

Keywords: Minor physical anomalies, Tourette syndrome, Neurodevelopment

## Minor physical anomalies in Tourette syndrome

**Györgyi Csábi MD, PhD\***

**Júlia Gáboros MD, PhD\*\***

**Sára Jeges PhD\*\*\***

**Eszter Gyenge MD\*\*\*\***

**Mátyás Trixler MD, PhDDSc\*\*\*\*\***

**Tamás Tényi MD, PhD\*\*\*\*\***

\* Department of Pediatrics, University of Pécs, Faculty of Medicine, Pécs

\*\* Vadaskert Child and Adolescent Psychiatry Centre, Budapest

\*\*\* Central Research Laboratory, University of Pécs, Faculty of Medicine, Pécs

\*\*\*\* Outpatient Centre for Child Psychiatry, Pécs

\*\*\*\*\* Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University of Pécs, Faculty of Medicine, Pécs

HUNGARY

---

**ABSTRACT – Background and Objectives:** The prevalence of minor physical anomalies (prenatal errors of morphogenesis) was evaluated in patients with Tourette syndrome to get indirect data on the possible role of aberrant neurodevelopment in the aetiology of Tourette syndrome. No published study is known on the minor physical anomaly prevalence in this recently intensively investigated disorder, and connecting to current opinions on a possible role of aberrant neurodevelopment in Tourette syndrome it seems important to introduce trait marker research focusing on brain maldevelopment.

**Methods:** A scale developed by Méhes<sup>1,2</sup> was used to detect the presence or absence of 57 minor physical anomalies in 24 patients with Tourette syndrome and in 24 matched controls 21 boys and 3 girls were evaluated, the age of onset of illness among the Tourette patients was between the age of 5 and 13.

**Results:** The mean value of all minor physical anomalies was significantly higher among the group of patients compared with controls. (Mann - Whitney U - value: 49, 50, -Z = - 4,92, p = 0,001) In the case of 7 minor physical anomalies we could demonstrate statistically significant differences between the Tourette and the control sample. In the case of 4 minor malformations (supernumary nipples, prominent forehead, tongue with smooth and rough spots, double posterior hair whorl) and of 3 phenogenetic variants (anti-mongoloid slant, inner epicanthic folds, high arched palate) a significantly higher frequency was observed compared with control individuals. However after Bonferroni cor-

rection for the Fisher's Exact test, only double posterior hair whorl and high arched palate showed a significantly higher frequency compared to control children ( $p = 0.001$ ).

*Conclusions:* The overrepresentation of minor physical anomalies in Tourette syndrome can strongly support the view that this disorder is related to pathological factors operating early in development.

---

Received 14 January 2008

Revised 7 July 2008

Accepted 11 July 2008

## Introduction

Tourette syndrome is a neuropsychiatric syndrome with onset in childhood that is characterized by multiple chronic tics. The syndrome is characterized by involuntary rapid, non-rhythmic skeletal movements and sounds. Simple vocal tics include throat clearing, whistling, snorting, barking, growling, whereas complex vocal tics consist of words and phrases sometimes with obscene or aggressive content. Simple motor tics can be observed in the form of eye blinking, grimaces and jerks, whereas complex motor tics involve stereotyped facial expression, grooming, touching, hopping, banging and so on<sup>3</sup>. Conclusive evidence of the pathophysiological basis of tic disorders is lacking, but converging data support the notion that Tourette syndrome is a genetic disorder involving abnormal dopaminergic-excitatory amino acid interactions in neural circuits bridging parts of frontal cortex, basal ganglia and the thalamus. Both structural and functional neuroimaging studies have contributed to the understanding the aetiology of the syndrome. Studies using structural magnetic resonance imaging found decreased volume of the left basal ganglia<sup>4-6</sup>, while other studies reported abnormal size of the corpus callosum and enlarged right lateral ventricle<sup>7,8</sup>. As in other disorders (autism, attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder, dyslexia, schizophrenia, bipo-

lar affective disorder, obsessive-compulsive disorder) from the neurodevelopmental spectrum<sup>9,10</sup>, results from structural neuroimaging studies can get a parallel support by investigations on the phenotypical marker profile.

