Introduction

Unlike the “common” glioblastoma, giant cell glioblastoma is not encountered in everyday practice and it probably comes as an unexpected diagnosis most of the times. Despite certain similarities between the two disease processes, there are differences that may be of relevance for the management of the occasional patient harboring this lesion. A case of giant cell glioblastoma managed at the authors’ institution is reported and the key features of giant cell glioblastoma are reviewed.

Clinical case

A 54 year-old man presented with headache and motor dysphasia of short duration. MR disclosed a voluminous mass in the left temporal lobe (figure 1-A&B). The patient was operated. A frontotemporal approach was employed. The lesion had a good cleavage plane, was moderately hemorrhagic and was totally resected in a piece meal fashion. The postoperative course was uneventful. Histological examination disclosed a highly cellular neoplasm with marked pleomorphism. Prominent giant cells and numerous atypical mitotic figures were found (figure 1-C). Reticulin was abundant in the stroma. Immunohistochemistry showed positivity for GFAP, S100 protein and p53. The picture was consistent with giant cell glioblastoma. Adjuvant radiotherapy (60Gy) and chemotherapy (temozolomide) were given. There was no evidence of relapse at the 14 month follow up MR (figure 1-D). The patient was symptom free and had no neurological deficits at that time. Three months after, the patient complained of backache, lower limb weakness and deteriorating general condition. MR disclosed spinal leptomeningeal metastases (figure 1-E). Curiously there was no evidence of relapse at the original site. No further treatments were employed. The patient died 19 months after surgery.

Comments

“Common” glioblastoma is a diagnosis that implies
Figure 1. A: preoperative axial T2 weighted MR image; a quite homogeneous subcortical mass in the left temporal lobe with well defined borders and associated edema. B: preoperative coronal T1 weighted MR image; marked contrast enhancement is seen. C: H&E stained slide high power view; a characteristic giant cell is present in the center of the field. D: postoperative coronal T1 weighted MR image 14 months after surgery; no mass and no contrast enhancement. E: postoperative sagittal T1 weighted MR image 17 months after surgery; spinal leptomeningeal metastases.
most of the times a very short survival. Despite this being a case of giant cell glioblastoma, in the beginning it was not felt that its course would be very different. However, the patient responded very well to surgery and adjuvant treatments and the situation started to be regarded with some enthusiasm and optimism. Nevertheless, relapse occurred and it came in a somewhat unexpected fashion.

Review of the literature

Giant cell glioblastoma has merited a separate category in the World Health Organization (WHO) classification of tumors as a grade IV tumor of astrocytic origin. Microscopically, giant cells with nuclei of variable number, size and shape are the characteristic feature. These cells may reach up to 500 μm. Cells of small dimension are seen as well. The lesion is highly cellular. In certain cases abundant stromal reticulin fibers can be found. Prominent nucleoli, typical and atypical mitotic figures, and irregularly shaped chromatin fragments are encountered. Necrosis is present, namely in pseudo-palisading or large ischemic forms. The accumulation of tumor cells around blood vessels may originate pseudo-rosette formation. An in vitro study showed that some giant multinucleated cells might have originated from the conversion of a number of small tumor cells, also of astrocytic origin. Giant tumor cells may show lipid accumulation, and abundant microcalcifications may be present as well. Infiltration of the tumor by cells pertaining to the immune system can be prominent, namely mononuclear leukocytes and eosinophilic granulocytes. Cellular whors can also be a feature of the tumor. Cytology may give a clear, even diagnostic, impression of the tumor at the time of surgery. A mixed ganglion cell tumor - giant cell glioblastoma morphology has been described, as well as the presence of an oligodendroglial component.

The correct origin and classification of the tumor has been determined by immunohistochemistry profiles. Gliarial filamentous protein (GFAP) positivity supports the glial origin of the lesion, and thereby turns inadequate the previously used designation “monstrocellular sarcoma”. Immunohistochemistry studies show staining of tumor cells for GFAP, vimentin, S-100 protein, and alpha-1 anti-chymotrypsin. GFAP staining is equally intense and the situation started to be regarded with some enthusiasm and optimism. Nevertheless, relapse occurred and it came in a somewhat unexpected fashion.
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to constitute 6%, and those in patients between 10 and less than 20 years 9% of the total. One case has been reported in a child only 1-year-old.

