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Summary
Introduction: N-terminal propeptide of type 1 collagen (PINP) is a marker for bone formation. Blood PINP is found in trimeric and monomeric forms. There are two automated methods for its determination. R-PINP (Roche Diagnostics) determines both forms (Total PINP). IDS-PINP (IDS iSYS N-Mid® Vitro) determines the trimeric part (Intact PINP).

Objective: To compare the two methods.

Material and method: 81 patients (64 men and 17 women, average age of 53 ± 8 years) with terminal hepatic insufficiency were recruited. Creatinine, PTH, 25-OH-vitamin D, beta-crosslaps (β-CTX), desoxypyridinoline (Dpyr), hepatic function and PINP with both methods, were measured. Bone mineral density (BMD) was measured (Hologic®, QDR 4500) in the lumbar spine and femoral neck. The comparison between the two methods was carried out using a Bland-Altman and Passing-Bablok analysis.

Results: R-PINP showed higher values than IDS-PINP (85.03 ± 56.67 vs. 55.22 ± 32.81 ng/mL, p<0.001). The correlation between the two methods was r= 0.81 (p<0.01) and the Passing-Bablok regression analysis Y = 0.570 [0.475-0.669] X + 7.724 [2.130-12.542].

Conclusion: There is a good correlation between the two methods in patients with hepatic insufficiency, although not proportional or interchangeable.
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Introduction
The markers for bone remodelling (MBRs) provide information around the risk of fracture and may be useful in monitoring the treatment of osteoporosis, both with anti-resorptive drugs and anabolics. The first markers for bone formation used were osteocalcin and alkaline phosphatase (AP). Both have various limitations. Osteocalcin can be produced by other tissues, circulate in intact and fragmented forms, its expression regulated by 1,25(OH)₂D₃ and by the corticoids, is of limited value in patients with renal insufficiency and not very stable at room temperature. With respect to AP, it may be affected by hepatic pathologies.

Type 1 collagen makes up 90% of bone protein and is synthesised as type 1 procollagen. In the extracellular processing of type 1 procollagen, the amino-terminal of type 1 collagen (PINP) and carboxy-terminal (PICP) fragments are released. These propeptides circulate in the blood and are used as markers for bone formation.

The pre-analytical advantages of PINP include low diurnal and inter-individual variability and stability at room temperature. It can be determined both in the blood and in the plasma, and, unlike other markers for bone resorption, its concentrations are not affected by the intake of food.

Currently, PINP is considered to be one of the markers for formation with the best clinical performance. Thus, it has been reported that in 14 patients, after the surgical menopause, PINP is the marker for bone formation which has the greatest diagnostic sensitivity, beating AP and osteocalcin. The results reported in 51 patients with Paget's disease are similar, where a good correlation between the extension indices and the activity of the process was also observed.

There are two forms of PINP in the blood, intact or trimeric and monomeric. The methods currently available measure the trimeric form (intact PINP) or the trimeric and monomeric forms (total PINP). There are few data published comparing the different methods of determining PINP, and even fewer in special populations, such as patients with chronic hepatopathy, candidates for liver transplant.

The aim of this study has been to compare two methods of automated determination of PINP: Cobas E 601, Roche Diagnostics (R-PINP) and IDS® Vitro (IDS-PINP) in patients with advanced chronic hepatopathy, candidates for liver transplant.

Material and method
The study included 81 patients with chronic advanced hepatopathy who were included on the waiting list for liver transplant of the 12th October University Hospital and studied in the clinic for bone metabolic diseases. The study was approved by the local ethics committee and carried out with the informed consent of all the patients. When the analyses were carried out the patients had received no treatment for their bone pathology. All the parameters analysed were determined using the same blood samples. The samples were taken in conditions of fasting and between 8.00 and 10.00 hours and stored at -70°C.

The analysis of total PINP (R-PINP) was carried out using an electro-chemoluminescence test using the ELECSYS 2010 (Roche diagnostics) equipment. This method detects the monomeric and trimeric forms (total). It has an analytical sensitivity <5.0 ng/mL. The intra- and inter-trial coefficients of variation (CV) vary between 2.3-3.7% and 1.8-2.9%, respectively. The normality range is 20 to 100 ng/mL. The analysis of the trimeric form of PINP (intact) (IDS-PINP) was carried out using automated chemoluminescence (IDS-iSYS). The intra- and inter-trial CVs vary between 2.6-3% and 4.2-5.3%, respectively. The normal values in adults are 27.7-127.6 ng/mL.

Also measured were: creatinine, glomerular filtrate, blood MBR, beta-crosslaps (β-CTX) and urinary MBR desoxypyridinoline (Dpyr), parathormone (PTH), 25 hydroxyvitamin D (25-OH D₃), and parameters for liver function (GOT, GPT, GGT, alkaline phosphatase, albumin and bilirubin). The calculation of glomerular filtrate was made using the formula CKD-EPF.

The marker β-CTX was determined by means of a sandwich type electro-chemoluminescence test using ELECSYS 1010 (Roche diagnostics) equipment. The intra- and inter-trial CV is <4.1% and <5.7% respectively. The analytical sensitivity is 0.01 g/l. The normality range is from 0.20 to 0.70 ng/mL. The Dpyr analysis was carried out with a urine sample from the second micturation of the morning, performed using solid phase chemiluminescent immunoassay, using IMMULITE 2000 (SIEMENS) equipment. The results are expressed in nanomoles/litren(nM/l) of Dpyr and are normalised with reference to the excretion of urinary creatinine (nM/l). The analytical sensitivity is 6 nM/l of Dpyr. The method has a CV which varies between 2.5 and 11.8%. The normality range is from 2.3 to 7 nM-mM of creatinine.

