Simple methods to decrease sodium and nitrite contents in hams
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**ABSTRACT**

**Introduction:** Ham is a product highly consumed by society; however it contains some elements that make it a non-recommended food. Thus, it has been attempted to eliminate or reduce those components.

**Material and Methods:** Content of sodium, nitrites, peroxides and total coliforms were compared after processes of Washing (W) and Simple Cooking (SC) in turkey and pork ham in a cross-sectional analytical experimental study. Furthermore, sensory acceptance of the samples through an acceptance test of five points was evaluated. One-way ANOVA with post hoc Bonferroni were used to assess the mean difference between groups. The value of $p \leq 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

**Results:** Both processes reduced the amount of sodium in both types of ham in statistically significant way ($p \leq 0.001$). The major percentage of reduction was presented with SC: 73.4% for turkey ham and 63.5% for pork ham. Likewise, the higher percentage of nitrite reduction was 50.6% in pork ham with SC technique ($p \leq 0.05$). Peroxide index decreased with both techniques in both hams without statistical significance. Total coliforms count was kept constant in all samples. Higher sensory acceptance of W concerning SC in all sensory characteristics was observed.

**Conclusions:** W and SC techniques reduce sodium, nitrate and peroxide index without affecting the sanitation of hams. Furthermore, W is accepted in all sensory categories. We recommend using W technique before consumption of the product.
Introducción: El jamón, producto altamente consumido por la sociedad, contiene algunos elementos que lo convierten en un alimento no recomendable, por lo que se ha buscado eliminar o reducir esos componentes.

Material y Métodos: Se compararon los contenidos de sodio, nitritos, índice de peróxidos y coliformes totales resultantes de los procesos de Lavado (L) y Cocción Simple (CS) aplicados al jamón de pavo y de cerdo en un estudio transversal analítico experimental. Además, se evaluó la aceptación sensorial de las muestras a través de una prueba de aceptación de cinco puntos. Se aplicó la prueba ANOVA de 1 vía con post hoc de Bonferroni para valorar la diferencia de medias entre grupos. El valor de p≤0,05 se consideró estadísticamente significativo.

Resultados: Ambos procesos redujeron la cantidad de sodio en ambos tipos de jamón de manera estadísticamente significativa (p≤0,001). El mayor porcentaje de reducción se presentó con CS: 73,4% para el jamón de pavo y 63,5% para el jamón de cerdo. Asimismo, el mayor porcentaje de reducción de nitritos fue de 50,6% con la técnica de CS en el jamón de cerdo (p≤0,05). El índice de peróxidos disminuyó con ambas técnicas en ambos jamones sin significancia estadística. El conteo de coliformes totales se mantuvo constante en todas las muestras. Se observó una mayor aceptación sensorial de L sobre CS en todas las características sensoriales.

Conclusiones: Las técnicas de L y CS reducen sodio, nitritos e índice de peróxidos sin afectar la sanidad de los jamones. Asimismo, L es aceptada sensorialmente en todas sus categorías. Se recomienda utilizar la técnica de L antes del consumo del producto.
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RESUMEN
Introducción: El jamón, producto altamente consumido por la sociedad, contiene algunos elementos que lo convierten en un alimento no recomendable, por lo que se ha buscado eliminar o reducir esos componentes.

Material y Métodos: Se compararon los contenidos de sodio, nitritos, índice de peróxidos y coliformes totales resultantes de los procesos de Lavado (L) y Cocción Simple (CS) aplicados al jamón de pavo y de cerdo en un estudio transversal analítico experimental. Además, se evaluó la aceptación sensorial de las muestras a través de una prueba de aceptación de cinco puntos. Se aplicó la prueba ANOVA de 1 vía con post hoc de Bonferroni para valorar la diferencia de medias entre grupos. El valor de p≤0,05 se consideró estadísticamente significativo.