Minor physical anomalies or informative morphogenetic variants are mild, clinically and cosmetically insignificant errors of morphogenesis which have a prenatal origin and may bear major informational value for diagnostic, prognostic and epidemiological purposes<sup>11</sup>. The presence of minor physical anomalies is a sensitive physical indicator of embryonic development. They are of value to the clinical researchers as they serve as indicators of altered morphogenesis that occurred early in gestation. Since both the central nervous system and the skin derived from the same ectodermal tissue in utero, minor physical anomalies may be external markers of abnormal brain development. Minor physical anomalies are considered to develop during the first and/or early second trimester of gestation<sup>12,13</sup> and represent potentially valuable indices of disturbances in early neurodevelopment. Once formed they persist into adult life and are readily detected on visual examination of the particular body area. Minor physical anomalies have been found with increased frequency in autism, hyperactivity, epilepsy, learning disabilities, speech and hearing

impairments, mental retardation, poor motor coordination, attention deficit disorder, fetal alcohol syndrome, cerebral palsy<sup>11,13,14</sup> schizophrenia<sup>15-17</sup> and bipolar affective disorder<sup>2</sup>.

As we have discussed earlier<sup>2,18,19</sup> differences and contradictions between studies on minor physical anomalies among adults and children with different psychiatric disorders, may be associated, partly, with the problems in the use of the Waldrop-scale for the detection of these signs. The Waldrop-scale contains only 18 minor physical anomalies<sup>20</sup> while in recent pediatric literature more than 50 anomalies have been listed<sup>1,21,22</sup>. An other basic problem with the Waldrop-scale that it makes no distinction between minor malformations, which arise during organogenesis and phenogenetic variants, which appear after organogenesis<sup>19</sup>. Based on the report of the International Working Group<sup>23</sup> in 1985, both Opitz<sup>24</sup> and Méhes<sup>1</sup> urged a clear distinction between morphogenetic events developing during and after organogenesis. Minor malformations are always abnormal and are qualitative defects of embryogenesis, which arise during organogenesis. All malformations are developmental field defects and usually they are all-or-none anomalies. In contrast phenogenetic variants are quantitative defects of final morphogenesis and arise after organogenesis. Morphologically phenogenetic variants are the exact equivalents of normal antropometric variants. Using a list of minor physical anomalies containing 57 minor signs collected by Méhes<sup>1,2,13</sup> previously we have studied the prevalence of minor physical anomalies in patients with schizophrenia, alcohol dependence and major depression<sup>2,18,25</sup>, and recently the list and detailed definitions has become also acceptable for researchers, who wish to adapt our suggested modifica-

tions for the investigation of minor physical anomalies<sup>2</sup>.

The aim of the present study was to investigate the rate and topological profile of minor physical anomalies in a group of patients with Tourette syndrome. The following hypotheses have been tested: (1) Minor physical anomalies are more common in patients with Tourette syndrome than in normal subjects, (2) a higher rate of minor physical anomalies is found predominantly in the head and facial regions in patients with Tourette syndrome than in normal controls. We consider that this kind of clinical morphological study can give indirect data concerning the neurodevelopmental component of the aetiology of this disorder.

## Material and methods

### Participants

Using a list of minor physical anomalies 57 minor signs collected by Méhes<sup>1,2,13</sup> 24 consecutively admitted patients for an outpatient evaluation or consultation because of Tourette syndrome and 24 healthy controls matched based on sex, age and ethnical origin were evaluated. Patients were recruited from outpatient clinics at Budapest and Pécs. Both departments serve for children with psychiatric problems from the general population, the age range for treated children is between 1 to 18 years. The distribution of the gender of patients has showed a stronger male predominance than it is known from epidemiological studies<sup>26</sup>, 21 boys and 3 girls were evaluated, the age of onset of illness among the Tourette patients was between the age of 5 and 13. At the time of examination the patients age was between 11 and 16 years. All patients lived

with their families and attended regular schools. 13 patients received the comorbid diagnosis of obsessive-compulsive disorder and 2 children the diagnosis of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Children with other comorbid diagnoses (mental retardation and with any other Axis I and II diagnoses) were excluded from this study. The comparison group of children were from local elementary schools. Both parents and children gave consent, no compensation was given for participation in the study.

