Optimization of postoperative pain management based on a new technological tool. Pain map
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: In 2005, the Ministry of Health, Social Services and Equality (MSSSI) promoted the Patient Safety Strategy of the National Health System (SNS), in collaboration with the Autonomous Communities, which integrated the contributions of health professionals and patients through their organizations.

One of the objectives of safe practices was the need for prevention and control of pain in adults and children, including management of the same in quality standards.

At the Germans Trias and Pujol University Hospital (HUGTIP) in 2016, the development of the indicators that were intended to be evaluated for better detection and therapeutic efficiency of acute in-hospital pain in any area ended with the design and creation of what we call as "Pain map".

The objective was to design a tool that, through the clinical pain variable, could improve the visualization of pain and extract statistical data from the defined indicators for optimal pain management in a university hospital of the third level.

Material and method: Descriptive analysis of the tool we call "Pain map" at the Germans Trias and Pujol University Hospital during 2016. The population was all patients admitted to hospital units where the pain registry was made through the GacelaCare® computerized medical record.

The main variable was the recording of pain intensity using the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) or Numeric

RESUMEN

Introducción: En el año 2005 el Ministerio de Salud, Servicios Sociales e Igualdad (MSSSI) impulsó y promovió la Estrategia de Seguridad del Paciente del Sistema Nacional de Salud (SNS), en colaboración con las comunidades autónomas, que integraba las aportaciones de los profesionales sanitarios y de los pacientes a través de sus organizaciones.

Uno de los objetivos de las prácticas seguras era la necesidad de prevención y control del dolor en el adulto y en el niño, incluyéndose la gestión de este en los estándares de calidad.

En el Hospital Universitario Germans Trias i Pujol (HUGTIP), en el año 2016 se inició la elaboración de los indicadores que se querían evaluar para una mejor detección y eficiencia terapéutica del dolor agudo intrahospitalario de cualquier área y que finalizó con el diseño y creación de lo que denominamos "Mapa del dolor".

El objetivo fue diseñar una herramienta que, a través de la variable clínica dolor, permitiera mejorar la visualización de esta y extraer los datos estadísticos de los indicadores definidos para una óptima gestión del dolor dentro de un hospital universitario de tercer nivel.

Material y método: Análisis descriptivo de la herramienta a la que denominamos "Mapa del dolor" en el Hospital Universitario Germans Trias i Pujol (HUGTIP) durante el año 2016. Se incluyeron todos los pacientes ingresados en los que el registro de dolor se realizó a través de la historia clínica informatizada GacelaCare®.
INTRODUCTION

The Ministry of Health, Social Services and Equality (MSSSI in Spanish), in collaboration with the governments of the autonomous regions, launched and promoted in 2005 the Patient Safety Strategy of the National Health System (SNS in Spanish), integrating the contributions of healthcare professionals and patients through their organizations (1,2).

The objectives of this strategy were based on improving the safety culture, the management of health risk, the training of professionals, the implementation of safe practices, and the involvement of patients and citizens.

The healthcare centers should develop effective systems to ensure, through the Clinical Directorate, a safe care and to learn lessons from their own practice and that of others (3).

One of the objectives of safe practices would be the need to prevent and control pain in adults and children (4-6), including the management of pain within the standards of “Achieving Improved Measurement” of the Canadian Council on Health Services Accreditation (7).

At the Germans Trias i Pujol University Hospital, from 1990 to date, strategies and resources have been gradually implemented to improve pain control, ranging from the use of patient-controlled analgesia pumps (PCA) in the 90s until the establishment of the Pain Committee in 2009, with a broad representation of members of medical and surgical services and nursing teams.

This Committee has been and it is the engine that, in less than a decade, has consolidated the efficiency in the control of pain by creating the figure of the pain nurse in each unit of hospitalization and critical care, the protocolization of all the acute pain of all surgical and medical services and the systematic analysis of the prevalence of in-hospital pain. This Committee has also established the continuous training of all nursing staff through a monographic course conducted biannually (of which 14 editions have been conducted to date), being the incorporation of the acute pain clinical nurse specialist from 2015 the last action conducted by this committee.

Furthermore, information and communication technologies (ICT), initially aimed at the management of hospital resources, have now been opened to monitor...
and control clinical variables. Therefore, in 2016, using their resources, the elaboration of indicators of acute inpatient pain began.

