Solid pseudopapillary tumors of the pancreas: diagnosis and curative treatment
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: to highlight an infrequent occurrence using a series of clinical cases with symptoms and signs, and specific radiological findings allowing its diagnosis and treatment, which is in most cases successful.

Patients and methods: a descriptive and retrospective study of patients diagnosed by computed tomography scanning and then treated with surgery in the Pancreas and Biliary Unit of a University Hospital from March 1999 to September 2005.

Results: there were 6 female patients with a mean age of 33.5 years (range 11-72). Most common signs included pain and a palpable mass in the abdomen. Three patients were diagnosed by computed tomography scanning, and a differential diagnosis with a neuroendocrine tumor was performed for the remaining three subjects. Surgical treatment was adapted to each patient according to the findings and images seen in their computed tomography scans. Biopsy results confirmed the presumed diagnoses, and showed one case of solid pseudopapillary carcinoma of the pancreas. Average hospital stay was of 18.16 days (range 8-30). Mortality rate was 0%. No recurrences occurred during follow-up for 46.3 months on average (range 12-76).

Conclusions: the presence of a huge mass in the pancreas of a young female should prompt suspicion for a solid pseudopapillary tumor. Given its low malignant potential, and the presence of specific radiographic patterns, its diagnosis should be accurate, as radical surgical treatment is effective.
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INTRODUCTION

Solid pseudopapillary tumor of the pancreas (SPTP) is one of the less frequently found primary tumors of the pancreas, approximately in 0.17-2.7% of cases (1,2).

Over the years it has been given several different names according to its macroscopic and microscopic pathological characteristics (papillary-cystic tumor, solid cystic tumor, papillary epithelial neoplasm, solid and papillary neoplasm, papillary tumor of the pancreas, or Frantz’s tumor) until a consensus was reached in 1996, when it was defined by the World Health Organization (WHO) as a unique tumor with its current name: solid pseudopapillary tumor of the pancreas (3).

One of its characteristics is that it affects mainly young women (4). Most common symptoms are nonspecific abdominal pain together with bloating or a palpable abdominal mass. Occasionally the diagnosis is casually reached whilst doing other complementary tests—for example, ultrasounds or CT scan—for another condition.

It is a tumor that, despite possible histologic findings of malignancy, has a benign clinical behavior with low malignant potential (5). It also presents a specific immunohistochemical pattern, with findings in the macroscopic pathological analysis that correspond to images in the CT scan.

It is important to establish a precise preoperative diagnosis, given that radical surgery will usually be curative.

The aim of this publication is to highlight an unusual tumor, by means of a series of clinical cases, with a presentation of common symptoms and specific radiographic findings that allow preoperative diagnosis and then treatment, which will be curative in a majority of cases.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A descriptive, retrospective study was carried out on six patients diagnosed with SPTP in an area of
2,200,000 inhabitants from March 1999 to September 2005.

All patients were operated on in the Unit of Biliary-Pancreatic Surgery a University Hospital.

All information was carefully recorded, including demographic characteristics, symptoms, diagnostic methods, pathologic correlation, surgical treatment, and morbidity and mortality rates.

The diagnostic method used was abdominal CT with an oral contrast and continuous intravenous contrast.

In all cases surgically removed pieces were fixed in 10% formaldehyde and processed in paraffin. The diagnosis was confirmed by the histological analysis of these pieces.

Patient follow-up was performed on an outpatient basis following discharge from hospital.

RESULTS

During the period of this investigation –6 years– there were 6 cases of SPTP, five of which were young women, the other being a 72-year-old female. Mean age of the group was 33.5 years.

Symptoms were varied. Two patients were incidentally diagnosed when having an abdominal CT scan –one was being studied for arterial hypertension, the other had endometriosis and had at the time been admitted to hospital with acute pyelonephritis on the left side secondary to congenital renal atrophy. Three patients complained of pain and bloating for several months, and on physical exploration the presence of a palpable mass was noted. One 11-year-old patient who underwent appendectomy for her symptoms of acute appendicitis was found to have a perfectly normal appendix with a retroperitoneal hemorrhage, which led to complete her study during the postoperative period.

An initial diagnosis of SPTP was obtained before surgery using the evidence of symptoms and abdominal CT scans on all patients.

