ABSTRACT

Aim: the aim is to determine immunopathological modifications in rectal mucosa from rabbit after local challenge in sensitized animals with ovalbumin (OVA).

Experimental design: thirty rabbits divided into three groups: G1: normal, G2: subcutaneously OVA sensitized, G3: sensitized, locally OVA challenged and sampled 4 hours after challenge. Specific anti-OVA IgE levels were evaluated by passive cutaneous anaphylaxis test (PCA). In each group 200 high microscopical power fields (HPF) were counted. Results were expressed as arithmetic mean and SE. Statistical analysis was made using Student t test. Anti-CD4, CD5, µ chain, CD25 and RLA II monoclonal antibodies were used. Avidin biotin horseradish peroxidase system was used.

Results: CD 4: G1: 8.3 ± 0.06; G2: 13.4 ± 0.08 and G3: 8.25 ± 0.06. CD 5: G1: 7.3 ± 0.05; G2: 9.4 ± 0.05 and G3: 11.3 ± 0.06. CD 25: G1: 13 ± 0.08; G2: 15.1 ± 0.13 and G3: 25.5 ± 0.15. µ chain: G1: 10.4 ± 0.06; G2: 3.8 ± 0.02 and G3: 6.0 ± 0.10. RLA II (DR): G1: 11.6 ± 0.05; G2: 19.2 ± 0.09 and G3: 19.1 ± 0.11. In all cases, experimental groups (G2 and G3) presented statistical significant differences vs. control group (G1) (p < 0.001).

Conclusions: interleukin-2 receptor (CD25+ cells) increase in experimental groups. Cells expressing µ chain decreased in G2 and G3 likely due to activation of B cells and subsequent expression of other immunoglobulin chains in cell surface. RLA II expression is higher in G2 and G3. This receptor is considered an activation marker expressed by macrophages, T and B cells. We conclude that obtained data are important to elucidate immunopathology of local anaphylactic reaction in rectal mucosa from systemic sensitized animals.


INTRODUCTION

Food allergy is considered an abnormal immune response in previously sensitized individuals after the oral ingestion of an offending food allergen (1,2).

Food allergens may be incorporated in the digestive tract through an appropriate penetration of molecules, which induces tolerance (3), or an abnormal penetration (altered mucosal barrier), which induces sensitization and high IgE production, which in turn binds mast cells and basophils (4-8).

A newly ingested allergen in a sensitized individual develops an acute anaphylactic inflammatory reaction (9-11). This response induces qualitative and quantitative modifications in immune cells at the mucosa.

It is estimated that 0.2% of the population has food allergy symptoms. In the USA, 5% of children under 3 years and 1.5% of the general population (about 4,000,000 people) show food allergy symptoms (12).

Allergens may be normal compounds of nutrients, additives or some transgenic variety of food protein. Besides, the way of cooking may increase or decrease the antigenic properties of food allergens. Modifications of molecular characteristic could induce cross reactions (13).

Most common food allergens are cow milk, eggs, whey, tomato, chocolate, peanut, kiwi, fish, oyster, shellfish (14).

Cross allergy reactions with fruits (banana and kiwi) may be observed in latex (Hebea brasiliensis) sensitized people (15,16). The rectum may also be considered the organ for antigen penetration in case of gloves for rectal examination, rectal probes, and condoms in anal coitus. Rab-
bits are a useful model for food allergy experimentation (17). Lagomorphs have an important genetic preservation in humans, and generate high levels of IgE after subcutaneous sensitization. Besides, high amounts of potentially antigenic food macromolecules reach distal regions of the colon due to the physiologic process of cecotrophy (18-20). The rectum is a part of the common immune mucosal system (CMIS), so histopathological and immunological modifications due to sensitization and challenge may be evaluated in the rectal mucosa (21-24).

The aim of the present work is to find quantitative modifications in the immune-cell population of the rectal mucosa as established in an animal model of food allergy.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Thirty adult New Zealand rabbits were divided into 3 groups: group 1: (n = 10) normal controls; group 2: (n = 10) ovalbumin (OVA) sensitization subcutaneously (SC); group 3: (n = 10) OVA-sensitized subcutaneously (SC) and OVA-challenged rectally.

The rabbits in groups 2 and 3 were subcutaneously sensitized on two occasions with 70 µg OVA in 30 mg ALUM/ml (aluminium hydroxide). An interval of 15 days among sensitizations was established.

After 15 days post-sensitization, the rabbits were fasted for 24 hours and G3 animals were rectally challenged with 50 mg OVA in 5 ml of PBS (21).

Animals were sacrificed with sulfuric ether 4 hours after being challenged, according to considerations by the Ethical Committee of Rosario School of Medicine. Samples from the rectum were obtained for all groups.

Materials for immunohistochemistry were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen with an OCT protector and then stored at -20 °C and cut in 8-micrometer slices. Samples for histology were paraffin-embedded and then cut in 6-micrometer slices.

