



## Trabajo Original

Nutrición artificial

### All-in-one *versus* lipid-free parenteral nutrition for premature infants: visual, pH, and particle size analyses

*Comparación de nutrición parenteral “todo-en-uno” frente a nutrición parenteral sin lípidos en prematuros: análisis visual, de pH y tamaño de partículas*

Suci Hanifah, Yuli Maulidani, Bambang Hernawan Nugroho and Chynthia Pradiftha Sari

Department of Pharmacy, Universitas Islam Indonesia, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

#### Abstract

**Objective:** this study aims to investigate the physical stability of standard formulations for parenteral nutrition, with and without lipids, in one bag for preterm babies.

**Method:** standard formulations for first-day and for second-day parenteral nutrition of preterm babies weighing 1,000 grams were prepared in triplicate. Standard all-in-one formulas for first-day and for second-day parenteral nutrition were compared with equivalent standard lipid-free formulations. The standard formulas contain glucose, amino acids, lipids, calcium gluconate, potassium chloride, sodium chloride, and vitamins. Stability was evaluated using visual inspection, particle size analysis, and pH measurement. The physical instability of the all-in one parenteral nutrition formulas was reported as creaming, coalescence, or cracking, whereas the instability of the lipid-free parenteral nutrition formulas was described as turbidity, precipitation, gas formation, or colour changes. Two independent evaluators assessed the visual changes under light and against a dark-light background, as well as using the Tyndall beam effect. Particle size was measured using a particle size analyzer. Chemical compatibility was checked using a pH-meter.

**Result:** the result showed that the all-in-one (AIO) parenteral nutrition formulas develop reversible creaming on day three, while the lipid-free ones remain clear. As regards pH and particle size, none of the four AIO and lipid-free formulas developed significant changes ( $\Delta\text{pH} < 0.05$  and particle size  $< 400$  nm) until after seven days.

**Conclusion:** all four formulas are stable following examination with visual inspection, a pH-meter, and a particle size analyzer.

#### Key words:

AIO-parenteral nutrition. Lipid-free parenteral nutrition. Preterm baby. Physical stability.

#### Resumen

**Objetivo:** el objetivo del estudio es investigar la estabilidad de las formulaciones estandarizadas de nutrición parenteral, con y sin lípidos, para prematuros.

**Métodos:** se prepararon por triplicado las formulaciones estandarizadas del 1º y 2º día para prematuros de menos de 1000 gramos. Se compararon las soluciones preparadas “todo-en-uno” con las soluciones estandarizadas equivalentes que no contenían lípidos. Las soluciones estandarizadas contenían glucosa, aminoácidos, lípidos, gluconato cálcico, cloruro potásico, cloruro sódico y vitaminas. La estabilidad se evaluó mediante inspección visual, medición del tamaño de las partículas, y medición del pH. Se interpretó como inestabilidad física de las soluciones ternarias la presencia de separación de fases, coalescencia o la formación de una capa grasa, mientras que en las preparaciones sin lípidos se describió como turbidez, precipitación, formación de gas o cambios de coloración. Dos evaluadores independientes comprobaron los cambios visuales bajo luz directa o en contraste con un fondo oscuro, así como mediante el uso del efecto Tyndall. El tamaño de las partículas se midió mediante un analizador de partículas. La compatibilidad química se comprobó con el Phmetro.

**Resultados:** todas las nutriciones parenterales todo-en-uno (AIO) desarrollaron una capa grasa (*creaming*) al tercer día, mientras que las mezclas sin lípidos permanecieron transparentes. Con respecto al pH y el tamaño de las partículas, ninguna de las cuatro emulsiones AIO y nutrición parenteral sin lípidos mostraron cambios significativos (incremento de  $\text{pH} < 0,03$  y tamaño de las partículas  $< 400$  nm) en los siete primeros días.

**Conclusión:** las cuatro formulaciones fueron estables tras inspección visual, medición del pH y análisis del tamaño de las partículas.