Resultados: Ambos procesos redujeron la cantidad de sodio en ambos tipos de jamón de manera estadísticamente significativa (p≤0,001). El mayor porcentaje de reducción se presentó con CS: 73,4% para el jamón de pavo y 63,5% para el jamón de cerdo. Asimismo, el mayor porcentaje de reducción de nitritos fue de 50,6% con la técnica de CS en el jamón de cerdo (p≤0,05). El índice de peróxidos disminuyó con ambas técnicas en ambos jamones sin significancia estadística. El conteo de coliformes totales se mantuvo constante en todas las muestras. Se observó una mayor aceptación sensorial de L sobre CS en todas las características sensoriales.

Conclusiones: Las técnicas de L y CS reducen sodio, nitritos e índice de peróxidos sin afectar la sanidad de los jamones. Asimismo, L es aceptada sensorialmente en todas sus categorías. Se recomienda utilizar la técnica de L antes del consumo del producto.
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INTRODUCTION
Ham is a processed meat product widely used in our diet. Due to the considerable perishability of this product, it is usually labeled with 3 months as a maximum consumption period1,2. In its development, hams are exposed to various processes such as curing, where salts such as sodium chloride (NaCl), nitrites, nitrates, and other food additives are added in order to preserve the sanity of the product3.

Curing is an activity developed from ancient times. Prior to development of cold-preservation control (refrigeration), the deterioration of meat products was stopped effectively using NaCl as the principal agent. Today, meat and poultry industry have been benefited from the use of sodium nitrite (NaNO2) by allowing the production of meats with improved food safety and extended shelf-life with excellent storage stability4.

At certain level, sodium nitrite prevents the growth of some types of bacteria that are responsible from meat alteration (Clostridium and Listeria monocytogenes genus mainly)5,6,7 and provides desirable organoleptic characteristics of meat products as pink color, taste, stability against lipid oxidation, enrichment of the texture and special flavor1,6,8.

Around 80% of sodium intake comes from processed food and 7% comes from processed meats9,10. In hams the amount of sodium is usually from 1500 to 1800 milligrams (mg) per 100 grams (g) of product11 in contrast with the Recommended Daily Intake (RDI) which recommends a maximum intake of sodium from 1000 to 1500mg12. Otherwise, the quantity of nitrite allowed in meat products...
Procesos simples que disminuyen el contenido de sodio y nitritos en jamones

Contribute to nitrosamine formation\textsuperscript{24}. Nitrosamines are chemicals with toxic, mutagenic and carcinogenic potential effects\textsuperscript{25,26}. It is believed that nitrosamines are formed due to residual nitrites existed in cured meat products and it has found higher levels than the permitted in these products\textsuperscript{27}. It is important to know that the level of nitrosamines tolerated for humans is from 5 to 10 micrograms (µg) per kg of body weight\textsuperscript{21} (Figure 1).

In recent years the interest on Spanish cured ham has increase in México. In 2012, with 82.7 of tons exported to México, it became the fifth destination of Spanish cured ham exports and the largest market in Latin America. However, local consumption in México of other meats as ham and sausages remains much higher\textsuperscript{28}.

\textbf{Figure 1.} Possible effects of sodium and nitrite intake.

In the foreground it observed that the conjugation of a secondary amine with a nitrous acid results on the formation of N-nitroso compounds, which have mutagenic, teratogenic and carcinogenic effects. Methemoglobin is formed by the binding of nitrates with hemoglobin, these results in the development of methemoglobinemia. Further, nitrite oxidation promotes the formation of reactive nitrogen species, which have been described as important factors in the obstruction of lung function. Finally, sodium increases the intravascular volume and thus increases blood pressure which can lead to hypertension.
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Because of this problem, some industries have done many studies trying to reduce the sodium and nitrite amount during sausages preparation; however organoleptic characteristics have been affected. Other researchers have used substitutes of salt with moderately positive results. However, reduction assays of sodium and nitrates at time of consumption subsequent to marketing were not found. This could increase the acceptance of the product and could decrease microbiological risk. Thereby, the aim of this study is explore alternatives of sodium and nitrate reduction in turkey and pork ham and its impact on microbiological and organoleptic characteristics.

Two brands of turkey ham were selected along with two brands of pork ham by non-intentional probabilistic method. The selection method was according to the criteria established by a study performed by the “Procuraduría Federal del Consumidor” in México (PROFECO), amongst the criteria we can find frequent consumption, good quality and standardized packaging. Thus, it was decided to choose turkey ham with added soy and an average of 14% of protein and pork ham with added soy and an average of 16% protein. Characteristics of the selected brands can be found on Table 1.