The objective was to design a tool that, through the clinical variable pain, could improve the visualization of this variable, extracting also the statistical data of the defined indicators for an optimal pain management.

**MATERIAL AND METHOD**

Descriptive analysis of the tool called “Pain map” in the Germans Trias i Pujol University Hospital in 2016.

The studied population consisted of all the admitted patients in whom pain was recorded using the GacelaCare® computerized medical record.

The main variable was the recording of pain intensity using the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) or Verbal Numerical Scale (VNS); considering pain as the 5th constant, this information was recorded during each nursing shift.

The procedure for the creation of the tool was performed with the collaboration of the Department of Information Systems and members of the Pain Committee. The objectives were to optimize the visualization of pain intensity records, and thus to define the indicators for a better detection and therapeutic efficiency of acute in-hospital pain. This tool was integrated into the “Business Objects” (BO) system, which obtains data from GacelaCare®.

The implementation of the new tool was performed simultaneously in 10 units of adult hospitalization and 1 unit of pediatric hospitalization at the end of 2016. In 2017, the Renal Transplant Unit and the new hospitalization unit for patients with psychiatric disorders were incorporated, thus completing the record in all the hospitalization units of our center.

The daily visualization of this tool is conducted by the acute pain clinical nurse, identifying those patients with high records of pain, in order to assess the causes and to optimize the analgesic regimen under the supervision of the physician assigned to the unit.

Records with severe pain are evaluated together with the patient’s nurse, and with the pain nurse and the physician assigned to the unit.

**RESULTS**

The "Pain map" allows to visualize records of pain intensity of all inpatients simultaneously and in real time (Figure 1).

The "Pain map", designed in a very visual way, identifies the intensity of the pain with different colors similarly to a traffic light. It shows the VNS values using the following colors: 1-3 (mild pain) in green, 4-6 (moderate pain) in orange, and 7-10 (intense pain) in red. In addition, the pain map points out with a purple band those patients operated on the previous day, facilitating pain control during the first 24 hours after surgery (Figure 2).

Two visualization schemes are available: one scheme provides information that allows detecting all those patients who have or have had pain in the last 24 hours, and the other scheme provides information regarding the entire hospital stay (Figure 3).

This information is the spearhead that starts, from the Acute Pain Unit, all those actions aimed at alleviating, as much as possible, pain in these patients.

The lower part of the pain map shows the general information per hospitalization floor and the whole hospital with description of empty beds, occupied beds, percentages of patients included in the pain record per nursing shift, number of patients operated in the last 24 hours and percentage of patients with VNS pain ≥ 3 and mean VNS (Figure 4).

The display screen of each patient is accessible by pressing any value of VNS in the pain map. Subsequently, a screen with all the pain records will appear from the moment the patient was admitted until the last record registered. This information is accompanied by tables and graphs that help to assess whether the analgesia used was effective (Figures 5 and 6).

In addition, the “Pain map” identifies whether a patient has had a peak of pain (VNS ≥ 7) and whether an effective analgesic rescue has been administered (one and two hours after this pain peak), an action that demonstrates if the intervention was quick and effective during an intense pain (Figure 8).

By using filters, the tool allows data mining separately for each medical or surgical service and in each hospitalization floor, facilitating the assessment of pain management in each of these environments (Figure 7).

In the absence of the acute pain indicators proposed by scientific societies, those indicators that we consider essential to assess the efficiency of in-hospital pain were defined. Special emphasis has been placed on the effectiveness of the actions undertaken against an intense pain with the aim of identifying problems and implementing measures of improvement.

Among the selected indicators, we considered of great interest those evaluating the VNS record, at least, on each nursing shift. In order to achieve the best outcomes on these indicators, the nursing training on the importance of the correct recording of pain and the reassessment of pain after an episode of intense pain (VAS ≥ 7) was intensified.

Considering that the most intense post-surgical pain does not occur only during the first 24 hours, but also between 48 and 72 hours, which is when the postoperative patient intensifies mobilization, respiratory physiotherapy, rehabilitation, etc., indicators were established throughout this period. The results of these indicators of acute postoperative pain have allowed us to reassess the protocols of analgesic regimen, especially in those fast-track surgeries where analgesic optimization at early discharge is critical.

