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Summary.- OBJECTIVES: The objective of this monograph is to familiarize the reader with dynamic hydrodistention classification of the ureter and Hydrodistention Implantation Technique (HIT) methodology for the endoscopic correction of vesicoureteral reflux (VUR). The indications, current success rates, complications, and potential future applications of these methods are reviewed.

METHODS: Hydrodistention (HD) of the ureteral orifice and distal ureter permits visualization of the intraureteral submucosal injection site and assessment of the degree of ureteral coaptation. We have designated 4 levels of HD. H0 denotes absence of ureteral dilation, H1 indicates dilation of the ureteral orifice only, H2 allows visualization of the intramural ureter, and H3 allows visualization of the extramural ureter. The Double HIT method is a systematic technique that utilizes HD to both classify the ureter and gauge the degree of ureteral coaptation secondary to bulking during endoscopic injection. The needle is inserted at the mid ureteral tunnel at the 6 o’clock position. The first injection coapts the detrusor tunnel (until H1 or H0 is achieved), while a second implant within the most distal intramural tunnel leads to complete coaptation of the ureteral orifice (H0).

RESULTS: HD grade correlated significantly with VUR grade. Normal ureters rarely hydrodistended. While non-refluxing contralateral ureters demonstrated low HD grades, all contralateral ureters that subsequently developed VUR showed H2 or H3. The HIT method has not only been employed for primary VUR (90% cure), but also for repeat endoscopic injections (90%), VUR associated with paraureteral diverticula (81%), complex cases such as postreimplantation (88%), neurogenic bladders (78%), duplication anomalies (80%), and in adults (88%). Furthermore, injection of contralateral VUR-negative but hydrodistending ureters may be treated to prevent new contralateral VUR. While decreasing success was seen with increasing VUR grade with the STING method, superior success rates have been realized with the HIT method.

CONCLUSIONS: The dynamic hydrodistention classification reflects the competency of the ureterovesical junction. The HIT and Double HIT methods achieve superior cure rates and are likely to become the method of choice for the treatment of primary as well as complex cases of VUR.
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**Resumen.-** OBJETIVO: El objetivo de esta monografía es familiarizar al lector con la clasificación de la hidrodistensión dinámica del uréter y la metodología de la técnica de hidrodinstensión-implante para la corrección endoscópica del reflujo vesicouretal (RVU). Revisamos las indicaciones, la tasa de éxitos actual, sus complicaciones y las potenciales aplicaciones de estos métodos en el futuro.

MÉTODOS: La hidrodistensión del orificio ureteral y el uréter distal permite la visualización del sitio de inyección de la submucosa ureteral y la evaluación del grado de coaptación ureteral. Hemos designado cuatro niveles de hidrodistensión: H0 ausencia de dilatación ureteral, H1 dilatación sólo del orificio ureteral, H2 permite la visualización del uréter intramural y H3 permite la visualización del uréter extramural. El método de doble hidrodinstensión-implante es una técnica sistemática que utiliza la hidrodistensión para clasificar el uréter y evaluar el grado de coaptación ureteral después de la inyección endoscópica de sustancias de llenado. La aguja se inserta en el túnel medio ureteral a las 6 horas. La primera inyección coapa el túnel en el detrusor (hasta conseguir H1 o H0), mientras que una segunda inyección más distal en el túnel intramural lleva una coaptación completa del orificio ureteral (H0).

RESULTADOS: El grado de hidrodistensión se correlacionó significativamente con el grado de RVU. Mientras que los uréteres contralaterales no refluyentes demostraban grados bajos de hidrodistensión, todos los uréteres que desarrollaron reflujo vesicouretal posterior mostraban H2 o H3. El método de hidrodinstensión-implante no se ha empleado sólo para RVU primario (90% de curaciones), sino también para inyecciones endoscópicas repetidas (90%), RVU asociado con divertículo paraureteral (81%), casos complejos como después de reimplante (88%), vejiga neurogénica (78%), anomalías con duplicación (80%), y en adultos (88%). Además, el uréter contralateral no refluyente que presenta hidrodistensión puede tratarse para prevenir el RVU de novo. Mientras que con el método STING las tasas de éxito disminuyen al aumentar el grado del RVU, con el método de hidrodistensión-implante se han visto mejores tasas de éxitos.

CONCLUSIONES: La clasificación de la hidrodistensión dinámica refleja la competencia de la unión ureterovesical. Los métodos de hidrodinstensión-implante simple y doble consiguen tasas de curación superiores y probablemente se convertirán en el método de elección para el tratamiento del RVU primario y de los casos complejos.