**Procedures**

Techniques were chosen based on previous studies that showed reduction of similar elements to sodium and nitrates, such as Washing (W) and Simple Cooking (SC) techniques. W technique consists of exposing the ham sample to a constant water drop at ambient temperature (20°C approximately) for 1 minute with rubbing of hands. SC technique consists of submerging the ham sample into

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Study design**
The present study is cross-sectional analytical experimental.

**Table 1. Nutritional characteristics of hams.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ham</th>
<th>Ingredients</th>
<th>Nutrition Facts*</th>
<th>Other legends</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Turkey ham 1       | Turkey thigh, water, starch, soy concentrated protein (2%), iodized salt, carrageenan, sugar, sodium lactate, sodium diacetate, sodium phosphate, artificial flavors, sodium erythorbate, sodium nitrate and natural colorant carmine. | Calories: 456.3 kJ (106.3 kcal)  
Protein: 10.6 g  
Total carbohydrate: 8.1 g  
Sugars: 1.3 g  
Total fat: 3.8 g  
Saturated fat: 0.6 g  
Dietary fiber: 0.6 g  
**Sodium:** 931.3 mg | May contain milk and egg.  
Enriched with vitamins.  
12% fat free protein. |
| Turkey ham 2       | Turkey thigh, water, soy concentrated protein (2%), modified starch, maltodextrin, iodized salt, potassium chloride, carrageenan, sugar, natural and artificial flavors, sodium phosphate, sodium erythorbate, spice extracts, sodium diacetate, sodium nitrate and carmine. | Calories: 389.4 kJ (91.3 kcal)  
Protein: 12 g  
Total carbohydrate: 6.7 g  
Sugars: 0.9 g  
Total fat: 1.9 g  
Saturated fat: 1 g  
Dietary fiber: 0 g  
**Sodium:** 812.5 mg | |
| Pork ham 1         | Pork hind leg, water, soy concentrated protein (2%), iodized salt, dextrose, carrageenan, sodium phosphate, natural and artificial flavors, sodium erythorbate, sodium bicarbonate, spice extracts, sodium diacetate, sodium nitrate and carmine. | Calories: 375 kJ (91.3 kcal)  
Protein: 13.9 g  
Total carbohydrate: 1.9 g  
Sugars: 1 g  
Total fat: 2.9 g  
Saturated fat: 1 g  
Dietary fiber: 1 g  
**Sodium:** 745.2 mg | |
| Pork ham 2         | Pork hind leg, water, iodized salt, sodium phosphate, sugar, spices, flavors enhancers, carrageenan, sodium erythorbate, sodium nitrate and liquid smoke. | Calories: 390 kJ (92 kcal)  
Protein: 18 g  
Total carbohydrate: 0.5 g  
Total fat: 2 g  
**Sodium:** 900 mg | Low in salt.  
18% fat free protein. |

* Portion of 100g of the product.
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1 liter of water, heat it up to boiling point and remove from fire. All the samples were dried and cooled at ambient temperature. Subsequently, they were homogenized to a net content of 150g of product per determination per sample. Sodium, sodium nitrite, peroxide index and total coliforms determinations were done in a maximum of 24 hours after the techniques were applied.

Sodium and nitrite determination

Sodium determination was performed using the Flame Atomic Absorption Spectrometry method following the procedures indicated in NOM-117-SSA1-1994 and NOM-086-SSA1-1994. We proceed to calibrate the equipment and to create the calibration standards, concentration of the calibration standards were between the dynamic sodium concentration intervals. Based on the absorption obtained, calculations were made to represent sodium content in mg/100g of sample.

For NaNO₂ quantification, the method validated on NMX-F-543-1992 was followed and the calibration curve for curated products was made. The results were represented in mg/kg per sample. These results were calculated based on the absorbency registered by the spectrophotometer at 520 nm (nanometers) adjusting zero with the blank (B).

