients were shown to suffer from esophageal motor disorders. Six patients had a severe, non-specific, esophageal motor disorder, while the remainder had an alteration of the esophageal motor dynamics characterized by 80% of deglutitive complexes being formed by a primary simultaneous wave in the 2 lower thirds of the esophagus, followed, in 50% of cases, by a secondary peristaltic wave (Fig. 4). The behavior of the lower esophageal sphincter was variable and showed hardly any correlation with the results of esophageal pH-metry.

Four patients showed pathological gastroesophageal reflux during 24-hour pH monitoring. None showed signs of clinical improvement or remission of their esophageal motor disorders prior to the carrying out of examinations.

In each case, esophageal biopsies detected a mucosa with moderate acanthosis, papillomatosis, and basal cell hyperplasia with outstanding inflammatory infiltration by predominantly eosinophils, with more than 24 per high-power field. This infiltrate was mainly located in the middle and superficial section of the epithelium (Fig. 5). None of the patients had eosinophilic infiltration in the samples taken from the stomach or duodenum.

Seven patients required treatment: in five cases, a topical steroid was used (fluticasone propionate, 500 µg/12 hours for 3 months), in one patient, after an endoscopic dilation had proved unsuccessful. One patient received methylprednisolone (0.5 mg/kg weight/day over a 6-month period, which was gradually reduced). In all cases treated, symptomatic improvement was observed from the second week of treatment onwards. Once treatment was completed, another upper endoscopic examination was performed, which produced no pathological findings. The esophageal biopsies obtained from this procedure were reported to be normal. Endoscopic dilation was carried out on one patient who currently remains asymptomatic. Although two patients did not require specific treatment, they received antisecretory medication for a short period.

DISCUSSION

Eosinophilic esophagitis is a rare entity. Since first identified (7), only 200 cases have been documented, 35% of which being described as having occurred in adults (8). Traditionally considered to have been a childhood disorder, we are currently witnessing an obvious increase in the number of cases diagnosed and reported; although the incidence of the illness is unknown, it could be on the rise. Less is known regarding the manifestation of EE in adults, and EE is usually not included in the differential diagnosis of dysphagia. It predominantly affects young males in their thirties and forties, which in a high proportion of cases have atopic manifestations, which are probably related to the immunological etiology of the process. EG is different to EE in that it appears earlier and the stomach and small intestine are infiltrated by an abundance of eosinophilic leukocytes in almost every patient; in up to 50% of cases, esophageal infiltration is reported. Its progression is that of an intestinal illness (abdominal colic pain, diarrhea, poor absorption, and weight loss) (2), with symptoms not shown by our patients.

The general increase in allergic illnesses and atopic manifestations is also becoming increasingly linked to EE. According to several authors, its incidence and prevalence could be on the rise. This reinforces the etiological assumption that it is the organ’s reaction to dietary components or air-borne allergens, which forces us to consider the esophagus as an immunologically active organ capable of being involved in allergic reactions, and not simply as a tube leading to the stomach. However, it is argued whether the stimulus in question acts locally or systemically on the esophagus (6,9), since allergic esophagitis is the only manifestation of hypersensitivity in a small number of cases. On the other hand, blood eosinophilia expresses the systemic nature of the process.
Nevertheless, it has been highlighted the different geographical distribution of allergic asthma and EE, which suggests that other factors should be considered to supplement the theory of hyperactivity against diet or airborne allergens (9).

All of our patients were young, aged between 15 and 38 years, and predominantly males, with a history of long-term intermittent dysphagia and occasional episodes of food impaction. In each case, the endoscopy was performed to study dysphagia or food impaction requiring the performance of an emergency endoscopy. Two patients were referred from the allergy unit due to the presence of intermittent dysphagia, who had not been previously suspected as suffering from EE. Based on the experience gained by our department during the recently diagnosed cases of EE, we carried out biopsies during our study of patients with dysphagia where endoscopy had proved to be inconclusive.

