

Chapter 14

Guidelines for specialized nutritional and metabolic support in the critically-ill patient. Update. Consensus SEMICYUC-SENPE: Multiple trauma patient

A. L. Blesa Malpica^a, A. García de Lorenzo y Mateos^b and A. Robles González^c

^aHospital Clínico San Carlos. Madrid. Spain. ^bHospital Universitario La Paz. Madrid. Spain. ^cUCI Área de Traumatología. Hospital Universitario Vall d'Hebrón. Barcelona. Spain.

Abstract

Patients with polytrauma can be viewed as paradigmatic of the critically-ill patient. These previously healthy patients undergo a life-threatening aggression leading to an organic response that is no different from that in other types of patients. The profile of trauma patients has changed and currently corresponds to patients who are somewhat older, with a higher body mass index and greater comorbidity. Severe injuries lead to intense metabolic stress, posing a risk of malnutrition. Therefore, early nutritional support, preferentially through the enteral route, with appropriate protein intake and glutamine supplementation, provides advantages over other routes and types of nutritional formula. To avoid overnutrition, reduced daily calorie intake can be considered in obese patients and in those with medullary lesions. However, little information on this topic is available in patients with medullary lesions.

Nutr Hosp 2011; 26 (Supl. 2):63-66

Key words: *Múltiple trauma. Glutamina. Calorie requirements. Farmacconutrición.*

Introduction

The profile of injured patients ranges from the young healthy patient suffering an accident when driving a motor vehicle to the somewhat older patient, with associated conditions suffering a precipitation or is run over¹. Social behavior changes are leading to an increase

Correspondence: A. L. Blesa Malpica.
Hospital Clínico San Carlos.
Madrid. Spain.
E-mail: ablesamal@gmail.com

SEMICYUC: Spanish Society of Intensive Care Medicine and Coronary Units.
SENPE: Spanish Society of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition.

RECOMENDACIONES PARA EL SOPORTE NUTRICIONAL Y METABÓLICO ESPECIALIZADO DEL PACIENTE CRÍTICO. ACTUALIZACIÓN. CONSENSO SEMICYUC-SENPE: PACIENTE POLITRAUMATIZADO

Resumen

El paciente traumatizado puede considerarse el paradigma del paciente crítico que, previamente sano, sufre una agresión que pone su vida en riesgo y que determina una respuesta orgánica en nada diferente a la presente en otro tipo de pacientes. El perfil del paciente traumático ha cambiado, siendo en la actualidad algo más mayores, con índices de masa corporal más elevados y con una mayor comorbilidad. Cuando la agresión es grave, su respuesta metabólica es intensa y condiciona un riesgo nutricional. Por ello, el soporte nutricional precoz, de preferencia enteral, con aporte proporcionado de proteínas y suplementado con glutamina, condiciona ventajas competitivas con otras vías y tipos de fórmulas nutricionales.

La presencia de obesidad y/o lesión medular debe hacernos considerar una disminución proporcionada del aporte calórico diario, evitando la sobrenutrición, aunque en los pacientes con lesión medular es escasa la información disponible.

Nutr Hosp 2011; 26 (Supl. 2):63-66

Palabras clave: *Politrauma. Glutamina. Necesidades calóricas. Farmacconutrición.*

in patients with overweight, an even clearly obese. These circumstances make the injured patient undergo a higher nutritional risk than those derived from the traumatic aggression in a previously healthy patient and condition a response more in line with the seriously ill patient with complications.

Injured patients show metabolic changes² and immunosuppression, with an increased risk of infection and post-traumatic organ failure. The generated hypermetabolic situation must be recognized promptly and be settled readily and for the time needed, as it may last weeks. There are some controversial issues in the nutrition of these patients, such as the time required to start, calorie distribution of macronu-

trients, the administration route and the duration of nutritional support.

This chapter excludes brain injury, that will be reviewed in the chapter of neurocritical patients.

When is specialized nutritional support indicated in patients with polytrauma?

In all patients with severe polytrauma and disability or oral nutrition contraindication, artificial nutritional support must be planned. Injured patients with an injury severity score (ISS) > 16 must be considered seriously ill, and, therefore, at an increased nutritional risk, and should be assessed for it² (III).

Patients in whom disability for feeding is suspected in the first 5-7 days should immediately start nutritional support, once stabilized³⁻⁵ (IV).

What route should be used to provide the nutrient?