#### Palabras clave:

Nutrición parenteral “todo-en-uno”. Nutrición parenteral sin lípidos. Prematuro. Estabilidad física.

Received: 25/06/2019 • Accepted: 28/08/2019

*Acknowledgements: The authors acknowledge the support in financial from Directorate Research and Community Service, Universitas Islam Indonesia and Hibah Pasca Doktor from Ministry of Research Technology and Higher Education (KEMENRISTEK DIKT) of Indonesia No: 111 /SP2H/LT/DRPM/2019e authors acknowledge the support in facilities provided by the Chemistry Department, Islamic University of Indonesia and the partial financial support from the Hibah Penelitian Dasar Internal UII No.005/D/DPPM.70/ Pen.Dasar/III/2012, Directorate of Research and Community Service, Islamic University of Indonesia.*

Hanifah S, Maulidani Y, Nugroho BH, Sari CP. All-in-one versus lipid-free parenteral nutrition for premature infants: visual, pH, and particle size analyses. *Nutr Hosp* 2019;36(6):1237-1240

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.20960/nh.02758>

#### Correspondence:

Suci Hanifah. Department of Pharmacy.  
Universitas Islam Indonesia.  
Yogyakarta, Indonesia  
e-mail: [suci.hanifah@uii.ac.id](mailto:suci.hanifah@uii.ac.id)

## INTRODUCTION

Provision of total parenteral nutrition for preterm infants is paramount, especially for very low-weight babies at birth (less than 1.5 kg). Preterm babies often cannot achieve the minimum rate of growth since the requirements of energy expenditure in extrauterine life are higher than those of intrauterine life (1). In addition, preterm babies are often unable to suck or swallow well before 34 weeks of age (2). Therefore, early parenteral nutrition should be added and gradually given along with enteral feeding or breast milk (2,3). In order to get sufficient macronutrients and micronutrients, nutrition should contain dextrose, amino acids, lipids, electrolytes, and vitamins. Currently, ready-to-use parenteral nutrition formulas contain one type of nutrient. However, the administration of each nutrient separately via a different line may become a burden for any hospital. Mixing all those macro- and micro-nutrients in one intravenous (IV) bag will reduce the number of venous lines. Besides, the administration of parenteral nutrition in one bag is also paramount for prematures with volume restriction. Therefore, provision of a high concentrated solution in a low-volume formulation is often preferred. This confirmed why requests for total parenteral nutrition admixtures have currently increased in the hospital pharmacy (4).

Even though the administration of a total parenteral nutrition formula is common practice, the mixing of an all-in-one formulation for administration in one bag remains unusual. Practitioners remain unsure about the stability of added lipids for parenteral nutrition (5). Thus, parenteral nutrition is commonly administered through separate lines, which is then called two-bag parenteral nutrition. However, an issue may arise in the critical care setting, where the patient receives many intravenous medications and venous access is limited (4). The administration of two-bag parenteral nutrition, with a separate lipid route, needs an additional port or access. This situation arouses debate on the benefits of mixing all nutrients into one bag.

The concern when adding lipids into a parenteral nutrition formula is instability, which results in creaming, coalescence, and cracking. The main hazard of macronutrient stability is not a chemical but rather a physical issue associated with particle size distribution. A previous study that assessed total parenteral nutrition in one bag had quite a different result (6-8). Additionally, no studies comparing mixed lipid and lipid-free parenteral nutrition using similar formulas for prematurity have been found. This study discusses and compares two types of solution—first, an all-in-one or one-bag parenteral nutrition formula; second, a lipid free or two-bag parenteral nutrition formula.

## METHOD

The nutrition components were acquired from standard hospital stock: Aminosteril® Infant 6% (Fresenius Kabi Combiphar), Dextrose 5% (Otsuka), Dextrose 40% (Otsuka), NaCl 3% (Otsuka), Potassium Chloride injection 7,46% (Otsuka), Calcium Gluconate Injection (Generik, Ethica Industri Farmasi), Magnesium Sulfate 20% injection (Otsuka), Lipofudin® 20% (Braun), Nutrient Pad Set Standard TTC Media, Membrane Filter (Satorius), and Pepton Water (OXOID).