**Table I. Characteristics of eosinophilic esophagitis cases**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Manifestations of allergies</th>
<th>Type of allergen</th>
<th>Blood eosinophilia</th>
<th>Specific Ig E increase</th>
<th>Endoscopy performed upon diagnosis</th>
<th>Manometry</th>
<th>24-hour pHmetry</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Response to treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>15, male</td>
<td>Seasonal asthma</td>
<td>Dust mites, pollen</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Concentric stenosis</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Aperistalsis</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Pathological, moderate and mixed GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>17, male</td>
<td>Asthma</td>
<td>Food, pollen</td>
<td>Yes (7%)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Ringed oesophagus, absence of stenosis, Corrugation</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Aperistalsis</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Non-pathological GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>18, female</td>
<td>Dermatitis, asthma, angioedema anaphylaxis</td>
<td>Food, pollen</td>
<td>Yes (9%)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Ringed oesophagus, absence of stenosis</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Hypotensive with signs of irritation</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Very slight pathological GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>22, male</td>
<td>Dermatitis</td>
<td>Food</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Ringed oesophagus, absence of stenosis</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Non-severe hypoperistalsis</td>
<td>PPI</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>25, male</td>
<td>Asthma, urticaria</td>
<td>Food</td>
<td>Yes (10%)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Stenocconjunctival ringed oesophagus, Dull mucosa</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Aperistalsis</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Absence of pathological GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>28, male</td>
<td>Rhinoconjunctivitis</td>
<td>Dust mites, pollen</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Ringed oesophagus, absence of stenosis</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Aperistalsis</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>29, male</td>
<td>Rhinoconjunctivitis</td>
<td>Dust mites, pollen</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Concentric stenosis</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Non-pathological GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>35, male</td>
<td>Rhinoconjunctivitis, asthma, dermatitis</td>
<td>Food, pollen</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Concentric stenosis</td>
<td>Hypertensive with complete relaxation</td>
<td>Aperistalsis</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Pathological relaxation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>38, male</td>
<td>Rhinoconjunctivitis</td>
<td>Pollen</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Ringed oesophagus with stenosis, granular mucosa</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Slightly hypertensive with complete relaxation</td>
<td>Moderate pathological GER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All cases displayed allergic manifestations that had been generally present since childhood. Apart from dysphagia, they may also have vomiting, regurgitations or retroesternal pain (4,6,8). Dysphagia is resistant to treatment with prokinetics and gastric acid secretion inhibitors, and tends to progress in an oscillating manner with asymptomatic periods followed by episodes of deterioration.

The endoscopic findings from the first exploration performed on our patients revealed two different patterns:
concentric stenosis, which hinders observation of the distal mucosa, and simultaneous concentric rings allowing observation of the esophageal lumen. However, occasionally, these rings block the passage of the endoscope, thus producing “paradoxical stenosis”. Many other endoscopic patterns have been documented, such as: focal stenosis, particularly in the proximal esophagus; long segmentary stenosis or slight alterations of the mucosa affecting the full length of the organ (such as a granular-type mucosa); loss of the normal vascular pattern, longitudinal linear furrows, corrugation or white exudations spots or papulae leading to the deposition of microabscesses formed by eosinophils in the mucosa (6,8). In subsequent endoscopic examinations, some patients had different results to those shown initially, which means that endoscopic findings may vary intrindividually throughout evolution.

The presence of eosinophils in the squamous epithelium of the esophagus or in the underlying layers has been described in patients with eosinophilic gastroenteritis, parasitic illnesses, and particularly with gastroesophageal reflux (GER) (10). GER is the main cause of esophageal eosinophilic infiltration, but is characterized by a small number of cells (generally less than 10 eosinophils per high-power field) located in the distal third of the organ. Although none of our patients showed clinical symptoms of GER or endoscopic peptic lesions, a 24-hour pH monitoring detected moderate pathological reflux in 4 cases. These patients still had an infiltrate of more than 24 eosinophils per high-power field in both thirds of the esophagus following treatment with antisecretory drugs, which rules out reflux as the cause of infiltration. In fact, the presence of gastroesophageal reflux has been described in EE as an unspecific result of the motor disorder deriving from organ inflammation.