## Methods

We have used the Méhes Scale for evaluation of minor physical anomalies, which includes 57 minor signs<sup>1,2,13</sup>. The evaluated minor physical anomalies are shown in Table I. All items in the Waldrop-scale except for head circumference and longer third toe were included in our list of minor physical anomalies. A clear differentiation between minor malformations and phenogenetic variants were introduced, the scale and detailed defin-

Table I  
The Méhes Scale

Minor malformations	Phenogenetic variants
Preauricular tag	Small mandible
Preauricular pits	Confluent eyebrows
Lip pit	Short palpebral fissures
Bifid uvula	Mongoloid slant
Supernumerary nipples	Antimongoloid slant
Partial syndactyly toes 2-3	Inner epicanthic folds
Pigmented naevi	Hypertelorism
Cafe-au-lait spots	Asymmetrical size of ears
Haemangioma	Protruding auricle
Sacral haemangioma	Low set of ears
Prominent occiput	Soft and pliable ears
Prominent forehead	Abnormal philtrum
Flat forehead	Large and small oral opening
Flat occiput	High arched palate
Primitive shape of ears	Large tongue
Cup ears	Short sternum
Earlobe crease	Wide-set nipples
Simian crease	Acromial dimples
Sydney line	Deep sacral dimple
Single flexion crease on the 5th finger	Unusual length of fingers
Soke crease	Clinodactyly
Prominent heel	Hallucal abnormality
Double posterior hair whorl	Wide distance between 1 and 2 toes
Multiple buccal frenula	Nail hypoplasia
Furrowed tongue	Dimple on the tuberositas tibiae
Brushfield spots	Dimple on the elbow
Fine electric hair	
Tongue with smooth and rough spots	
Frontal upwap	
Lack of earlobe	
Double antihelix	

itions were published earlier<sup>2</sup>. The scale is appropriate for use with both adult and pediatric patients. In all cases patients and their parents gave informed consent, the study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was evaluated following institutional guidelines. Two examiners, one unaware and one aware of the diagnosis, investigated all the patients and controls separately. The raters were trained by Professor Károly Méhes, and they participated earlier in many minor anomaly studies, and they have a long clinical experience in dysmorphology. The blindness of the examiner who was unaware of the diagnosis was established as she (Gy. Csábi) took part parallelly in many different minor physical anomaly studies (childhood schizophrenia, ADHD, mental retardation, Tourette syndrome, dyslexia, drug abuse, disruptive disorder) and she has not got any knowledge that a certain child was from an investigated or from a control group. The diagnoses of the patients were evaluated independently by two experienced child psychiatrists according to the D Diagnostic and Statistical Manual-IV<sup>27</sup>. Only those meeting the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual-IV criteria for Tourette syndrome unanimously were considered for the study. The examination of minor physical anomalies was done qualitatively (present or absent) without scores being used, but where it was possible, measurements were taken with callipers and tape to improve the objectivity of examination. Techniques and standards of measurement were borrowed from the works of Feingold and Bossert<sup>21</sup> and Méhes<sup>1,13</sup>.

## Statistics

Before the statistical analyses interrater reliability was tested and the kappa coefficient was  $> 0,75$  for all items, so the aware-

ness or unawareness on the diagnosis didn't influence the results. Statistical analyses were carried out by applying the Mann-Whitney U-test for the analyses of all markers. For the analysis of the frequency of each individual minor physical anomalies the two-sided Fisher's exact probability test was used. A Bonferroni correction was used setting the p-value for the Fisher's Exact Test to  $p=0.001$ .