## FORMULA

This study investigated the standard parenteral nutrition of preterm babies with a weight of 1,000 mg. The composition of nutrients was based on the first-day and second-day guidelines used by practitioners in the hospital (9). A stability study was carried out on four formulas, where formulas 1a and 2a are all-in-one parenteral nutrition (AIO-PN) and formulas 1b and 2b are lipid-free parenteral nutrition (lipid-free-PN) formulas, as stated below:

1. Formula 1a is a first-day standard formulation in one bag containing 5% glucose (28.85 mL), 40% glucose (25 mL), 6% amino acids (25 mL), 10% calcium gluconate (10 mL), 20% magnesium sulfate (0.36 mL), and 20% lipids (5 mL) (Day-1 AIO PN).
2. Formula 1b is a first-day standard formulation in one bag containing 5% glucose (28.85 mL), 40% glucose (25 mL), 6% amino acids (25 mL), 10% calcium gluconate (10 mL), and 20% magnesium sulfate (0.36 mL) (Day-1 Lipid free PN).
3. Formula 2a is a second-day standard formulation in one bag containing 5% glucose (30 mL), 40% glucose (33.33 mL), 6% amino acids (25 mL), 10% calcium gluconate (10 mL), 20% magnesium sulfate (0.36 mL), and 20% lipids (7.5 mL) (Day-2 AIO PN).
4. Formula 2b is a second-day standard formulation in one bag containing 5% glucose (30 mL), 40% glucose (33.33 mL), 6% amino acids (25 mL), 10% calcium gluconate (10 mL), and 20% magnesium sulfate (0.36 mL) (Day-2 Lipid-free PN).

Four formulas were prepared to be aseptic in triplicate under laminar air flow (LabTech International, Indonesia). After preparation, the four formulas were kept in a refrigerator (2-8 °C). Physical stability was investigated every 24 hours during 7 days following the principle of compatibility justification – visual inspection, particle size, and pH. Each solution was observed by a trained pharmaceutical technician against a black and a white background to detect visual changes including discoloration, effervescence, turbidity, emulsion instability, creaming, and cracking. A particle size analyzer (Horiba, Germany) was used to measure particle size distribution in the sample. The distribution of lipid droplet diameters was also confirmed using a microscope (Olympus CX21, Japan). Chemical detection was evaluated with a calibrated surface pH-meter (Horiba, Germany) and osmometer (Horiba, Germany).

## RESULTS

The AIO PN formulas showed that osmolarity was within the 500-700 mOsm range as shown in table I. Based on visual inspection the AIO PN formulas changed visually during testing. Table II shows that creaming developed in AIO PN (F1a and F2a) formulas from the third day after preparation. Particle size was measured as in table III. It was in the range < 600 nm. Table IV shows that the pH of all four formulas did not change significantly during the assay.

**Table I.** Osmolarity of four parenteral nutrition formulas after preparation

Repl-ication	Osmolarity (mOsm/L)			
	F1a	F1b	F2a	F2b
1	716	612	664	589
2	714	617	668	583
3	718	617	667	584
Mean ± SD	716.0 ± 2.01	615.33 ± 2.35	666.33 ± 2.08	585.33 ± 2.62

F1a: standard first-day AIO PN formula for preterm babies; F1b: standard first-day lipid-free PN formula for preterm babies; F2a: standard second-day AIO PN formula for preterm babies; F2b: standard second-day lipid-free PN formula for preterm babies.