CT scans revealed a large hypervascular, encapsulated mass in contact with pancreatic tissues in all cases, with peripheral contrast enhancement and well defined margins. In three cases a mass with tissue heterogeneity and varying degrees of solid and cystic tissue was seen. In two cases the absence of the cystic component made it necessary to carry out a differential diagnosis between SPTP and neuroendocrine tumor of the pancreas (NETP); however, the fact that these were young female patients suggested an SPTP. In the 72-year-old patient the CT scan showed a large mass with solid and cystic components. The knowledge of cystic neuroendocrine tumors made differential diagnosis between SPTP and NETP a necessary step once again.

Radical surgical removal of the tumor was carried out in all patients. One patient who had a mass localized in the head of the pancreas underwent a cephalic pancreatic-duodenectomy with pyloric preservation and left nephrectomy. Another patient who had a tumor at the neck of the pancreas that extended to the superior part of the head and body of the gland underwent a distal pancreatectomy and partial resection of the head of the pancreas, with duodenal preservation, splenectomy, cholecystectomy, and hepatic jejunostomy (“Roux-en Y”). She needed a resection of the portal vein and reconstruction with autologous splenic vein due to the invasion of more than 50% of its circumference in the mesenteric-portal confluence. In the other four remaining cases the mass was located in the body and tail of the pancreas, and in these cases patients underwent distal pancreatectomy. Three of these four patients also underwent splenectomy (Table I).

The pathological study confirmed the suspected diagnosis of SPTP, and one case of solid pseudopapillary car-

### Table I. Patient data and clinical information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Clinical presentation</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Size (cm)</th>
<th>Cystic areas</th>
<th>CT diagnosis</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Follow-up (months)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Abdominal pain, palpable mass</td>
<td>Neck-body</td>
<td>7 x 6</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NETPs vs. SPTP</td>
<td>DP + S**</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Incidentally found</td>
<td>Head</td>
<td>6 x 5.5</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NETPs vs. SPTP</td>
<td>CPD + nephrectomy*</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Abdominal pain, palpable mass</td>
<td>Neck-body</td>
<td>16 x 14</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SPTP</td>
<td>DP + S</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>Head-neck</td>
<td>6 x 1.7</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SPTP</td>
<td>DP</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Incidentally found</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>3.7 x 2.8</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SPTP</td>
<td>DP + S</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Abdominal pain, palpable mass</td>
<td>Body-tail</td>
<td>7.3 x 5.4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NETPs vs. SPTP</td>
<td>DP + S</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SPTP: solid pseudopapillary tumor of the pancreas; NETP: neuroendocrine tumor of the pancreas; CPD: cephalic duodenopancreatectomy; DP: distal pancreatectomy; S: splenectomy; vs.: versus

*With pyloric preservation.

**Partial resection of the head of the pancreas with duodenal preservation, splenectomy, cholecystectomy, and hepatic jejunostomy (“Roux-en Y”), with resection of the portal vein and reconstruction with autologous splenic vein.
cinoma of the pancreas due to the invasion of the portal vein was reported.

With regard to morbidity rate, one case of biliary fistula, and one of pancreatic fistula, both of little importance, were treated and cured without surgery. Average hospital stay was 18.16 days (range: 8 to 30). Mortality rate was 0%. No recurrence was seen during postoperative follow-up for 46.3 months on average (range 12 to 76); interestingly, the only case of solid pseudopapillary carcinoma of the pancreas was also the patient with the longest follow-up.

DISCUSSION

The increasing interest in pancreatic conditions over the last few years, and the more detailed knowledge of some illnesses, has favored an increase in the number of cases diagnosed with SPTP. This is probably due to a better understanding of this tumor, rather than an actual increase in the number of cases, given the microscopic resemblance between this tumor and islet cell tumors (6).

This is a non-secreting tumor that primarily affects young women (91%). Mean age at diagnosis is between 23.9 and 25.5 years in women (7,8), and 31.4 years in men (8). In patients younger than 15 years there does not appear to be a greater incidence in females, as opposed to adults (9,10). There is still controversy regarding the origin of this tumor. It was thought to be related to genetic factors, as there was a higher incidence amongst Asian women (10). Dependence on sexual hormones has also been suggested (7,8). In the report by Mao et al., upon studying hormone receptors, 9 out of 46 cases tested positive for PGR (progesterone receptor) (11,12), whilst Koshmal et al. found that 100% of 57 cases tested positive for PGR (13). On observing such disparate results it is believed that sexual hormones play a more important role in this tumor’s growth rather than origin (4,12,14).

