Effect of a mixture of inulin and fructo-oligosaccharide on *lactobacillus* and *bifidobacterium* intestinal microbiota of patients receiving radiotherapy; a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial
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Abstract

**Background & aims:** The pathogenesis of enteritis after abdominal radiotherapy is unknown, although changes in faecal microbiota may be involved. In several studies, *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* have proven beneficial for the host. Prebiotics stimulate the proliferation of *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium*, and this may have positive effects on the intestinal mucosa during abdominal radiotherapy.

**Methods:** We performed a randomised double-blind, placebo-controlled trial including 31 patients with gynaecological cancer who received radiotherapy (29 sessions, 52.2 Gy) after surgery. Patients were randomised to two groups: prebiotic and placebo. The first group received a mixture of fibre (50% inulin and 50% fructo-oligosaccharide) and the second received 6 g of maltodextrin twice daily from one week before to three weeks after radiotherapy. *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* counts were determined in faeces samples (day 7 before radiotherapy, day 15 of radiotherapy, at the end of treatment, and three weeks after radiotherapy) by culture in selective media and fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) using genus-specific probes. Bacterial counts by FISH were significantly higher than by culture method.

**Results:** There were no differences in baseline microbiota between groups. At the end of radiotherapy, we observed a statistically significant decrease in *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* counts in both groups. By cultural analysis, we observed higher numbers of *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* three weeks after radiotherapy in the prebiotic group (5.6 vs. 6.3, p = 0.04 and 5.5 vs. 6 log cfu/g, p = 0.03).

**Conclusions:** Abdominal radiotherapy negatively affects *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* counts. The prebiotic mixture of inulin and fructooligosaccharide can improve the recovery of both genera after radiotherapy.
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Resumen

**Antecedentes y objetivos:** Se desconoce la patogénesis de la enteritis tras la radioterapia abdominal, si bien podrían estar implicados cambios en la microflora fecal. Diversos estudios han demostrado que los *Lactobacillus* y *Bifidobacterium* confieren beneficio al huésped. Los prebióticos estimulan la proliferación de *Lactobacillus* y *Bifidobacterium* y esto podría tener efectos positivos sobre la mucosa intestinal durante la radioterapia abdominal.

**Métodos:** Realizamos un estudio de distribución aleatoria, a doble ciego y controlado con placebo que incluyó a 31 pacientes con cáncer ginecológico que recibieron radioterapia (29 sesiones, 52,2 Gy) tras la cirugía. Se distribuyó al azar a las pacientes en dos grupos: prebiótico y placebo. El primer grupo recibió una mezcla de fibra (50% de inulina y 50% fructo-oligosacárido) y el segundo 6 g de maltodextrina dos veces al día desde una semana antes hasta 3 semanas después de la radioterapia. Se determinaron los recuentos de *Lactobacillus* y *Bifidobacterium* en muestras fecales (día 7 antes de la radioterapia, día 15 de radioterapia, al final del tratamiento y tres semanas después de la radioterapia) mediante un cultivo en medios seleccionados y con hibridación in situ fluorescente (FISH) con sondas específicas de la especie. Los recuentos bacterianos con FISH fueron significativamente superiores que por el método de cultivo.

**Resultados:** No hubo diferencias en la microflora basal entre los grupos. Al final de la radioterapia, observamos un descenso estadísticamente significativo en los recuentos de *Lactobacillus* y *Bifidobacterium* en ambos grupos. Mediante el análisis de los cultivos, observamos un mayor recuento de *Lactobacillus* y *Bifidobacterium* a las tres semanas de finalizar la radioterapia en el grupo con prebiótico (5,6 frente a 6,3, p = 0.04 y 5,5 frente a 6 log ufc/g, p = 0,03).

**Conclusions:** La radioterapia abdominal afecta de forma negativa los recuentos de *Lactobacillus* y *Bifidobac-
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Introduction

The human intestine is home to several types of microorganisms, the most common of which is bacteria.1,2 It is estimated that a human being has 100 billion bacteria and that more than 95% of this population lives in the gastrointestinal tract, mainly in the colon.