Lipid peroxidation and microbiological evaluation

Peroxide Index evaluation was made using the titulometric procedure validated on NMX-F-614-NORMEX-2004. The content of substances that oxidize potassium iodide was estimated and was represented in milliequivalents of active oxygen per kg of sample (meqO₂/kg). Peroxide Index is associated with the presence of peroxides of fatty acids presents in the sample.

Sample preparation to determine total coliforms was made according to the NOM-110-SSA1-1994. The analysis of total coliforms presence was made through the count in plaque technique, validated on NOM-113-SSA1-1994. The number of total coliforms was quantified using a selective culture medium where bacteria was developed at 35°C, resulting in gas and organic acids production which turned the pH indicator and precipitated bile salts. The results were presented in Colony-forming units per gram (CFU/g).

Organoleptic analysis

Sensory acceptance of the samples was also evaluated. In order to do this, an acceptance test of five points was used: “Like very much”, “Like”, “Don’t like/dislike”, “Dislike”, “Dislike very much”. Twenty four persons between 19 and 23 years old were selected with non-probabilistic intentional method. They assessed the texture, odor, flavor and color of the different process applied to hams. Furthermore, results of sodium reduction of each type of ham were grouped according to NOM-086-SSA1-1994 in the following way: “Sodium free ham” if it contains ≤5mg/60g of product, “Very low sodium ham” if it contains ≤35mg/60g of product, “Low sodium ham” if contains ≤140mg/60g of product and “Reduced sodium ham” if it contains ≤25% than the original ham. NOM-086-SSA1-1994 establishes the nutritional specifications that modified foods (reduced, eliminated or added foods) have to keep.

In addition, it was carried out a sensory perception evaluation at the same subjects with a consumer panel survey. They evaluated the following characteristics:

- Texture: fibrous, juicy and tender.
- Taste: extremely salty, salty, sweet, bitter and metallic.
- Color: faint pink, marble pink, bright pink and uniform color.
- Odor: smoky, sweet, salty and metallic.

Statistical analysis

Data analysis was performed in edition 20 SPSS software. Parametric variables are presented in means and standard deviation and qualitative variables in frequency and percentage. The content of sodium, sodium nitrite, peroxide index and total coliforms of the blank and the different techniques were compared through One-way ANOVA test and post hoc Bonferroni test. Value of p≤0.05 was considered statistically significant.
### RESULTS

#### Sodium and nitrite

W and SC processes reduced the sodium content in both types of ham in statistically significant way (p≤0.001) (Figure 2). However, SC technique presented the main reduction of sodium in turkey ham (1029±244.9mg/100gB vs 273±27.7mg/100gSC) likewise in pork ham (888.2±80.3mg/100gB vs 324±21.6mg/100gSC). The percentage of reduction shown with SC technique was 73.4% for turkey ham and 63.5% for pork ham.

![Figure 2. Content of sodium in turkey and pork ham.](data:image/png;base64,iVBORw0KGgoAAAANSUhEUgAAAEAAAABcAYAAAB6LJxwAAAgAElEQVR42mOwzEwAAgAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAwAw
**Table 2.** Results of peroxide index and total coliforms in turkey and pork ham.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Turkey Ham</th>
<th></th>
<th>Pork Ham</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Blank</td>
<td>Washing</td>
<td>Simple Cooking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peroxide Index (meqO₂/kg)</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.05ᵃ</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.02ᵃ</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.04ᵇ</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.03ᵃ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Coliforms (CFU/g)</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>&lt;15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means differences were determined by One-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni test. Groups represented with different letters are different in a statistically significant way (p≤0.05).

meqO₂/kg: milliequivalents of active oxygen per kg of sample
CFU/g: Colony-forming units per gram of product.

**Figure 4.** Sensory acceptation (Texture and taste) of techniques.

Data are expressed in percentage. On the left side we’ll find turkey ham and on the right side pork ham. We evaluated the texture and taste acceptation of Washing (W) and Simple Cooking (SC) techniques by the following categories: “Like very much”, “Like”, “Don’t like/dislike”, “Dislike”, “Dislike very much”.
Organoleptic analysis

Results of organoleptic analysis are described in Figures 4 and 5. In general, W technique was more accepted than SC technique. SC technique primarily affected texture and taste in both types of ham due to nearly 50% of the respondents reported that they “Dislike very much” these characteristics. However color and odor characteristics were reported indifferent due to approximate 30% of the respondents reported that they “Don’t like/dislike”. In another way, W technique was located in “Like” category in all the organoleptic characteristics according to 30–40% of the respondents.
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**Figure 6.** Sensory perception (Texture and taste) of techniques.