The bone mineral density (BMD) was measured in the spinal column and femoral neck (Hologic®, QDR 4500), the T-score being calculated in accordance with a Spanish population of similar age and sex. Osteoporosis and osteopenia are defined in accordance with the criteria of the WHO.

Statistical analysis
The marker is transformed logarithmically to reduce its asymmetry. The comparison study of the measurement processes was carried out using a linear regression analysis with the Passing-Bablok method. If two methods are comparable and give similar results, the 95% confidence interval of the incline (“a”) should include the value 1 and the 95% confidence interval of the ordinate at the origin (“b”) should include the value 0. If “a” does not include the value 1 there are proportional systematic errors. If “b” does not include the value 0 there will be constant systematic errors. The degree of agreement between the two methods is calculated using the Bland-
Results
Table 1 summarises the characteristics of the population studied. 64 men and 17 women participated. The mean age was 53 ± 8 years. Of these patients, 44 (54%) had osteopenia and 37 (46%) densitometric osteoporosis. The values obtained with R-PINP were higher than the values of IDS-PINP (85 ± 56.7 vs 55.2 ± 32.8 ng/mL, p<0.001), according to the methodology (total vs intact PINP). The coefficient of correlation between the two methods was r= 0.81 (p<0.01). The Passing-Bablok regression analysis (Figure 1) showed that the 95% confidence interval of the incline did not include 1: a= 0.570 (0.475 ; 0.669) and that the 95% confidence interval of the ordinate at the origin does not include 0: b= 7.724 (2.130 ; 12.542); therefore there are proportional and constant systematic errors.

The difference of paired values (Bland Altman analysis) was -29.61 ± 4.34 (Figure 2). Correlations were found between R-PINP and creatinine (r= 0.36; p<0.01), R-PINP and β-CTX (r= 0.26; p<0.021) and between R-PINP and 25-OH D3 (r= -0.27; p<0.017). The Dpyr showed a significant correlation with both R-PINP and IDS-PINP (r= 0.29; p<0.007). No correlation was found between PINP and the values of PTH, BMD (in any position) and parameters for liver function in either of the two methods.

A tendency to an increase in the difference between the two methods was observed, with high concentrations of PINP, as can be seen in Figure 1, as with the analysis of the ranges: R-PINP (9.4-259.2 g/mL). IDS-PINP (9.5-192.6 ng/mL). With values of PINP < 70 ng/mL, the comparison of the methods of R-PINP and IDS-PINP did not show any proportional systematic errors: a= 0.7973 (CI 95%: 0.6015 ; 1.1011), or constant systematic errors: b= 2.0609 (CI 95% : 1.4225 ; 8.4048).

In studying both methods classifying patients according to whether they had osteopenia (n= 44) or osteoporosis (n= 37), no significant differences were found in the values of intact or total PINP between them. However, it was observed that in patients with osteoporosis there is higher agreement between the two methods, although there is no direct transference between the two results, since neither zero in the ordinate at the origin nor one in the incline of the regression line are included. There are few studies which compare different methods for determining PINP. It is not well established to what extent two forms of PINP circulating in the blood are recognized by the different methods. The clearing of intact PINP is characterised by a rapid capture by the hepatic endothelial cells, while the monomeric forms depend to a great degree on the renal function.

Koivula et al. studied a manual method for intact PINP (RIA, Orion Diagnostics) as against the R-PINP method. The correlation between them in healthy subjects was 0.89, similar to that found in our study, and while the concentrations of PINP were similar between the two methods, this was in spite of the fact that one determined the intact form, and the other the total form.

The reason why we observed a greater difference between R-PINP and IDS-PINP as the value of PINP increases is unclear. Other authors have found similar results when comparing methods for the determination of PINP using RIA vs automated methods based on chemiluminescence. These authors make reference to a possible ceiling effect in using RIA at high concentrations of PINP, which could justify the difference between the two methods at these concentrations.

We found a weak significant correlation between the blood marker for resorption β-CTX and R-PINP, but not IDS-PINP; possibly because this only measures the trimeric form. However, the urinary marker for resorption, Dpyr, was correlated with both methods. Also found was a negative correlation between levels of 25-OH D3, and the value of R-PINP, which may be due to the influence of the status of vitamin D in remodelled bone.
The data found with respect to the positive correlation between creatinine and R-PINP are similar to those referred to by other authors. In healthy subjects, total and intact PINP show similar results, but in patients with chronic renal insufficiency the results differ. The increase is due to an increase in the monomeric forms, which accumulate in the blood of patients with renal insufficiency. In patients in haemodialysis with terminal renal insufficiency, although the PINP showed an initial increase during the haemodialysis, at the end of the sessions no significant changes in intact or total PINP were observed.

Garnero et al. show that R-PINP, in comparison with manual RIA (intact PINP), detected an increase in bone remodelling in postmenopausal women and was sensitive enough to detect significant changes in bone remodelling induced by treatment with PTH 1-84 and with alendronate.

In summary, while we found a good correlation between the two methods of determining PINP (total and intact forms), there are differences which mean that their results are not consistent.
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