The adult intestine contains 500-1,000 different species of bacteria, with 30-40 species comprising 99% of the total population.3 Culture on selective media shows that strict anaerobic bacteria outnumber aerobes by a factor of 100 to 1,000. The most common genera are Bacteroides, Bifidobacterium, Eubacterium, Clostridium, Lactobacillus, Fusobacterium, and various anaerobic Gram-positive cocci. Enterococci and Enterobacteriaceae are present in lower numbers.4

Some intestinal bacteria strains are pathogenic or become pathogenic when the integrity of the mucosal barrier is broken. However, bacteria strains belonging to Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus have been shown to be beneficial for the host.56

Bifidobacterium constitute up to 25% of the intestinal cultural microbiota of an adult.5

The main beneficial effects described in the literature include synthesis of vitamin B, pathogen growth inhibition, decreased intestinal pH and cholesterol levels, protection from intestinal infections, stimulation of intestinal function, and improved immune response.

The positive effects of Lactobacillus7-10 include pathogen growth inhibition, decreased intestinal pH, and prevention of excessive growth of Candida, Pseudomonas, Staphylococcus, and Escherichia coli during antibiotic treatment.

Several disorders are associated with changes in the composition and metabolism of enteric flora.11 For instance, many acute diarrheal diseases are caused by pathogens that proliferate and invade or produce toxins. Antibiotic-associated diarrhea is due to an imbalance in the composition of intestinal flora with overgrowth of pathogenic species (eg, some strains of Clostridium difficile) that produce toxins and cause pseudomembranous colitis. Some authors have shown that putrefaction of proteins in the intestinal lumen is associated with the pathogenesis of hepatic encephalopathy in patients with chronic or acute liver failure.12

Abdominal and pelvic radiotherapy (RT) reduces the renewal capacity of the epithelium. Rectal biopsies obtained from patients receiving pelvic RT have revealed atrophy of surface epithelium, acute cryptitis, crypt abscesses, crypt distortion and atrophy, and stromal inflammation.13 Modifications in intestinal microbiota, such as an increase in the number of pathogens, may contribute to intestinal injury. The factors involved include the volume of bowel in the radiation fields, the fractionation schedule used, the total dose, the radiation technique, and concurrent chemotherapy.

Gibson et al.,14 recently redefined prebiotics as selectively fermented ingredients in the colon that produce specific changes in the composition and/or activity of gastrointestinal microbiota and have beneficial effects for host health. Therefore, the criteria for defining a prebiotic are resistance to digestion in the small intestine, hydrolyzation, fermentation by colonic microbiota, and selective stimulation of colonic bacteria.

The prebiotic effect of a carbohydrate is assessed by its capacity to stimulate the proliferation of healthy bacteria (Bifidobacterium, Lactobacillus) rather than pathogenic bacteria (Clostridium, E. coli).15,16 Not all carbohydrates have prebiotic activity,17,18 and it seems that bacteria prefer to metabolize smaller carbohydrates (oligosaccharides) than larger carbohydrates (polysaccharides). Interest in the fructans inulin and fruto oligosaccharide (FOS) has recently been increasing because of their prebiotic effect, and some authors have demonstrated in vivo and in vitro their beneficial effect on intestinal microbiota.19-20 Some recent studies suggest that prebiotics that have been designed to produce quite selective changes in the commensal flora may have benefits in irritable bowel syndrome21-22 and on minimal hepatic encephalopathy.23

Our objective was to study the effect of pelvic RT on intestinal microbiota (especially Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium) and the effects of a mixture of inulin and FOS on both populations and on the intestinal mucosa (desquamation, inflammation) by using both, cultural and molecular methods.

Subjects and methods

Study group

The inclusion criteria for participation in the study were female gender, age ≥ 18 years, and a diagnosis of
gynaecologic cancer requiring postoperative pelvic RT. The exclusion criteria were previous RT, previous or adjuvant chemotherapy, other types of pelvic tumours or other gynaecologic malignancies, antibiotic or immunosuppressive treatment one week before inclusion or during treatment, and the presence of acute or chronic gastrointestinal disease contraindicating ingestion of the fibre. RT was administered using a linear accelerator (15 Mv). The patients were in the supine position when RT was delivered using a four-field technique or in the prone position when RT was delivered using a two-field technique. A pelvic plane computed tomography scan was performed with the patient in the treatment position and 5-mm slices were obtained.

The clinical volume was the surgical field and the areas potentially harbouring microscopic disease, namely, the vagina, the obturator externus, and the internal, external, common iliac, and presacral lymph node areas. Para-aortic areas were included when indicated (ie, when periaortic or iliac biopsy samples were positive and when no periaortic lymph node biopsy samples were available).