The statistical mining of these data makes it possible to obtain comparative tables of these quality indicators, which allows the monthly elaboration of reports that are sent to all those responsible for the services and hospitalization units (Tables I, II and III). This action allows a transversal management of hospital pain control.

**DISCUSSION**

Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) in healthcare are key tools to improve the quality of healthcare and the health of citizens, facilitate the work...
Fig. 1. General view of the "Pain map".
**Traffic light of pain.** VNS maximum: 0 (no pain) white; 1-3 (mild pain) green; 4-6 (moderate pain) orange; 7-10 (severe pain) red. VNS mean: mean > 3 red. Purple bars: patients operated the previous day. Double purple line: patients operated during their stay.

**Fig. 2.** Partial view of the "Pain map". Traffic light of pain.
Fig. 3. "Pain map" during hospital stay.
Fig. 4. Data of the different hospital floors and the entire hospital.
When clicking on any pain value of a patient, a screen with all the pain records of the patient appears from the day of admission to date. These data are accompanied by tables and graphs that help assess whether the analgesia has been effective.

**Fig. 5.** Specific view.
Fig. 6. "Pain map" of a patient.
Fig. 7. Pain map of the hospital per services.
of professionals and make healthcare centers more efficient (8).

The “Pain map” allows to visualize in real time the VNS values of all inpatients (9), being a fundamental management tool for the Acute Pain Unit.

Specific training in the field of pain, especially in Nursing but also to physicians, is an essential action for pain control. The designation of the clinical pain nurse, in conjunction with the development of ICT, allows the optimization of pain control, guaranteeing the efficacy, safety and quality of care. The potential of this measurement tool has marked many of the following strategies: to use correctly the different pain assessment scales, to improve the degree of compliance with the assessment and record in the medical record, to correct the incorrect practices through the involvement and training of the healthcare professionals, to apply the different therapeutic measures (pharmacological and non-pharmacological), to improve the assessment of the effectiveness of the applied treatment, to optimize the action against an intense pain, to inform patients about pain management, and finally to minimize the risk of errors in the administration of analgesia.

We believe that achieving excellence in the control of acute pain will be a reality when pain in movement is controlled (cough, bowel movements, deep breathing, joint movements, ambulation, etc.). However, we must be realistic and this will not be achieved unless we are able to control pain at rest first.

The indicators of pain should therefore contemplate both pain at rest and in movement.

This control tool is intended to be the initial step to respond to all inpatients with pain from rest to full functionality.

In conclusion, all these strategies have as a final goal the achievement of larger efficiency in pain measurement and treatment in our hospital.

The “Pain map” is an innovative inexpensive tool facilitating the management of Acute Pain Units.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INDICATORS OF PAIN: RECORDS OF PAIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% patients with pain assessment records</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% records with moderate to severe pain (VAS &gt; 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% records with severe pain (VAS ≥ 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% records with reassessment of the peak of pain from moderate to severe (VAS &gt; 3) and severe pain (VAS ≥ 7) in 1 hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% records with mild pain (VAS ≤ 3) 1 hour after the peak of severe pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% records with reassessment of the peak of pain from moderate to severe (VAS &gt; 3) and severe pain (VAS ≥ 7) in 2 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% records with mild pain (VAS ≤ 3) 2 hours after the peak of severe pain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INDICATORS OF PAIN: FOR PATIENTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean VAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% patients with mild pain (VAS 1-3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% patients with moderate pain (VAS 4-6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% patients with severe pain (VAS 7-10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% patients with moderate to severe pain (VAS &gt; 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% patients with severe pain (VAS ≥ 7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INDICATORS OF PAIN: FOR POSTOPERATIVE (PO) PATIENTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First 24, 48 and 72 hours after surgery (PO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean VAS first 24, 48 and 72 h PO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% patients with moderate to severe pain (VAS &gt; 3) in the first 24, 48 and 72 h PO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% patients with severe pain (VAS ≥ 7) in the first 24, 48 and 72 h PO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% patients with mild pain (VAS ≤ 3) 1 hour after the peak of severe pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% patients with mild pain (VAS ≤ 3) 2 hours after the peak of severe pain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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