After performing a manometric study, 6 of 9 patients were documented as having a moderate to severe unspecific esophageal motor disorder characterized by aperistalsis or a severe peristalsis deficiency, with very low amplitude or non-transmitting waves. One patient had a singular esophageal motor disorder characterized by having 80% of deglutitive complexes formed by a first simultaneous wave in the 2 lower thirds of the esophagus, followed by a secondary peristaltic wave in 50% of cases, which had a normal duration and amplitude. Only 20% of deglutitive complexes produced normal peristalsis. These manometric alterations may be related to the inflammation of the esophageal muscular layers or the myenteric plexus and are the cause of the clinical manifestations and endoscopic motor alterations observed in EE. Landres et al. described the case of one patient diagnosed with EG in association with an esophageal condition in which the histopathological study also showed eosinophilic infiltration of the esophageal muscular layers (11), which suggests that esophageal manifestations are due to muscular infiltration. Confirmation of this fact poses clear difficulties, but, after performing endoscopic ultrasonography in EE, a thickening of the esophageal mucosa and submucosa was observed (12), in addition to the muscular tissue itself (13), which also could reflect an increase in muscle tone.

Diverse treatments have been tested for EE, aimed at eliminating the causal antigenic stimulus or the organ’s immunological response. In each case it is recommended that a sensitivity study to dietary and environment components be performed in order to avoid or control exposure. There is wide experience on the use of restrictive or elementary diets in children, which have achieved positive results (8,14,15); however, in our opinion, these measures are also difficult to apply in adults, as it is a chronic pathology requiring ongoing therapy. Endoscopic dilations provide temporary relief from symptoms and have been proposed as the treatment of choice by some authors (16), but, in our opinion, it is not an advisable treatment as it causes patient discomfort and does nothing to resolve the inflammatory substrate of the process. Cellular immune hyperreactivity underlying EE has permitted the use of steroid therapy. Corticoids have shown a remission of esophageal infiltration that is associated with symptomatic improvement (3,8,15). The systemic administration of 0.5 mg/kg of methylprednisolone/day during a period of 6 months, the dosage of which is gradually reduced, achieves prolonged improvement of clinical and endoscopic manifestations (4). Fluticasone propionate, a non-absorbable synthetic corticoid applied on the tongue and subsequently swallowed, has shown results similar to systemic corticoids both in the children’s (15) and adult (10,17) forms of EE with no adverse effects. Consequently, based on our experience and ratifying the aforementioned observations, we currently...
consider this to be the treatment of choice. Isolated cases of EG have been recently reported, which have responded to drugs modifying T helper 2 lymphocyte-dependent inflammation, such as sodium cromoglycate (18,19), suplatast tosilate (20) or montelukast (21), the latter having been recently employed in a small group of patients diagnosed with EE (22,23). Although there are many controlled studies which demonstrate the efficiency of these drugs in the treatment of corticoid-dependent asthma, in our opinion the scarce and isolated number of reported cases does not justify their use as a treatment for eosinophils of the alimentary canal.

The authors consider that primary EE should be considered in the differential diagnosis in young patients with a history of allergies and esophageal symptoms, and that endoscopy and biopsy should be performed at different levels even in the absence of lesions or in the presence of slight or intermittent symptoms. A history of allergies, in many cases since childhood, and the long symptomatic period preceding diagnosis raises the assumption that adult-onset EE may in fact consist of mild symptomatic, late-diagnosis forms of the childhood variety. Its long evolution leads to fibrosis and esophageal stenosis in adults when left untreated (6), and it should be considered as an emerging cause of dysphagia, given its growing incidence. Knowledge of the illness and its potential diagnosis should allow identifying a larger number of cases, leading to studies that may improve our knowledge on this new and enthralling illness.
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