**Table II.** Evaluation on physical stability of four formulas based on visual examination

Day testing	Visual examination			
	F1a	F1b	F2a	F2b
Day 1	White, milky	Clear	White, milky	Clear
Day 2	White, milky	Clear	White, milky	Clear
Day 3	Creaming	Clear	Creaming	Clear
Day 4	Creaming	Clear	Creaming	Clear
Day 5	Creaming	Clear	Creaming	Clear
Day 6	Creaming	Clear	Creaming	Clear
Day 7	Creaming	Clear	Creaming	Clear

F1a: standard first-day AIO PN formula for preterm babies; F1b: standard first-day lipid-free PN formula for preterm babies; F2a: standard second-day AIO PN formula for preterm babies; F2b: standard second-day lipid-free PN formula for preterm babies.

**Table III.** Particle size of four parenteral nutrition formulas using a particle size analyzer (PSA)

Day testing	Particle Size (nm)			
	F1a	F1b	F2a	F2b
Day 1	351.30 ± 7,3	< 5	313.23 ± 1,2	< 5
Day 2	344.90 ± 0,8	< 5	356.63 ± 2,1	< 5
Day 3	329.90 ± 3,9	< 5	300.03 ± 6,6	< 5
Day 4	349.00 ± 0,5	< 5	342.43 ± 0,9	< 5
Day 5	335.87 ± 2,8	< 5	361.13 ± 1,0	< 5
Day 6	352.10 ± 3,7	< 5	362.20 ± 0,3	< 5
Day 7	369.20 ± 1,1	< 5	303.63 ± 2,6	< 5

F1a: standard first-day AIO PN formula for preterm babies; F1b: standard first-day lipid-free PN formula for preterm babies; F2a: standard second-day AIO PN formula for preterm babies; F2b: standard second-day lipid-free PN formula for preterm babies.

**Table IV.** pH value of four parenteral nutrition formulas using a pH-meter

Day testing	pH values			
	F1a	F1b	F2a	F2b
Day 1	6.06 ± 0.09	6.83 ± 0.05	5.75 ± 0.04	6.80 ± 0.07
Day 2	5.76 ± 0.02	6.85 ± 0.01	5.80 ± 0.04	6.85 ± 0.03
Day 3	5.52 ± 0.09	6.81 ± 0.04	6.04 ± 0.03	6.98 ± 0.05
Day 4	5.94 ± 0.02	6.81 ± 0.05	6.04 ± 0.03	6.99 ± 0.02
Day 5	6.13 ± 0.04	6.78 ± 0.07	6.15 ± 0.10	6.98 ± 0.4
Day 6	5.90 ± 0.02	6.80 ± 0.05	5.98 ± 0.06	6.89 ± 0.02
Day 7	5.82 ± 0.01	6.80 ± 0.06	6.22 ± 0.06	6.81 ± 0.03
Mean	5.87 ± 0.09	6.81 ± 0.07	6.14 ± 0.09	6.90 ± 0.07
ΔpH	1.06	1.24		

F1a: standard first-day AIO PN formula for preterm babies; F1b: standard first-day lipid-free PN formula for preterm babies; F2a: standard second-day AIO PN formula for preterm babies; F2b: standard second-day lipid-free PN formula for preterm babies.

## DISCUSSION

The osmolarity value, which is higher than 600, may be categorized as hyperosmolar. It showed that these four standard formulas should not be administered through peripheral routes, to prevent vein problems such as phlebitis or extravasation. A central route or PICC is best for these formulas, especially in babies or young children. Of all four options, AIO PN formulas had a higher osmolarity around 80-100 mOsm as compared to the Lipid-free PN ones.

Emulsion instability is marked by creaming, cracking, and inversion phase. Cracking is due to particle fusion to form larger particles. Creaming indicates physical instability and is reversible with shaking. Irreversible instability occurs when coalescence or cracking develops. During seven days in observation no visual signs of coalescence or cracking appeared. Coalescence and cracking typically occur when fat globules are larger than 500 nm (10). As regards the particle size analysis, the four formulas remained within the normal range (300-400 nm) for seven days, though physical changes were seen. To better qualify results we chose PSA rather than visual inspection. PSA measured the particles following a principle of dynamic light scattering, which is more sensitive and specific compared to the eye ability to differentiate emulsion changes. PSA is able to detect particles accurately in a range of 0.01-5,000 μm, and is also reliable to measure lipid size distribution. Furthermore, the microscopic analysis revealed no particles larger than 1 μm, and the naked eye is limited to sizes larger than 50 microns (5). Therefore, it was confirmed that judgment of lipid physical instability within 24 hours as based on naked eye evaluation is inaccurate.