## Results

We should consider as a robust finding that in the Tourette sample 12 patients had more than 5 minor physical anomalies, 4 patients had 5, 5 individuals had 3 or 4, 3 patients had 2 anomalies and no patients were free from minor physical anomalies. In the control group no subject had more than 5 minor physical anomalies, 4 persons had 3, 10 subjects had 1 or 2 anomalies and 10 subjects were without any minor physical anomalies.

The observed frequency of minor physical anomalies for the patients and the control groups were tested by the Mann-Whitney U-test, the mean value of all signs was significantly higher among the patients group compared to controls. The values of the Tourette sample differed significantly from the control group (Mann-Whitney U - value: 49,50,  $-Z = -4,92$ ,  $p=0,001$ ) Mean value in the Tourette group: 5,458, standard deviation: 2,146, standard error: 0,438. Mean value in the control group: 1,108, standard deviation: 1,178, standard error: 0,241. In the case of 7 minor physical anomalies we could demonstrate statistically significant differences between the Tourette and the control sample by the use of Fisher's exact probability test for the analysis of the frequency of each

minor physical anomalies individually. As it is shown on Table II, in the case of 4 minor malformations (supernumerary nipples, prominent forehead, tongue with smooth and rough spots, double posterior hair whorl) and of 3 phenogenetic variants (antimongoloid slant, inner epicanthic folds, high arched palate) a significantly higher frequency was observed compared to control individuals. However after Bonferroni correction setting the p-value for the Fisher's Exact test to  $p=0.001$ , only double posterior hair whorl and high arched palate showed a significantly higher frequency compared to control children. On Table II. these two anomalies are highlighted.

## Discussion

Since the available evidence indicates that minor physical anomalies arise through processes which act during the early stages of embryonic and fetal life, the overrepresentation of these anomalies in patients with Tourette syndrome can support the view that this disorder is related to factors operating early in development. Our study on the

minor physical anomaly profile in Tourette patients emphasize the scientific importance of previous studies on the structural morphology among patients with this disorder<sup>4,6,8</sup>. Hyde *et al.*<sup>7</sup> performed a morphometric analyses of magnetic resonance imagings of 10 monozygotic twin pairs discordant for severity of Tourette syndrome but concordant for the presence of tic disorders. In the relatively more severely affected twins they could demonstrate significantly reduced volumes of the right caudate, while the mean volume of the left lateral ventricle was 16% smaller in the more severely affected twins than the less severely affected twins. In our study, we have found a significantly higher number of anomalies in the case of 4 minor malformations, which arise during the organogenesis, and in the case of 3 phenogenetic variants which arise after organogenesis. It seems important to mention that from the 7 minor anomalies which were significantly more common among the Tourette patients, 6 involved the regions of the head suggesting a relationship with an abnormal neurodevelopmental process. Connecting to the view of Méhes<sup>1</sup> we emphasize the essential informative importance of the significantly increased rate of supernumerary

Table II

Minor malformations	Tourette patients	Control children	Statistical significance (kappa values and p-values of Fisher's exact test, two-sided)
Supernumerary nipples	8	1	kappa = 0,84, p = 0,023
Prominent forehead	5	0	kappa = 0,79, p = 0,050
Tongue with smooth and rough spots	6	0	kappa = 0,77, p = 0,022
Double posterior hair whorl	10	0	kappa = 0,85, p = 0,001
Phenogenetic variants	Tourette patients	Control children	Statistical significance (kappa values and p-values of Fisher's exact test two-sided)
Antimongoloid slant	6	0	kappa = 0,81, p = 0,022
Inner epicanthic folds	10	1	kappa = 0,76, p = 0,004
High arched palate	12	0	kappa = 0,89, p = 0,001

nipples and of double posterior hair whorl, as a wide range of pathological anomalies associated with supernumerary nipples has been described<sup>28,29</sup> and that abnormal hair patterns may call attention to impaired early development of the central nervous system<sup>1,30,31</sup>.