The goodness of enteral nutrition (EN)⁶ (III), ⁷ (IIa), ^{8,9} (Ib), ¹⁰ (Ia) was established from the studies by Moore in 1981, instituting enteral catheters for early nutrition in patients where laparotomy was required for injury reasons.

Ideally, artificial nutrition should be started early, once hemodynamic stability is obtained, by gastric or postpyloric EN¹¹ (Ib), ¹² (III), ¹³ (IV), not excluding complementary parenteral nutrition (PN) or its exclusive use when it is expected that the patient may not take any food in the first 3 days, or a prolongation of this disability beyond 5-10 days is expected. This supply as complementary PN is object of disagreement between the American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (ASPEN)⁵ (IV) and the European Society for Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism (ESPEN) recommendations¹⁴ (IV). ASPEN does not recommend it for the first 7-10 days in patients unable to tolerate some amount of EN, as parenteral supplies in patients reaching at least 1,000 enteral calories are associated with a higher infectious morbidity and an increased in late ARDS, with the resulting prolongation of stay and mechanical ventilation¹⁵ (III). Meanwhile, ESPEN¹⁴ (IV) recommends that, given the impact of the calorie deficit on the final outcome, patients with early inability to assume a sufficient amount of calories by enteral route must receive supplemental nutrition by venous route in the first 2 days of progress. There is not sufficient evidence to assume the best recommendation, but as the optimum nutrition is correlated to the better clinical outcomes of the patient at the intensive care unit (ICU), the European position appears to be more advisable, with pending studies to clarify this issue¹⁶ (IV).

An early EN (within the first 24-48 hours of admission), in addition to increasing tolerance, helps avoid

gastrointestinal complications such as constipation¹⁷ (III). There is no evidence of superiority of continuous nutrition over intermittent nutrition, with contrary results on oxygen consumption^{18,19} (III) and bowel complications, but continuous infusion appears to show a trend towards lower mortality²⁰ (IIa).

Administration of full doses may be used without this involving an increased intolerance, confirming an increase in regurgitation episodes, but with a better compliance with calorie requirements²¹ (Ib). It is recommended to use prokinetics drugs to achieve an effective application of EN²² (Ia).

What calorie amount should be provided?

Although the available evidence is not unquestionable, there is adequate doctrine to prevent overnutrition²³ (III).

The amount of calories to be provided is obtained by indirect calorimetry, that has been used as comparison pattern for the different predictive formulae. At present, it is accepted that the increase in calorie needs of patients with polytrauma does not exceed 40% of those established by the Harris-Benedict equation, which means 25-30 kcal/kg/day, that in the case of injured obese patients (BMI > 30 kg/m²) decreases to values < 20 kcal/kg actual weight/day^{23,24} (III) (see chapter 12).

In spinal cord injury patients it is estimated that supplies of 20-22 and 23-24 kcal/kg/day may replace the needs of quadriplegic and paraplegic patients, respectively²⁵⁻²⁷ (III).

How should feeding be accomplished?

There is no evidence supporting a given calorie distribution in patients with polytrauma, that must be adjusted to the specific particular circumstances of each individual patient and the general recommendations for critically-ill patients. As in any seriously ill patient, a reasonable control of glycemia should be maintained (see chapter 10). Glucose supply will range from 50 to 70% of non-protein calories, with fat supplies from 20-30%. In PN, these lipid solutions should not have a concentration under 20%²⁸ (Ib) and their composition should include fatty acids derived from fish (ω -3), because of their anti-inflammatory activity²⁹ (IV). Exclusive supply of ω -6 must be avoided, replacing them in part by others with a lower proinflammatory capacity³⁰ (Ib).

Pharmaconutrition provides therapeutic benefits to surgical patients and, specifically, patients with polytrauma, either as mixtures of arginine, and ω -3 fatty acids, without glutamine⁴ (III), ³¹ (IV), or with glutamine³² (IV), either supplemented with enteral³³ (Ib) or parenteral glutamine^{34,35} (Ib). A reduction was confirmed in the infection rate, length of stay in the ICU, hospital stay and, in some cases, mortality in septic

patients³⁶ (Ib). A metaanalysis³⁷ (Ia) supports the use of ω -3 and also questions the use of arginine. The greatest evidence available in patients with polytrauma recommends using glutamine supplementation³⁸ (Ia),³⁵⁻³⁹ (Ib).

Vitamin and/or antioxidant mineral supply reduces the inflammatory response⁴⁰ (Ib) and may reduce morbidity and mortality in patients with polytrauma⁴¹ (III).