Patients received a dose of 1.8 Gy/d, five times weekly for 29 days. The total prescribed dose was 52.2 Gy. Heterogeneous dose distribution was ensured by following the International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements (Report 50).

Brachytherapy was administered one week later at low doses and using individual moulds when there was involvement of the cervix or lymph-vascular space. When the results of peritoneal cytology or cytology for serous carcinoma were positive, we used whole abdominal radiation, limiting the renal dose to \( \leq 20 \) Gy and the hepatic dose to \( \leq 28 \) Gy at 0.8 Gy/fraction, two fractions daily, five days per week. The pelvic region was treated with 56 Gy using the same fractional dose (70 fractions, 35 days).24

All patients provided written informed consent to participate in the study. The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and Spanish laws on scientific research, and was approved by the local Ethics Committee.

Clinical study

This was a randomised double-blind placebo-controlled clinical trial in two parallel groups.

At the first visit, patients were randomised to receive either 6 g twice daily for a mixture of fibre (50% inulin and 50% FOS) (Raffilose® Synergy 1 Oratif, Tienen, Belgium) or the same amount of matching placebo (maltodextrin). Both products were prepared by Vegenat SA (Badajoz, Spain) and supplied in coded sachets (double-blind study). Fibre and placebo in powder form were dissolved in 200 ml of water.

After randomisation, the patients underwent a 1-week run-in period before starting RT and continued taking the same products throughout the treatment course, until three weeks after RT was finished. Also, written recommendations including exclusion of fibre and lactose were given to all patients to homogenize their diet. Patients were not permitted to eat foods produced by fermentation during treatment. The use of other prebiotics and probiotics were excluded.

Concomitant pharmacotherapy with antimotility drugs, immunosuppressors, or antibiotics was not permitted. The need for any of these treatments led to the patient being withdrawn from the study.

Stool samples were collected four times: day –7 before starting RT, day 15 after starting RT, at the end of RT, and three weeks after RT was finished. The *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* counts were analyzed to study changes in intestinal microbiota. Faecal calprotectin was measured as a marker of intes 163 tinal inflammation and faecal DNA as a marker of epithelial desquamation.27 Stool samples were rapidly frozen and conserved at -30º C.

Patients were followed weekly in a specific nutrition outpatient department for patients receiving radiotherapy. The total duration of the study for each patient was three months.

Microbiological studies

Enumeration of *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus* cells by culturing

Faeces diluted (1:10) in PBS 1x (130 mM sodium chloride, 10 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.2) were homogenized in a stomacher Lab-Blender 400 (Seward Medical, London, UK) for 2 min. Serial dilutions ranging from 10-2 to 10-8 from homogenized fecal samples were plated on the appropriate agar media in duplicate. *Lactobacilli* were enumerated on MRS Agar (Man, Rogose and Sharpe, Merck) and incubated in a 5% CO2 atmosphere at 35°C ± 2°C for 48 hours. *Bifidobacteria* were enumerated on selective media: BFM Agar and Beeren's agar (Oxoid). The plates were anaerobically incubated at 37°C for 72 hours. Duplicate plate values were averaged and bacterial densities were expressed as the \( \log_{10} \) of the number of CFU/g wet weight of faeces.

Enumeration of *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus* cells by FISH

Total *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* counts were also determined by fluorescent in situ hybridization using genus-specific probes.29-30 The Bif164 genus specific probe was used to target all *Bifidobacterium* species and the LAC158 probe was selected for the specific hybridization of *Lactobacilli*.31 Probes sequences were confirmed to match with *Lactobacilli*
and *Bifidobacterium* by the gapped Probe Match at RDP II (Michigan State University) and by a BLAST (National Center for Biotechnology Information [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/]) search. Although the LAC158 probe also measures enterococci, lactobacilli were discriminated from those because of the cell shape and size. The EUB 338 universal probe, complementary to a region of 16S rRNA of the domain *Eubacteria* was used only as a positive hybridization control to select all bacteria present in the sample. Specificity of the probes was tested by fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) of different *Bifidobacterium*, lactic acid bacteria (LAB) reference strains and LAB isolates from dairy products. Probes were synthesized and labelled with FITC and CY3 by MOLBIOL (Berlin, Germany).