Evidence of particulate hazards has been reported, such as deaths in newborns associated with embolism. Therefore, such formulations should be avoided for parenteral or intravascular administration (11). Table II shows that all four formulas remain within the acceptable range. The particle sizes obtained are able to freely circulate through the microvascular network. Particle sizes larger than the microvascular diameter (600 nm-1,000 nm) are dangerous since particles would be trapped inside these veins and induce embolism (12,13). Any particles larger than the vasculature's diameter may block a vein or artery. They also may occlude the capillary bed since the particles be larger than capillary diameter. During intravenous delivery, particulate contaminants enter the vein and travel through the venous system to the heart and lung. Particles larger than 5 µm tend to become trapped in the lung, whereas those smaller than 5 µm are usually retained in the liver, spleen, or kidney (14). In order to achieve a safe particle size, intravenous medication or parenteral nutrition particles should not be larger than 1 µm (15). Particle sizes smaller than 600 nm are physically safe to be introduced and circulate in the blood vessels.

Furthermore, the results of pH-metry show that the addition of fat into a parenteral nutrition formula causes a pH decrease (1.06 units for the first formula, 1.24 units for the second formula), even though the pH of the four formulas, including the ones for AIO PN, did not change significantly during seven days in a refrigerator. In theory, any lipids (6-8,11) added to glucose as an acid compound will reduce the lipid pH but increase the PN pH. However, the value of the final PN pH, around 6, is commonly stable; instability may begin when the PN pH is lower than 5.

AIO PN stability was influenced by composition, concentration, and environment, as well as by storage conditions. This study confirmed the causes of physical stability in AIO PN formulas. Both AIO and lipid-free parenteral nutrition formulas remained physically stable to visual inspection, pH-metry, and particle size analysis. Although the formula developed creaming, this was easy to disperse after soft shaking. Hence, it was considered to be safe, without no large globules. This result differs from that of a previous study that used different lipid sources and formulas (16). That study identified AIO PN instability within minutes (16). In addition, previous studies did not add vitamin to the parenteral nutrition formula. Vitamins such as Vitalipid® act as fat-soluble vitamins with antioxidant activity to prevent peroxide formation, but do not influence particle size. Therefore, they may enhance stability. Meanwhile, this current research is similar to the previous study that stated AIO PN was stable when fat-soluble vitamins were added (17), with stability persisting for up to seven days (6). The provision of AIO parenteral nutrition in one single bag will be beneficial for patients; it will also be cheaper, with fewer venous accesses required, and simpler to administrate.

This study assessed physical changes such as particle size, as larger sizes indicate physical instability, threaten the microvascular tree, and may result in death. However, it has not solved the chemical stability issue, which is related to concentrations.

## CONCLUSION

This study confirmed that both AIO PN and lipid-free PN formulas are physically stable using visual, pH, and PS analyses. The creaming that occurred in the AIO PN formulas on the third day was reversible after re-shaking.