To see as a limitation of the study, we should be cautious not to speculate from this minor physical anomaly study on the timing of possible genetic and/or epigenetic insults influencing brain development, as further studies on different population cohorts need to clear up the minor physical anomaly profile in Tourette syndrome. Since data concerning the structural brain abnormalities in our Tourette sample were not available, our findings on the significantly higher rates of minor physical anomalies couldn't be matched with localized neuroanatomical abnormalities of the patients' brain. Although there is a general consensus of a cortico-striatal-thalamo-cortical circuit abnormality, the pathophysiological locations are speculative<sup>26</sup>. Many investigators have focused on the striatal component<sup>5,32</sup>, however evidence is accumulating also to support a cortical dysfunction in Tourette syndrome<sup>33,34</sup>. As a next step of research a clinical comparison of Tourette patients with a high minor physical anomaly counts to patients with low counts should be evaluated in the terms of neuroanatomical findings, obstetrical lesions, familial neuropsychiatric disorders, level of IQ, learning disability and treatment response. We consider our data as important, either as a first step toward a possible exploration of a specific minor physical anomaly profile of Tourette patients or as indirect data supporting the neurodevelopmental hypothesis<sup>10,26,35</sup> concerning the aetiology of combined vocal and multiple tic disorder.

We report on no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Méhes K. Minor malformations in the neonate: Utility in screening infants at risk of hidden major defects. *Prog in Clin and Biol Res* 1985; 163: 45-49.
2. Trixler M, Tényi T, Csábi Gy, Szabó R. Minor physical anomalies in schizophrenia and bipolar affective disorder. *Schizophr Res* 2001; 52: 195-201.
3. Mink JW. Basal ganglia dysfunction in Tourette's syndrome: A new hypothesis. *Pediatr Neurol* 2001; 25: 190-198.
4. Peterson BS, Riddle MA, Cohen DJ, Katz LD, Smith JC, Hardin MT. Reduced basal ganglia volumes in Tourette's syndrome using three-dimensional reconstruction techniques from magnetic resonance images. *Neurol* 1993; 43: 941-949.
5. Singer HS, Reiss AL, Brown JE, Aylward EH, Shih B, Chee E. Volumetric MRI changes in basal ganglia of children with Tourette's syndrome. *Neurol* 1993; 43: 950-956.
6. Gerard E, Peterson BS. Developmental processes and brain imaging studies in Tourette syndrome. *J Psychosom Res* 2003; 55: 13-22.
7. Hyde TM, Stacey MF, Coppola R, Handel SF, Rickler KC, Weinberger DR. Cerebral morphometric abnormalities in Tourette's syndrome: a quantitative MRI study of monozygotic twins. *Neurol* 1995; 45: 1176-1182.
8. Peterson BS, Leckman JF, Duncan JS, Ketzles R, Riddle MA, Hardin MT. Corpus callosum morphology from magnetic resonance images in Tourette's syndrome. *Psych Res Neuroimag* 1994; 55: 85-99.
9. Keshevan MS, Murray RM, editors. *Neurodevelopment and Adult Psychopathology*. Cambridge, New York, Melbourne: Cambridge University Press; 1997.
10. Ryan SG. Genetic susceptibility to neurodevelopmental disorders. *J Child Neurol* 1999; 14: 187-195.
11. Pinsky L. Informative morphogenetic variants. Minor congenital anomalies revisited. *Iss Rev Teratology* 1985; 3: 135-170.
12. Aase JM. *Diagnostic Dysmorphology*. New York, London: Plenum Medical Book Company; 1990.
13. Méhes K. Informative morphogenetic variants in the newborn. (English Edition by the Hungarian Academy of Sciences). Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó; 1988.
14. Opitz JM. Editorial comment: Heterogeneity and minor anomalies. *Amer J Med Gen* 2000; 91: 254-255.