The attenuation of the inflammatory response, reduction of inflammatory mediators, better and greater hormonal secretion, better healing and better capacity defence, lead pharmaconutrition to be advisable in injured patients, improving the length of stay, both at the ICU and at the hospital, as well as infectious complications and mortality⁴² (Ib).

Patients with spinal cord injury

Patients with spinal cord injury show a somewhat different behaviour, and, after a metabolic lethargy period^{26,43} (IV), a phase of intense proteolysis starts, which is difficult to control with nutritional support²⁵ (III), since the pathophysiological base is more related to the denervation/disuse⁴⁴ than to the neuroendocrine storm of acute critically-ill patients. In any case, in the first 4 weeks following spinal cord injury, weight loss occurs, which can be estimated at 10-20% of body weight, and about 85% of this is lean mass loss^{27,43} (III).

In patients with cervical injury, there are no large nutritional studies performed and potential evidences are based on small series not answering the basic questions related to nutritional support (administration route, requirements, time to start, type of nutrients) in these cases⁴⁵ (IV).

Experimental studies in rats, with cervical injuries of different severity, different periods of gastroparesis have been verified, based on the location and severity of the injury (6 weeks for sprains and absence of recovery of gastric motility after cervical section above C5)⁴⁶ (IV). Some data suggest that neither the early nutrition support nor the adequate compliance with calorie requirements improve the outcomes in cervical injuries⁴⁷ (IV),⁴⁸ (IIb).

Recommendations

– In the absence of calorimetry a total daily calorie supply of 25-30 kcal/kg/day is recommended in non-obese trauma patients (B).

– In patients with spinal cord injury a nutritional supply of 20-24 kcal/kg/day is recommended (C).

– The use of glutamine is recommended in patients with polytrauma (A).

– It is recommended to use other pharmaconutrients (ω -3, arginine, antioxidants) in the nutritional support of severe trauma patients (C).

– Preferential use of gastric enteral nutrition is recommended, with or without prokinetics, and trans-

pyloric enteral nutrition will be considered if necessary (A).

Conflict of interests

The authors declare that they have participated in activities funded by the pharmaceutical industry for marketing of nutritional products (clinical studies, educational programmes and attendance to scientific events). No pharmaceutical industry has participated in the preparation, discussion, writing, and establishing of evidences in any phase of this article.

References

1. Blesa-Malpica AL. Perfil del paciente traumático grave ingresado en una UCI: análisis de riesgos. Estudio epidemiológico en una unidad de neuropoltraumatología. Tesis doctoral. Madrid: Universidad Complutense de Madrid; 2010. Disponible en: <http://eprints.ucm.es/9850/>
2. Hasenboehler E, Williams A, Leinase I, Morgan SJ, Smith WR, Moore EE et al. Metabolic changes after polytrauma: an imperative for early nutritional support. *World J Emerg Surg* 2006; 1: 29.
3. Kreymann G, Adolph M, Mueller MJ; Working group for developing the guidelines for parenteral nutrition of the German Association for Nutritional Medicine. Energy expenditure and energy intake - Guidelines on parenteral Nutrition. Chapter 3. *Ger Med Sci* 2009; 7: Doc25.
4. Bastian L, Weimann A. Immunonutrition in patients after multiple trauma. *Br J Nutr* 2002; 87 (Suppl. 1): S133-4.
5. McClave SA, Martindale RG, Vanek VW, McCarthy M, Roberts P, Taylor B et al; ASPEN. Board of Directors; American College of Critical Care Medicine; Society of Critical Care Medicine. Guidelines for the Provision and Assessment of Nutrition Support Therapy in the Adult Critically Ill Patient: Society of Critical Care Medicine (SCCM) and American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (ASPEN). *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr* 2009; 33: 277-316.
6. Moore EE, Dunn EL, Jones TN. Immediate jejunostomy feeding. Its use after major abdominal trauma. *Arch Surg* 1981; 116: 681-4.
7. Moore EE, Jones TN. Benefits of immediate jejunostomy feeding after major abdominal trauma. A prospective, randomized study. *J Trauma* 1986; 26: 874-81.
8. Moore FA, Moore EE, Jones TN, McCroskey BL, Peterson VM. TEN versus TPN following major abdominal trauma reduced septic morbidity. *J Trauma* 1989; 29: 916-22.
9. Kudsk KA, Croce MA, Fabian TC, Minard G, Tolley EA, Poret HA et al. Enteral versus parenteral feeding. Effects on septic morbidity after blunt and penetrating abdominal trauma. *Ann Surg* 1992; 215: 503-11.
10. Moore FA, Feliciano DV, Andrassy RJ, McArdle AH, Booth FV, Morgenstein-Wagner TB et al. Early enteral feeding, compared with parenteral, reduces postoperative septic complications. The results of a meta-analysis. *Ann Surg* 1992; 216: 172-83.
11. White H, Sosnowski K, Tran K, Reeves A, Jones M. A randomized controlled comparison of early post-pyloric versus early gastric feeding to meet nutritional targets in ventilated intensive care patients. *Crit Care* 2009; 13: R187.
12. Lee AJ, Eve R, Bennett MJ. Evaluation of a technique for blind placement of post-pyloric feeding tubes in intensive care: application in patients with gastric ileus. *Intensive Care Med* 2006; 32: 553-6.
13. Berger MM, Soguel L. Feed the ICU patient 'gastric' first, and go post-pyloric only in case of failure. *Critical Care* 2010; 14: 123.