## REFERENCES

1. Sauer PJ. Can Extrauterine Gapproximate Intrauterine Growth? Should it? *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2007;85(2):608S-13S. DOI: 10.1093/ajcn/85.2.608S.
2. Goldberg DL, Becker PJ, Brigham K, Carlson S, Fleck L, Gollins L, et al. Identifying Malnutrition in Preterm and Neonatal Populations: Recommended Indicators. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics* 2018;118(9):1571-2. DOI: 10.1016/j.jand.2017.10.006.
3. Olsen SL, Park ND, Tracy K, Younger D, Anderson B. Implementing Standardized Feeding Guidelines, Challenges, and Results. *Neonatal Network* 2018;37(4):218-23. DOI: 10.1891/0730-0832.37.4.218.
4. Hanifah S, Ball P, Kennedy R. Medication incompatibility in intravenous lines in a Paediatric Intensive Care Unit (PICU) of Indonesian hospital. *Critical Care & Shock* 2018;21(3).
5. Staven V, Wang S, Grønlie I, Tho I. Physical stability of an all-in-one parenteral nutrition admixture for preterm infants upon mixing with micronutrients and drugs. *Eur J Hosp Pharm* 2018;ejhpharm-2018-001562. DOI: 10.1136/ehpharm-2018-001562.
6. De Cloet J, Van Biervliet S, Van Winckel M. Physicochemical stable standard all-in-one parenteral nutrition admixtures for infants and children in accordance with the ESPGHAN/ESPEN guidelines. *Nutrition* 2018;49:41-7. DOI: 10.1016/j.nut.2017.11.019.
7. Yailian A-L, Serre C, Fayard J, Faucon M, Thomaré P, Filali S, et al. Production and stability study of a hospital parenteral nutrition solution for neonates. *Journal of pharmaceutical analysis* 2019;9(2):83-90. DOI: 10.1016/j.jpha.2018.01.002.
8. Watrobska-Swiatlikowska D, Szlagatys-Sidorkiewicz A. Evaluation of physical stability of all in one parenteral admixtures for pediatric home care with high electrolytes concentrations. *Nutricion hospitalaria* 2015;31(1).
9. Wandita, S. Nutrisi pada Bayi Prematur. *Kumpulan Makalah Pertemuan Ilmiah Tahunan Ilmu Kesehatan Anak VIII* 2016;180-6.
10. Slattey E, Rumore MM, Douglas JS, Seres DS. 3-in-1 vs 2-in-1 parenteral nutrition in adults: A review. *Nutrition in Clinical Practice* 2014;29(5):631-5. DOI: 10.1177/0884533614533611.
11. Bradley JS, Wassel RT, Lee L, Nambiar S. Intravenous Ceftriaxone and Calcium in the Neonate: Assessing the Risk for Cardiopulmonary Adverse Events. *Pediatrics* 2009;123(4):e609-e13. DOI: 10.1542/peds.2008-3080.
12. Turmezei J, Jávorszky E, Szabo E, Dredán J, Kallai-Szabo B, Zelko R. Effect of storage temperature on the stability of total parenteral nutrition admixtures prepared for infants. *Acta Poloniae Pharmaceutica* 2015;72(5):843-9.
13. Athanasiou C, Hatziantoniou S, Skouroliakou M, Markantonis-Kyroudis S. Assessment of the physicochemical stability of all-in-one parenteral emulsions for neonates according to USP specifications. *Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition* 2014;38(7):867-72. DOI: 10.1177/0148607113499589.
14. Bohrer D. Particulate Matter: Wiley Online Library; 2012.
15. Singer P, Berger MM, Van den Berghe G, Biolo G, Calder P, Forbes A, et al. ESPEN guidelines on Parenteral Nutrition: Intensive Care. *Clinical Nutrition* 2009;28(4):387-400. DOI: 10.1016/j.clnu.2009.04.024.
16. Murphy S, Craig D, Murphy A. An Investigation into the Physical Stability of a Neonatal Parenteral Nutrition Formulation. *Acta Paediatrica* 1996;85(12):1483-6. DOI: 10.1111/j.1651-2227.1996.tb13956.x.
17. Skouroliakou M, Matthaïou C, Chiou A, Panagiotakos D, Gounaris A, Nunn T, et al. Physicochemical stability of parenteral nutrition supplied as all-in-one for neonates. *Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition* 2008;32(2):201-9. DOI: 10.1177/0148607108314768.