Koshmal et al. have also suggested that the origin of this tumor may be outside the pancreas, and located it at the gonadal level. Currently, the most accepted theory is that of its origin in a pluripotential cell capable of differentiation in an exocrine or endocrine sense (15-17), which would occur during infancy and then grow over the years (18).

Most frequent symptoms include abdominal discomfort, on occasions associated with a palpable abdominal mass (19-21). It is not unusual that a diagnosis is incidentally arrived at during a study carried out for another cause or abdominal trauma (22-25). Even more unusual is its presentation as an acute abdomen syndrome, with the patient developing hemoperitoneum from spontaneous rupture or intratumoral bleeding (7,21,26). A cholestatic syndrome from bile duct compression is also uncommon. There are usually no signs of pancreatic insufficiency, either endocrine or exocrine, nor elevated transaminases, amylases, or tumor markers such as CEA and CA 19.9.

These are solid tumors in which sclerosis develops along their vascular stalk. This results in ischemia, with bleeding in distal tissue and a possibility for cystic areas developing within the tumor. The area between the solid and cystic tissue components has a pseudo-papillary appearance. This histological evolution of the tumor may be seen in radiographic findings.

The imaging technique most widely used in its diagnosis is CT scanning (27-29), and there is a characteristic –though nonspecific– pattern. In radiographic studies, the presence of a large hypervascular encapsulated mass is noted in contact with the pancreatic tissue, with peripheral contrast enhancement. It may show either a solid or cystic aspect, or be heterogeneous in appearance (Fig. 1). The existence of various layers of cystic tissue (Fig. 2) will correspond to the solid tissue necrosis found in the pathologic study (Fig. 3). Occasionally, calcifications and bleeding signs may be seen inside the tumor. Differentiating SPTPs from adenocarcinomas is crucial, as the latter’s prognosis is much worse (30). MRI images show characteristics similar to those of CT, enabling a clearer picture of bleeding areas (29). Currently there is an increased use of echo-endoscopy with fine-needle puncturing in the diagnosis of this pancreatic neoplasm, which are especially useful for cystic lesions (4). On certain occasions this may help in the study of the tumor (31).

An assessment of epidemiological data, symptoms, and radiographic findings allows its differential diagnosis with other tumors, especially with the NETP (32). NETP, as is also the case with pancreatic adenocarcinoma, will rarely include a cystic component. The latter also predominantly involves older people, and will usually not reach such a large size.

![Fig. 1](image-url) - The tumor is located in the body-tail section of the pancreatic gland, is 16 x 14 x 11 cm in diameter, encapsulated, with solid and cystic areas, and rejects adjacent tissues without infiltration. The proximal area is mainly solid. The area corresponding to the tail of the pancreatic gland is cystic, with calcifications in the wall.

Tumoración localizada en cuerpo-cola de páncreas, de 16 x 14 x 11 cm de diámetro, de aspecto encapsulado, con áreas sólidas y quísticas, que rechaza estructuras adyacentes sin infiltración. La zona proximal de predominio sólido con zonas de menor atenuación que corresponden a líquido. La zona correspondiente a la cola, de características quísticas presenta calcificaciones en su pared.
This tumor can be very large. Location in the pancreatic gland is variable, although in 64% of all cases it is found in the body and the tail of the pancreas (4). It can displace nearby organs, and on rare occasions infiltrate them. Even though distant metastases have been found, this is a rare occurrence (5,33-35).

Once the suspected diagnosis has been established, and given a good biological reaction independently of patient age, it is believed that radical tumor surgery by a specialized pancreatic surgery team can be curative (36), even in the presence of metastatic disease or recurrence. Neither extensive lymphatic dissection nor adjacent structure resection are warranted (2,30,32,35,37). Patients treated with local resection involving the tumor alone, without excising any surrounding tissue, have a higher rate of recurrence (18).

Malignancy is determined by vascular and nervous invasion, and the presence of lymph node or liver metastases, which in these cases define the lesion as a solid pseudo-papillary carcinoma (3).

The roles of chemotherapy and radiotherapy are unclear, although experimental chemotherapy with IPE (ifosfamide, cisplatin, etoposide) has been used in cases of liver metastasis (23).

We can conclude that the presence of a large mass in the pancreas of a young woman may suggest SPTP. This growth has a low potential for malignancy and an excellent prognosis with specific radiographic patterns. Finally, its diagnosis should be accurate given that radical surgical treatment can be curative.
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