**Palabras clave:** Reflujo vesicouretal. Inyección endoscópica. Hidrodistensión.

The needle is passed into the UO and inserted at the mid ureteral tunnel at the 6 o’clock position. Sufficient bulking agent is injected to produce a bulge, which initially coapses the detrusor tunnel (until H0 or H1 is achieved), while a second implant within the most distal intramural tunnel leads to coapation of the UO (until H0 is achieved, approximately 1-1.5 mL). Rarely, if the two intraureteric submucosal injections (Double HIT method) fail to coapt the ureter, a classic STING or a supraureteric injection is needed to achieve coapation. The latter 2 injection sites are used more commonly in complex or redo cases (Figure 2). HD is performed after each injection to monitor treatment progress; when HD ceases to dilate the UO, appropriate coapation has been achieved. At our institution, all procedures are performed on an outpatient basis and all patients receive preoperative antibiotic prophylaxis, which is continued until resolution of VUR has been confirmed. Success is defined as grade 0 VUR on a postoperative voiding cystourethrogram (VCUG), 1 to 3 months after a single treatment.

RESULTS

Dynamic Hydrodistention Classification:

All patients with documented VUR demonstrated HD. HD grade correlated significantly with VUR grade. Seventy-seven percent of patients with VUR grade V demonstrated H3, and 23% H2, respectively. Contralateral ureters without evidence of VUR hydrodistended in 90%, however, H3 ureters were only identified in 13%. Patients with a history of recurrent UTIs and at least one negative VCUG, and no history of VUR, who underwent PIC (Positioning the Instillation of Contrast) cystography demonstrated HD grades similar to non-refluxing contralateral ureters. These patients are felt to have occult VUR and non-diagnostic preoperative micturition cystograms. However, ureters in patients with neither evidence of VUR nor UTI rarely hydrodistended (69% H0, 31% H1).

In our experience new contralateral VUR (NCVUR) occurs in 13.5% of cases (9). Girls younger than 5 years appeared to be at highest risk (21%) compared to all other patients (6.3%). Besides gender and age, HD grade was predictive of NCVUR occurrence; all ureters demonstrated H2 or H3. Because of the low morbidity of endoscopic injection, we have initiated a prospective study to determine the success in preventing contralateral VUR by prophylactically treating hydrodistending contralateral ureters (H2 or H3). In the past 30 patients treated as such there has been no new contralateral VUR. The clinical utility of this approach, however, deserves further study.

Double HIT Method:

The modified STING procedure (HIT) was developed at our institution and introduced in 2002. The cure rate of 52 patients (80 ureters) treated with the standard STING procedure was compared to 70 patients (119 ureters) treated with the modified STING procedure. Overall success was 71% in the STING and 89% in the modified STING group. While decreasing success was seen with increasing VUR grade, gender and age were predictive of NCVUR occurrence; all ureters demonstrated H2 or H3.
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![FIGURE 1. The dynamic hydrodistention classification represents distal ureteral dilation.](image1)

![FIGURE 2. Needle placement algorithm for the endoscopic treatment of VUR. Sites 1 and 2 comprise the Double HIT method, while sites 3 and 4 are rarely used.](image2)
grade in the STING group this did not occur in the modified STING group (Figure 3) (5).

The Double HIT method has been used at other centers with success rates of over 90% after one or two injections (6,10). Despite the high cure rate after a single injection, approximately 10% of patients will present with persistent VUR. Fortunately, 40% of failures are downgraded to grade 1 and are observed, leaving only very few patients considered for further treatment. Treatment options for those with higher grades of VUR include continued antibiotic prophylaxis, repeat endoscopic treatment, or ureteral reimplantation. In our last 200 patients treated endoscopically, 90% of patients were cured (grade 0 after 1 treatment), 93% ureters cured, 97% patients improved, 94% off antibiotics, only 3% retreated, and only 1 patient went on to open surgery.

We studied the outcome of a second injection in 39 patients (53 ureters) who had failed prior treatment (11). The most common cystoscopic finding was bleb migration (distal or medial) and only in 5 cases the bleb could not be identified. All patients who had failed treatment demonstrated some degree of HD. The second injection was performed in identical fashion and was curative in 90%.

The Double HIT method has not only been used for primary, but also complex cases of VUR, such as failed open reimplantation, duplicated ureters, ureteroceles after puncture, retained ureteral stumps, neurogenic bladder, and ectopic ureters (12). Overall success was 68% after a single injection, however, varied greatly among groups. While only 1 of 7 patients with ectopic ureteral insertion at the bladder neck was cured, 7 of 9 patients with neurogenic bladder were successfully treated.

Paraureteral diverticula (PUD) associated with VUR have traditionally been treated with open reimplantation (13). A recent study demonstrated that the presence of PUDs did not affect the resolution rate of VUR, suggesting observation as an alternative for reimplantation (14). Our results indicate that endoscopic treatment of VUR associated with PUD is curative in 81% of patients. Large diverticular size and high injected volume were associated with treatment failure (15). However, when the PUD index (PUD diameter/Ureteral diameter) was less than 2.6, the success rate was 100%.