From the imaging perspective giant cell glioblastoma has been described as a well demarcated lesion, but no distinguishing features were found when compared to the “common” glioblastoma. However, the lesion can evolve from well circumscribed and homogeneous, to infiltrative and heterogeneous in a four month period. Magnetic resonance (MR) may disclose a contrast enhancing heterogeneous mass, with solid and cystic areas, hypointense on T1 weighted sequences, hyperintense on T2 weighted sequences, surrounded by edema. The cyst with mural nodule appearance has been described as well. The value of MR spectroscopy, diffusion weighted imaging and perfusion imaging in addition to routine MR imaging have been emphasized; these techniques may offer clues that allow for a better differential diagnosis between glioblastomas, the giant cell variety included, and other pathologies.

The treatment strategy has generally included surgery which by itself may offer 32 weeks of mean survival time. One anecdotic patient treated with surgery alone survived for 17 years and 9 months and died of an unrelated cause. Intraoperatively the tumor has been described as friable, moderately vascularized, amenable to suction, partially cystic and with a good cleavage plane; another report describes it as solid, firm and well demarcated; adhesion to the dura can occur. The benefit of adjuvant treatment with radiotherapy has been established, adding 25 weeks to the total mean survival time. Use of chemotherapy has been described as well, although protocols are quite variable.

Anecdotic cases of extremely long survival ranging from 11 to 17 years without evidence of recurrence have been reported. In a case of recurrence of giant cell glioblastoma nine years after the initial diagnosis, progression to gliosarcoma occurred. Nevertheless, the patient, an 8-year-old child, had more than 10 years of total survival. Possible causes of the sarcomatous transformation may include radiotherapy and a phenotypic change of the tumor cells. Nevertheless, cases of extreme success are tempered by other reports; recurrence just one month after apparent total removal in a child has been reported; in a series of seven patients, the lesion was described as having a highly malignant behavior and all were dead within 14 months despite aggressive treatment; three out of ten patients died within 3 days of surgery.

A positive relationship has been shown between the length of survival of patients with glioblastomas and the presence of the giant cell variety. Adding two recent clinical series of patients harboring glioblastomas that survived for more than five years constituting as a whole 12 cases, one third (n=4) had giant cell glioblastoma. Infiltration of the tumor by leukocytes has been regarded as a good prognostic factor in view of the enhanced immune response of the organism that it may represent; giant cells in this respect may function as magnifiers of the antigenic stimulus. The presence of a fibrous stroma in giant cell glioblastoma may contribute to a precise delimitation of the tumor thereby facilitating radical surgical excision, a factor that may have some association with prolonged survival. Alpha I antitrypsin detected with relatively high frequency in the giant cells may explain the bizarre size and pericellular reticulin fiber formation.