![Sensory perception (Texture and taste) of techniques.](image)

Data are expressed in percentage. On the left side we’ll find turkey ham and on the right side pork ham. We evaluated the texture and taste perception of Washing (W) and Simple Cooking (SC) techniques.

W and SC processes reduced sodium in 28.6% and 73.4% respectively in turkey ham, placing them into “Reduced sodium ham” category. The same processes reduced in 35.4% and 63.5% respectively in pork ham, placing them into “Reduced sodium ham” category. This is according to NOM-086-SSA1-1994⁴⁵.

Regarding to sensory perception, in general there is not differences between blank and W and SC techniques (Figures 6 and 7). Texture and taste perception were the most affected due to the percentages are different. However, percentages of color and odor are very similar.
Procesos simples que disminuyen el contenido de sodio y nitritos en jamones

Sodium salts, sodium nitrite and sodium nitrate are components authorized for its use in several foodstuffs such as non-heat-treated, cured and dried meat products. The objective of preservatives use as nitrates and nitrites is to find a balance between ensuring the microbiological safety and keeping the level of nitrosamines as low as possible and to improve several organoleptic characteristics as color and flavor. Moreover, nitrate reduction to nitrite is important in controlling lipid oxidation process during the ham ripening and it is essential in the development of the characteristic cured flavor.

Sodium chloride plays an important role in flavoring and preservation. Furthermore sodium chloride decreases water activity in meat products influencing its shelf life.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Meat consumption has increased during the last years. From a physiological perspective, rich meat diets have several nutritional benefits but also some potential adverse effects; this could impact in chronic disease development and finally death. The 2005 US Dietary Guidelines for Americans recommends moderated consumption of red and processed meat. Such recommendations are due to high content of cholesterol and saturated fatty acids of these products have been shown to be positively associated with increased Low Density Lipoprotein concentrations (LDL) and major risk of Coronary Heart Disease (CHD), stroke, and type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Data are expressed in percentage. On the left side we’ll find turkey ham and on the right side pork ham. We evaluated the color and odor perception of Washing (W) and Simple Cooking (SC) techniques.
Similarly, sodium nitrite is essential in meat industry due to it improves safety and sets the characteristic color of smoked and cured meats\textsuperscript{21,48}.

Sodium intake exceeds nutritional recommendations mainly in industrialized countries. It has implications in elevated blood pressure, development of hypertension and positive association with mortality and CHD risk. Given this, it has promoted recommendation programs to reduce the intake of sodium without conclusive results\textsuperscript{49}. On the other hand, nitrite represents another risk to the health of consumers. The nitrite itself is rather toxic in comparison to nitrate. As a rule, nitrite is 10 times more toxic than nitrate. The lethal oral doses for human beings are 80–800mg of nitrate/kg of body weight and 33–250 mg of nitrite/kg of body weight\textsuperscript{44}. Nitrites are absorbed by diffusion through gastric mucosa and gut wall, it reacts with hemoglobin and could cause methemoglobinemia, decreased oxidative phosphorylation, inhibition of microsomal enzymes and other toxic effects which could eventually cause death\textsuperscript{20,22,48}. Several studies indicated that frequent consumption of meat with high levels of nitrite is related to obstructive lung function by nitrosative stress generation\textsuperscript{15,22}. Furthermore, reaction of nitrites with amines could cause the formation of N-nitroso compounds such as nitrosamines, highly reactive and responsible of causing embroyopathy mutagenic effects. Children are especially susceptible to these effects due to their low body weight, immature enzyme system and weakness in gastric system\textsuperscript{21,50}.