14. Singer P, Berger MM, Van den Berghe G, Biolo G, Calder P, Forbes A et al; ESPEN guidelines on parenteral nutrition: intensive care. *Clin Nutr* 2009; 28: 387-400.
15. Sena MJ, Utter GH, Cuschieri J, Maier RV, Tompkins RG, Harbrecht BG et al. Early supplemental parenteral nutrition is associated with increased infectious complications in critically ill trauma patients. *J Am Coll Surg* 2008; 207: 459-67.
16. Singer P, Pichard C, Heidegger CP, Wernerman J. Considering energy deficit in the intensive care unit. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care* 2010; 13: 170-6.
17. Nassar AP Jr, Da Silva FM, De Cleve R. Constipation in intensive care unit: incidence and risk factors. *J Crit Care* 2009; 24: 630.e9-e12.
18. Heymsfield SB, Casper K. Congestive heart failure: clinical management by use of continuous nasoenteric feeding. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1989; 50: 539-44.
19. Campbell IT, Morton RP, Cole JA, Raine CH, Shapiro LM, Stell PM. A comparison of the effects of intermittent and continuous nasogastric feeding on the oxygen consumption and nitrogen balance of patients after major head and neck surgery. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1983; 38: 870-8.
20. MacLeod JB, Lefton J, Houghton D, Roland C, Doherty J, Cohn SM, et al. Prospective randomized control trial of intermittent versus continuous gastric feeds for critically ill trauma patients. *J Trauma* 2007; 63: 57-61.
21. Desachy A, Clavel M, Vuagnat A, Normand S, Gissot V, François B. Initial efficacy and tolerability of early enteral nutrition with immediate or gradual introduction in intubated patients. *Intensive Care Med* 2008; 34: 1054-9.
22. Booth CM, Heyland DK, Paterson WG. Gastrointestinal promotility drugs in the critical care setting: a systematic review of the evidence. *Crit Care Med* 2002; 30: 1429-35.
23. Stucky CC, Moncure M, Hise M, Gossage CM, Northrop D. How accurate are resting energy expenditure prediction equations in obese trauma and burn patients? *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr* 2008; 32: 420-6.
24. Ash JL, Gervasio JM, Zaloga GP, Rodman GH. Does the quantity of enteral nutrition affect outcomes in critically trauma patients? *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr* 2005; 29: s10.
25. Kaufman HH, Rowlands BJ, Stein DK, Kopaniky DR, Gildenberg PL. General metabolism in patients with acute paraplegia and quadriplegia. *Neurosurgery* 1985; 16: 309-13.
26. Kolpek JH, Ott LG, Record KE, Rapp RP, Dempsey R, Tibbs P et al. Comparison of urinary urea nitrogen excretion and measured energy expenditure in spinal cord injury and nonsteroid-treated severe head trauma patients. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr* 1989; 13: 277-80.
27. Laven GT, Huang CT, DeVivo MJ, Stover SL, Kuhlemeier KV, Fine PR. Nutritional status during the acute stage of spinal cord injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1989; 70: 277-82.
28. García-de-Lorenzo A, López-Martínez J, Planas M, Chacón P, Montejo JC, Bonet A et al. Safety and metabolic tolerance of a concentrated long-chain triglyceride lipid emulsion in critically ill septic and trauma patients. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr* 2003; 27: 208-15.
29. Calder PC, Jensen GL, Koletzko BV, Singer P, Wanten GJ. Lipid emulsions in parenteral nutrition of intensive care patients: current thinking and future directions. *Intensive Care Med* 2010; 36: 735-49.
30. García-de-Lorenzo A, Denia R, Atlan P, Martínez-Ratero S, Le Brun A, Evard D et al. Parenteral nutrition providing a restricted amount of linoleic acid in severely burned patients: a randomized double-blind study of an olive oil-based lipid emulsion v. medium/long-chain triacylglycerols. *Br J Nutr* 2005; 94: 221-30.