In giant cell glioblastoma gene p53 (syn. TP53) has a mutation in 75-89% of the cases. Mutations have been studied in detail at the molecular level and reported: codon 285, G to A transition results in a glutamic acid to lysine substitution; G>A transition at nucleotide 524, substitution of arginine by histidine at position 175 of the p53 protein. The p53 gene is located on chromosome 17 and is regarded a guardian of the genome; p53 protein is involved in cell cycle arrest and apoptosis when DNA is damaged. Mutant p53 proteins are stable and can be detected by immunohistochemistry. These techniques disclose positivity for p53 protein in giant cell glioblastoma. Although the gene may be mutated and staining for the protein absent, and the protein may be found without a mutated gene, nuclear immunoreactivity for p53 protein is associated with an increased frequency of p53 gene mutation. Approximately 30% frequency of PTEN (phosphatase and tensin homologue) gene mutations is recorded in giant cell glioblastoma. The PTEN gene is located on chromosome 10 and it is related to cell cycle arrest, apoptosis and inhibition of cell motility; mutation allows cell division. Strong survivin immunoreactivity has been shown for giant cell glioblastoma. The staining is only cytoplasmic. Survivin functions as an inhibitor of apoptosis protein. A trend exists towards survivin expression and higher grade of astrocytic tumors. However, this does not necessarily imply rapid division and poor prognosis since the marker is overexpressed in meningiomas and benign peripheral nerve sheath tumors. A chromosomal abnormality consisting of loss of 17p, 1p and 19q has been described for giant cell glioblastoma; the combination of 1p and 19q deletions has been associated with a better prognosis. Loss of 10p15 in a subpopulation of tumor cells has been described. Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) gene amplification, a genetic alteration with growth promoting potential, is not significant, and does not seem to play a role in the evolution of the tumor. Similar considerations apply to genes CDK4-MDM2, n-myc, c-myc and gli. There is a relative absence of CDKN2A deletion, a genetic alteration with growth promoting potential. Defects of mitotic spindle checkpoint gene hBUB1 do not seem to be implicated in giant cell glioblastoma as a cause of chromosomal...
A giant cell with a binucleated appearance has been observed. Mitotic checkpoint has to do with a delay in anaphase if spindle damage is present in order to increase the probability of delivering an aneuploid genome to the daughter cells. Immunoreactivity for neurotrophin Trk A and Trk B receptors is low in giant cell glioblastoma. Neurotrophin Trk A and Trk B receptors have been implicated as a factor responsible for the very early relapse of the tumor. The expected figure for the “common” glioblastoma would be 15-20%, for cases of long survival <18%, for cases with poor prognosis >36%; microscopic tumor rests not visible in post-operative MRI images were also considered of significance. Giant cells are concerned, these have been classified as scarce when compared to the number usually present in the “common” glioblastoma, and some changes associated with a dismal prognosis (e.g. EGFR gene amplification, CDKN2A homozygous deletion) are not found.

A somewhat elevated proliferation index of 25% (Ki-67 nuclear antigen labeling index identified through MIB-1 antibody) has been implicated as a factor responsible for genomic aberrations. The very early relapse of the tumor (expected figure for the “common” glioblastoma would be 15-20%, for cases of long survival <18%, for cases with poor prognosis >36%); microscopic tumor rests not visible in post-operative MR images were also considered of significance. Giant cells synthesize DNA and the progression might be related to mutated tumor suppressor gene p53. Markers of proliferative potential such as proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) and Ki-67 can be strongly positive in the nuclei of multinucleated giant cells, especially in adult cases. However, this should be interpreted in the context of the global behavior of the cell. Proliferation has been suggested to be determined by the biological behavior of mononucleated giant cells and small cells. Multinucleated giant cells do not result from either cell fusion or cell degeneration. They seem to be cells that remain in mitosis between metaphase and telophase; the nuclei undergo division but the cytoplasm doesn’t. Multinucleated giant cells do not seem to have proliferative activity. Mononucleated cells on the contrary have this capacity and, if present in a significant proportion, may imply a worse prognosis. Aurora-B is a kinase that functions in early and late mitotic events, chromosome segregation / condensation and cytokinesis. Aurora-B dysfunction has been shown in multinucleated giant tumor cells. This will cause aberrations in cytoplasmic cleavage without affecting nuclear division.

Clinically and genetically giant cell glioblastoma has been placed in an intermediate position between the de novo “common” glioblastoma (primary type) and the “common” glioblastoma deriving from a precursor lesion (secondary type). Giant cell glioblastoma shares with secondary “common” glioblastoma p53 mutations in more than 70% of the cases and younger patient age at presentation; features shared with primary “common” glioblastoma are 30% frequency of PTEN mutations, short clinical history and absence of less malignant precursor lesion. Overall, analyses of survival in large series seem to agree that the prognosis is somewhat better than that of “common” glioblastoma, e.g., 38 cases - 27.4 months average post-operative survival; 24 cases - 57 weeks mean survival.

**Conclusion**

Giant cell glioblastoma has a more benign entourage than “common” glioblastoma. Nevertheless, the individual patient variables and the biological features of the tumor, despite the giant cell morphology, should be well taken into account since an ominous course is also a possibility.
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