According to NOM-086-SSA1-1994, the consumption of 60g of ham covers more than one third of the RDI of sodium for an adult. It represents 37% of RDI in turkey ham and 34% of RDI in pork ham\textsuperscript{35}. This percentage could decrease with the process applied in this research: 60g of turkey ham could contain only 10.9% of the RDI if SC technique is applied and pork ham could contain 0.9% of the RDI with the same technique.

One finding of this research is the difference found in the concentrations of sodium and nitrates between turkey and pork ham. Sodium and nitrite concentration was higher in turkey ham against pork ham (1029.1mg and 888.2mg in the case of sodium and 122.7mg/kg and 109.5mg/kg in the case of nitrates respectively). The above information becomes relevant because it could influence the choice of meat product based on the health of the consumer.

Nowadays there are not recommendations scientifically validated to processes sausages in home in order to reduce concentrations of sodium and nitrite. However, Burrowes et al. conducted a similar study in which they reduced potassium in some potato varieties. Results revealed that SC and Double Cocking processes (DC) decreased significantly this mineral\textsuperscript{38}. Other experimental alternatives have been performed to reduce sodium concentration during product development, as reported by Stopfoth et al. They used potassium lactate on the formulation, decreasing 42% of the sodium content\textsuperscript{91}. Lavieri et al. used nitrates of plant origin in combination with high hydrostatic pressure with the objective to inhibit L. monocytogenes growing having favorable results\textsuperscript{7}. The same author has worked with other natural or organic methods with promising results\textsuperscript{52}. One of these methods is natural vegetable juice, which has proved quality and usefulness comparable with conventional curing methods\textsuperscript{53}. Meat products with organic or natural additives without nitrates or nitrites are good alternative of consumption\textsuperscript{59}. However several low-sodium meats obtained by physical and chemical methods have failed in sanity quality, sensory perception and consumer acceptance\textsuperscript{52}. Thus simple methods applied at the time of consumption could become an economic and acceptable alternative. In our study SC reduced more quantity of sodium in turkey and pork ham with 73.4% and 63.5% respectively, and nitrates with 58.4% and 50.6% respectively.

The oxidative rancidity is delayed by nitrites in cured meats by four different mechanisms proposed: by reaction of nitrites with heme pigments forming a stable complex, for its action in tissue membranes by stabilizing its unsaturated lipids, by action of nitrite as a metal chelate and finally by the formation of N-nitroso compounds that could act as radical scavengers\textsuperscript{5}.

The oxidative decomposition of lipids (lipid oxidation) and amino acids degradation have been reported to produce a high variety of compounds, as aldehydes and esters that have shown to be contributors to the loss of desirable flavor in meats. In addition, these aldehydes are important contributors to the aroma in meats\textsuperscript{51}. Our results demonstrated mild effects of SC process in peroxides contents respect to W and B.

On the other hand, the addition of nitrates and nitrites to cured ham reinforces the preserving effect of salting by inhibiting the growth of bacteria\textsuperscript{1}. We demonstrate that W and SC processes don’t increase the sanity risk of the product, as evidenced by the analysis of total coliforms. Microbial analysis of the processed ham indicated no significant differences in aerobic plate count.

Respect to organoleptic properties, we found that the process in which heat is applied and therefore which reduced sodium and nitrites significantly (SC) were the least accepted sensory. In contrast, those in which heat were not applied were mostly accepted (W). Rejection of low
sodium technics is due to the use of sodium and nitrates are essential to improve sensory characteristics as well as they are important agents emulsifiers, flavor enhancers, color enhancers, water and fat binder and microorganisms controller.

It is important to know that determination methods used in the study are validated and they are included in national norms. Further, analyses were performed integrally including sodium quantity, nitrite quantity, lipid peroxidation index, microbiological evaluation and organoleptic characteristics. We obtained a statistical significance of p<0.001 in reducing sodium in both hams. Nitrates content was affected by simple methods and SC obtained a statistical significance of p<0.05 in reducing nitrates in pork ham.

More researches in other type of sausages are needed. It is necessary to analyze the loss of water-soluble nutrients, to determine changes in fat content in the product after applying reduction process. In addition to this, it is important to investigate whether the interaction of nitrite during cooking generates N-nitroso components such as nitrosamines and their effects in experimental models.
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