31. Todd SR, González EA, Turner K, Kozar RA. Update on postinjury nutrition. *Curr Opin Crit Care* 2008; 14: 690-5.
32. Wernerman J. Glutamine and acute illness. *Curr Opin Crit Care* 2003; 9: 279-85.
33. Kudsk KA, Minard G, Croce MA, Brown Ro, Lowrey TS, Pritchard FE et al. A randomized trial of isonitrogenous enteral diets after severe trauma. An immune-enhancing diet reduces septic complications. *Ann Surg* 1996; 224: 531-40.
34. Griffiths RD, Allen KD, Andrews FJ, Jones C. Infection, multiple organ failure, and survival in the intensive care unit: influence of glutamine-supplemented parenteral nutrition on acquired infection. *Nutrition* 2002; 18: 546-52.
35. Houdijk AP, Rijnsburger ER, Jansen J, Wesdorp RI, Weiss JK, Mc-Camish MA et al. Randomised trial of glutamine-enriched enteral nutrition on infectious morbidity in patients with multiple trauma. *Lancet* 1998; 352: 772-6.
36. Galbán C, Montejo JC, Mesejo A, Marco P, Celaya S, Sánchez-Segura JM et al. An immune-enhancing enteral diet reduces mortality rate and episodes of bacteremia in septic intensive care unit patients. *Crit Care Med* 2000; 28: 643-8.
37. Marik PE, Zaloga GP. Immunonutrition in critically ill patients: a systematic review and analysis of the literature. *Intensive Care Med* 2008; 34: 1980-90.
38. Beale RJ, Bryg DJ, Bihari DJ. Immunonutrition in the critically ill: a systematic review of clinical outcome. *Crit Care Med* 1999; 27: 2799-805.
39. Dechelotte P, Hasselmann M, Cynober L, Allaouchiche B, Coëffier M, Hecketsweiler B et al. L-alanyl-L-glutamine dipeptide-supplemented total parenteral nutrition reduces infectious complications and glucose intolerance in critically ill patients: the French controlled, randomized, double-blind, multicenter study. *Crit Care Med* 2006; 34: 598-604.
40. Berger MM, Soguel L, Shenkin A, Revelly JP, Pinget C, Baines M et al. Influence of early antioxidant supplements on clinical evolution and organ function in critically ill cardiac surgery, major trauma, and subarachnoid hemorrhage patients. *Crit Care* 2008; 12: R101.
41. Collier BR, Giladi A, Dossett LA, Dyer L, Fleming SB, Cotton BA. Impact of high-dose antioxidants on outcomes in acutely injured patients. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr* 2008; 32: 384-8.
42. Beale RJ, Sherry T, Lei K, Campbell-Stephen L, McCook J, Smith J et al. Early enteral supplementation with key pharmacutrients improves sequential organ failure assessment score in critically ill patients with sepsis: outcome of a randomized, controlled, double-blind trial. *Crit Care Med* 2008; 36: 131-44.
43. Kearns PJ, Thompson JD, Werner PC, Pipp TL, Wilmot CB. Nutritional and metabolic response to acute spinal-cord injury. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr* 1992; 16: 11-5.
44. Rodríguez DJ, Benzel EC, Clevenger FW. The metabolic response to spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord* 1997; 35: 599-604.
45. Nutritional support after spinal cord injury. *Neurosurgery* 2002; 50 (Suppl. 3): S81-4.
46. Qualls-Creekmore E, Tong M, Holmes GM. Time-course of recovery of gastric emptying and motility in rats with experimental spinal cord injury. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2010; 22: 62-9, e27-8.
47. Plunet WT, Streijger F, Lam CK, Lee JH, Liu J, Tetzlaff W. Dietary restriction started after spinal cord injury improves functional recovery. *Exp Neurol* 2008; 213: 28-35.
48. Dvorak MF, Noonan VK, Bélanger L, Bruun B, Wing PC, Boyd MC et al. Early versus late enteral feeding in patients with acute cervical spinal cord injury: a pilot study. *Spine (phila Pa 1976)* 2004; 29: E175-80.