To establish the optimal conditions of FISH analysis, 1 g from each sample of faeces was diluted in 9 ml of PBS buffer (130 mM sodium chloride, 10 mM sodium phosphate, [pH 7.2]). 1 ml of the mixture was then centrifuged (1,000 x g, at 4°C for 10 min followed by 4,000 x g, at 4°C for 10 min), resuspended in PBS buffer and fixed with three volumes of 4% paraformaldehyde at 4°C for 2 h. Subsequently, fixed samples were centrifuged again, washed with PBS buffer and finally resuspended in 1:1 PBS/ethanol (vol/vol). An aliquot of 5 l fixed bacteria was placed on a gelatine-coated slide, air dried, dehydrated (50, 80, 100% ethanol) and hybridized as described by Amann et al. LAB fixed cells were permeabilized by adding 10 l of a 50 mg.ml-1 lysozyme solution for 30 minutes. To provide a specific hybridization to the target organisms, a final concentration of formamide was established at 20% in the hybridization buffer (0.9 M NaCl, 0.01% SDS, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6).

Slides were mounted with FluoroGuard Antifade Reagent (Bio-Rad) and visualized by Olympus BX50 microscopy system with filters U-MWIB and U-MWIG. Digital color micrographs were done by PM10SP camera (Olympus Optical CO., Germany). A minimum of 20 fields were counted.

**Effect on the inflammation**

Calprotectin concentrations as a marker of inflammation were determined using a calprotectin enzyme-linked-immunosorbent assay that measured leucocytes in faeces. The results are expressed as micrograms of calprotectin per gram of faeces.

Faecal DNA was quantified by real-time polymerase chain reaction of a sequence of the human β-globin gene, which makes it possible to estimate the number of desquamated cells in stool. The results of DNA excretion in faeces are expressed as copies/g of dry faecal weight.

---

**CONSORT 2010 Flow Diagram**

**Enrollment**
- Assessed for eligibility (n = 42)
  - Excluded (n = 2)
    - Not meeting inclusion criteria (n = 1)
    - Declined to participate (n = 1)

**Randomized (n = 40)**
- Allocated to prebiotic group (n = 22)
- Allocated to placebo group (n = 18)

**Allocation**
- Lost to follow-up (declined to continue) (n = 2)
  - Discontinued intervention (antibiotics prescribed) (n = 3)
- Discontinued intervention (antibiotics prescribed) (n = 1)

**Follow-up**
- Analysed (n = 16)
  - Excluded from analysis (lack of adherence treatment) (n = 1)
- Analysed (n = 15)
  - Excluded from analysis (lack of adherence treatment) (n = 1)

**Analysis**

---

Fig. 1.—Flow chart.
Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were expressed as relative frequencies and percentages. Quantitative variables were expressed as the median and range (maximum and minimum). The size of the Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium counts was expressed logarithmically according to the type of data and in order to better appreciate the results.

Non-parametric tests were used due to the type of variable and the small sample size.

Statistical analysis was performed with the statistical package SAS, version 9.1.

Significance was set at p < 0.05.

Results

Between June 2005 and December 2007, a total of 40 patients were randomly allocated to the prebiotic mixture or placebo. Nine patients were excluded from the study: four because they were prescribed antibiotics, three for personal reasons, and two due to lack of adherence. Flow chart is showed in figure 1. The remaining 31 patients constituted the study population: 16 received the prebiotic mixture and 15 received the placebo. In general, the prebiotic mixture was well tolerated. Only one patient complained of abdominal distension.

Mean age was 58 years (36-77 years). All patients were diagnosed with gynaecological cancer and had undergone surgery. The baseline characteristics are shown in table I.

Both groups were well matched according to standard variables.

Our results show that RT negatively affected the Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium viable counts in both groups. A statically significant decrease in Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium counts was observed in both groups (table II). However, recovery of the Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium viable counts was statistically significant in patients treated with the prebiotic mixture after three weeks of treatment (figs. 2 and 3).