The following monoclonal antibodies were used:

—Mouse anti-rabbit CD5 (KEN-5, BALB/c IgG 1, Biosource, Camarillo, California, USA), for mature total T-cell and B-cell subset identification.

—Mouse anti-rabbit CD4 (KEN-4, BALB/c IgG 2a, Biosource, Camarillo, California, USA) for CD4+ T-cell identification (25).

—Mouse anti-rabbit CD25 (KEI-alfa 1, BALB/c IgG 1, Biosource, Camarillo, California, USA), IL-2 receptor, also considered a cell activation marker (25).

—Mouse anti-rabbit immunoglobulin M (µ chain, NRBM BALB/c IgG 1, Biosource, Camarillo, California, USA), a B-cell marker.

—Mouse anti-rabbit RLA-DR (RDR34, BALB/c IgG 2b, Pharmingen, San Diego, California, USA) for RLA DR (similar to human HLA DR), an activation marker in antigen-presenting cells.

A biotin-streptavidin system (Serotec Ltd. Oxford, UK) was used for the detection of marked cells and AEC as chromogen.

Frozen samples were fixed in cold acetone for 10 minutes and then incubated 60 minutes at 37 °C with monoclonal antibodies. Positive cells were analyzed in 200 high-power fields for each group, and results were expressed as cell mean per microscopical field. Results were statistically analyzed.

Specific anti-OVA IgE titres were evaluated by passive cutaneous anaphylaxis PCA (26).

**RESULTS**

Passive cutaneous anaphylaxis (PCA) showed specific anti-OVA IgE in sensitized groups (G2 and G3) at 1/160 dilution.

Histopathology showed a patchy distribution of mucosal edema, lymphangiectasia and eosinophil infiltration in sensitized and challenged groups (G3). Eosinophils infiltrate mucosa near the surface epithelium. No changes could be detected in the control group (G1) and the sensitized, unchallenged group (G2).

Data related to the immune-cell population were expressed in table I. CD4-positive cells (Fig. 1) were found in the lamina propria of both control and experimental groups. No significant differences were found between groups.

**DISCUSSION**

OVA is a soluble antigen that induces specific IgE sensitization after subcutaneous injection. A challenge with
OVA in a sensitized individual induces an allergic anaphylactic response in the digestive tract. Different food allergy models have been developed but few of them used the rectum as the studied organ. The rectum is a part of CMIS, so sensitization induced an immune response in different effector sites after challenge with the antigen.

Besides, the rectum is easy to access in terms of diagnostic procedures in order to establish cellular and histopathological patterns (27).

The maximal histopathological expression in the rectal mucosa developed 4 hours after the challenge.

Vasoactive and pro-inflammatory mediators induced mucosal edema, lymphangiectasia and eosinophil infiltration. This finding is similar to that found by other authors and our group in previous works both in the gut and other regions of the gastrointestinal tract of the rabbit (11-28,29).

The absence of significant statistical differences in CD4+ cells between groups is a different phenomenon to that of chronic inflammatory disorders (Crohn’s disease). In this disease there is a marked increase in CD 4+ cells in the rectal mucosa. The difference between the acute and chronic pattern is probably due to a post-challenge anaphylactic reaction (30,31).

It is important to point out that CD5+ cells were not observed in the rectal epithelium of sensitized and challenged rabbits. Meanwhile these cells were present in the ileal epithelium and lamina propria.

Intraepithelial lymphocytes (IEL) from the gut have cytolytic and immunoregulatory properties, and are located close to the intestinal lumen (32).

The difference between the ileum and rectum is related to the different functions of both organs in the digestive tract. The ileum is in contact with high amounts of food macromolecules, while the rectum does not get in contact with food allergens but with a high concentration of saprofitic organisms in the lumen.
CD25 is an activation marker for cells participating in effector sites of allergic immune response after challenge. Even though we did not determine the CD25 phenotype, many authors consider this a marker for macrophages due to their particular morphology. Most cells are in close proximity to the rectal epithelium. We determined CD25 expression four hours after the challenge. Barois and Choi determined that the gene expression of the IL-2 receptor starts as soon as 4 to 6 hours after a challenge (30, 31).

The µ chain reflected heavy chains on immature B-cells. These cells were decreased in the rectal mucosa of both experimental groups (G2 and G3). This decrease may be due to a lesser expression of µ chains in B cells because of isotype switching or because of a real decrease in cell numbers due to cell migration.

It is important to point out that we as well as other groups have detected dendritic RLA II+ cells in close proximity to the epithelium. This localization of cells could be related to the antigen-presentation property of dendritic cells. Double stain studies are necessary to establish phenotypes. Other RLA II characteristic is that these cells are in groups beneath the epithelium.

A result, let us conclude that OVA sensitization and challenge induced quantitative modifications in studied cells. Such quantitative data may be considered an immunopathological pattern for acute anaphylactic reactions in the rectal mucosa of systemically sensitized animals.

REFERENCES