Complications:
The most common complications following endoscopic treatment of VUR are NCVUR (13.5%) and treatment failure (10%). Less than 4% of children complained of moderate flank pain or emesis several hours after the procedure and all responded to analgesics (5). Gross hematuria, urinary retention, or febrile UTIs have not been observed. The most significant potential complication of endoscopic treatment for VUR includes a 0.6% risk of ureteral obstruction (16). Our obstruction rate is 4 ureters (2 patients) in over 1200 ureteral injections, or <0.3%. Factors that may increase the risk of obstruction include bladder dysfunction and markedly dilated ureters.

DISCUSSION
While the treatment algorithm for VUR remains controversial, surgical treatment has been proven effective in reducing VUR-related morbidity. Results of the American and European arm of the International Reflux Study in Children demonstrated a 50% decrease in the incidence of pyelonephritis, however, no effect on the occurrence of cystitis and new renal scars (17,18).

Of all available surgical treatment options for VUR, endoscopic injection has become increasingly popular among pediatric urologists as well as parents. The procedure generally lasts less than 15 minutes and is performed on an outpatient basis. While cure rates are approaching those of open ureteral reimplantation, significant complications are rare (6,10,16). Endoscopic treatment offers major advantages such as greater patient convenience, lower
morbidity (e.g., pain, abdominal scar), and reduced cost (19,20). Studies showed that the management decision was ultimately influenced by a parental preference for endoscopic treatment (21,22). A more recent study demonstrated that both, patients and parents regarded endoscopic injection as the least bothersome aspect of VUR treatment followed by antibiotic prophylaxis and VCUG (23).

At present, few pediatric urologists rely on location or configuration of the UO to predict VUR resolution, and only occasionally is this information useful in determining the need for contralateral treatment during unilateral correction of VUR. Because NCVUR after ureteral reimplantation (10-20%) typically resolves without manifestation of symptoms, concomitant reimplantation of the non-refluxing ureter is usually not recommended. However, preoperative resolution of contralateral VUR is an indication for bilateral ureteral reimplantation because of a 45% recurrence rate (24). Recently, PIC cystography was shown to identify VUR in patients with history of recurrent UTIs, but negative VCUG (25,26). We have found that all ureters that reflux on PIC cystography also hydrodistend. Consequently, HD may serve three purposes: To aid in the needle placement, to confirm adequate injection, and to estimate the probability of NCVUR. Parents of children who developed NCVUR are understandably anxious and many will select endoscopic retreatment for the same reasons they initially chose minimally invasive treatment. The benefit of treating the contralateral ureter prophylactically is to avoid postoperative morbidity while utilizing the same surgical setting. This approach seems to be reasonable in select patients (e.g., girls younger than 5 years), however, may increase overall cost.

The HIT method is easy to learn and achieves cure rates of over 90% thus approaching the success of open reimplantation (6,10). Treatment success is similar for all grades of VUR and may be explained by the Double HIT method per se (5,6). Tandem mid-intramural and distal intramural injection sites coapt a longer segment of distal ureter. This anchors the bleb more efficiently and may prevent bleb migration. HD performed intermittently during injection assesses the ureterovesical junction for competency. Once a sufficient volume of bulking agent is implanted at the appropriate site, the ureter will cease to hydrodistend (and reflux). An intraoperative cure rate of 100% should be achieved in all patients.

**Potential Future Applications:**

As the HIT method continues to be applied to complex cases of VUR and more outcome data become available, the indication for endoscopic treatment may exceed the scope of primary VUR. In the USA, for example, duplex ureters are no longer considered a contraindication for endoscopic treatment with Deflux® by the Food and Drug Administration. Treatment outcome analysis of primary and complex cases of VUR will establish predictors of treatment success and failure. Such data will aid in preoperative counseling and patient selection and paired with proper technique ultimately improve success rates of endoscopic treatment. As an example, treatment of selected patients with paraureteral diverticulum proved to be successful and ureters with VUR Grade V, transplanted ureters with VUR (27), and VUR after puncture of ureteroceles may respond to the Double HIT method as well. Endoscopic treatment of VUR in adults with recurrent pyelonephritis is beginning to emerge as more adult patients are being appropriately evaluated for VUR. Finally, once more accurate predictors of VUR resolution or persistence become available, endoscopic treatment may be more frequently used as the primary treatment.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The dynamic hydrodistention classification reflects the competency of the ureterovesical junction and assists the pediatric urologist to decide which ureteral orifice and how much of bulking agent to inject. The Hydrodistention Implantation Technique achieves superior cure rates and is likely to become the method of choice for the treatment of primary and complex cases of vesicoureteral reflux.

**ABBREVIATIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VUR</td>
<td>Vesicoureteral reflux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTI</td>
<td>Urinary tract infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STING</td>
<td>Subureteric teflon injection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIT</td>
<td>Hydrodistention implantation technique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD</td>
<td>Hydrodistention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UO</td>
<td>Ureteral orifice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCUG</td>
<td>Voiding cystourethrography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIC</td>
<td>Positioning the instillation of contrast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCVUR</td>
<td>New contralateral vesicoureteral reflux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUD</td>
<td>Paraureteral diverticulum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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