Viable Lactobacillus counts between the both groups (fig. 2), show an statistically significant increase in viable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table I</th>
<th>Patient characteristics at baseline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prebiotic mixture group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient (n)</td>
<td>Median (range) or %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>16 59 (43-77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>16 75.4 (54.4-98.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary tumour site</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometrium</td>
<td>13/16 81.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervix</td>
<td>2/16 12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uterus</td>
<td>1/16 6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vulva-vagina</td>
<td>0/16 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphadenectomy</td>
<td>Yes 11/16 68.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No 5/16 31.25</td>
<td>4/15 26.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table II</th>
<th>Changes in the Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium counts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day-7 before RT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactobacillus</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prebiotic group</td>
<td>5.9 (3-7.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo group</td>
<td>5.9 (3-7.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bifidobacterium</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prebiotic group</td>
<td>5.1 (2.8-7.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo group</td>
<td>5.7 (1-8.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mann-Whitney U test. Likelihood ratio.
^Fisher exact test.

Fig. 2.—Changes in viable Lactobacillus during the study in both groups.
Lactobacillus count for the prebiotic group at three weeks after RT. However, there were no differences in the total number of Lactobacillus cells measured by FISH (fig. 4).

Figure 3 shows the progress of viable Bifidobacterium counts in both groups during the study. Once again, we observed statistically significant differences 3 weeks after RT had finished. We did not observe differences in the total number of Bifidobacterium cells (fig. 5).

FISH enumeration showed that the prebiotic group maintained higher Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium counts during treatment than the placebo group (figs. 4-5).

There were no statistically significant differences in calprotectin levels between the groups during treatment.

We did not observe statistically significant changes in DNA between the groups during treatment.

The patients were tested for the presence of Clostridium difficile and none were infected.

Discussion

Although no significant changes in the total number of Lactobacillus was observed along the treatment, there was a difference in the response of the viable counts indicating more resistance to RT or an increase of the rate of reproduction. Population levels of Bifidobacterium varied during the treatment period with a significant increase in both, viable and total counts. These results are in line with those obtained by Gibson et al.17 who reported that prebiotics stimulate the multiplication or activity of some bacterial groups as Lactobacilli or Bifidobacterium. Other prebiotics are used to selectively stimulate the growth and activity of Lactobacilli and bifidobacteria in the colon. However, there is little infor-
mation on the mechanisms whereby prebiotics exert their specific effects upon such microorganisms. Therefore, these results seem to indicate that, as soon as the microbiota recovers, the patient experiences fewer intestinal complications. Both inulin and FOS have proven beneficial in conditions such as pouchitis and ulcerative colitis. In one placebo controlled clinical trial 20, twenty patients with pouchitis received 24 g of inulin or placebo daily for three weeks. Dietary supplementation with inulin increased butyrate concentrations, lowered pH, decreased numbers of Bacteroides fragilis, and diminished concentrations of secondary bile acids in faeces. This was associated with a significant reduction in the endoscopic and histological scores of mucosal inflammation in the ileal reservoir. Furthermore, a recent study analyzed a synbiotic preparation combining a probiotic (Bifidobacterium longum) and FOS-enriched inulin (12 g of Synergy 1 per day) in a one-month double-blind randomised controlled trial involving 18 patients with active ulcerative colitis. After treatment, expression of inflammatory cytokines in rectal biopsies was significantly reduced in the synbiotic group but not in the placebo group.

There were no statistically significant differences in calprotectin levels between the groups during treatment. Calprotectin is a calcium-binding protein found in neutrophilic granulocytes. It resists metabolic degradation and is easily measured in faeces. Faecal calprotectin levels can successfully predict relapses in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Excretion of human DNA in faeces is another surrogate marker of intestinal inflammation. We did not observe statistically significant changes in DNA between the groups during treatment.

In our opinion, the improvements in the radiotherapy techniques have influenced the results obtained in the markers of intestinal inflammation. So, patients presented less intestinal damage. The mixture of inulin and FOS is used because these fibres are well-defined prebiotics that can increase Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium counts in human colonic lumen. We used a mixture of 6 g of inulin and 6 g of FOS, which is similar to doses administered in other studies. A number of controlled clinical trials have shown that prebiotics are safe and may be effective in the prevention of acute gastrointestinal conditions.

Our study shows that Synergy 1 is well tolerated by patients. Further studies with larger samples are needed to investigate the clinical effects of the prebiotic in patients receiving abdominal RT. Nowadays; our group is performing a study evaluating the clinical effects of prebiotics in gynaecological cancer patients treated with RT that includes clinical variables and a quality of life questionnaire.

In conclusions, results of our randomised controlled trial suggest that abdominal RT produces a significant decrease in Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium counts. The prebiotic mixture Synergy 1 stimulates bacterial reactivation and enables both populations to recover at the end of RT.
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