15. Gualtieri CT, Adams A, Shen CD, Loisel D. Minor physical anomalies in alcoholic and schizophrenic adults and hyperactive and autistic children. *Amer J Psychiat* 1982; 139: 640-643.
16. Lohr JB, Flynn K. Minor physical anomalies in schizophrenia and mood disorders. *Schizophr Bull* 1993; 19: 551-556.
17. Lane A, Kinsella A, Murphy P, Byrne M, Keenan J, Colgan K, et al. The antropometric assessment of dysmorphic features in schizophrenia as an index of its developmental origins. *Psychol Med* 1997; 27: 1155-1164.
18. Trixler M, Tényi T, Csábi Gy, Szabó G, Méhes K. Informative morphogenetic variants in patients with schizophrenia and alcohol-dependent patients: Beyond the Waldrop Scale. *Amer J Psychiat* 1997; 154: 691-693.
19. Trixler M, Tényi T. Problems with the Waldrop scale. *Amer J Psychiat* 2000; 157: 486.
20. Waldrop MF, Goering JD. Hyperactivity and minor physical anomalies in elementary school children. *Amer J Orthopsychiat* 1971; 41: 602-607.
21. Feingold M, Bossert WH. Normal values for selected physical parameters: An aid to syndrome delineation. *Birth defects* 1974; 10/13: 1-16.
22. Merlob P. Mild errors of morphogenesis one of the most controversial subjects in dysmorphology. *Iss Rev Teratology* 1994; 7: 57-102.
23. Spranger J, Berirschke K, Hall JG, Lenz W, Lowry RB, Opitz JM, et al. Errors of morphogenesis: Concepts and terms. *J Pediatr* 1982; 100: 160-165.
24. Opitz JM. Invited editorial comment: Study of minor anomalies in childhood malignancy. *Eur J Pediatr* 1985; 144: 252-254.
25. Tényi T, Trixler M, Csábi Gy, Jeges S. Minor physical anomalies in non-familial unipolar recurrent major depression. *J Affect Disord* 2004; 79: 259-262.
26. Singer HS. Tourette's syndrome: from behaviour to biology. *Lancet Neurol* 2005; 4: 149-159.
27. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Revised Fourth Edition. Washington DC: American Psychiatric Association; 1994.
28. Schmidt H. Supernumerary nipples: prevalence, size, sex and side predilection - a prospective clinical study. *Eur J Pediatr* 1998; 157: 821-823.
29. Brown J, Schwartz RA. Supernumerary nipples: an overview. *Cutis* 2003; 71: 344-346.
30. Smith DW, Gong BT. Scalp hair pattering: Its origin and significance relative to early brain and upper facial development. *Teratol* 1974; 9: 17-34.
31. Frias JL, Carey JC. Mild errors of morphogenesis. *Adv in Pediatr* 1996; 43: 27-75.
32. Peterson BS, Thomas P, Kane MJ, Scahill L, Zhang H, Bronen R, et al. Basal ganglia volumes in patients with Gilles de la Tourette syndrome. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2003; 60: 415-424.
33. Fredericksen KA, Cutting LE, Kates WR, Mostofsky SH, Singer HS, Cooper KL, et al. Disproportionate increases of white matter in right frontal lobe in Tourette syndrome. *Neurology* 2002 8; 58: 85-89.
34. Kates WR, Frederikse M, Mostofsky SH, Folley BS, Cooper K, Mazur-Hopkins P, Kofman O, Singer HS, Dencikla MB, Pearson GD, Kaufmann WE. MRI parcellation of the frontal lobe in boys with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder or Tourette syndrome. *Psychiatry Res* 2002; 30; 116: 63-81.
35. Gilbert D. Treatment of children and adolescents with tics and Tourette syndrome. *J Child Neurol* 2006; 21: 690-700.

Address for correspondence:  
 Tamás Tényi  
 7623 Pécs  
 Rét u.2. Hungary  
 Tel/Fax : 36-72-535-951  
 email: tamas.tenyi@aok.pte.hu