OUR EXPERIENCE IN TREATMENT OF RENAL TUMOURS WITH VENOUS INVOLVEMENT
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Summary.- OBJECTIVES: Renal carcinoma accounts for 3% of malignant urological tumors. The existence of tumor thrombus in the venous system is more infrequent, and, despite it was believed until recently its presence worsened the diagnosis of the disease, currently it is accepted that in the absence of metastatic or lymph node disease, surgery is the treatment of choice and potentially curative for these tumors.

METHODS: Between June 2003 and November 2007 eight patients with renal disease and venous thrombus underwent surgery; two of them were T3c and six T3b; in five of them surgery was carried out in association with the heart surgery team in our centre. Three of them underwent surgery with extracorporeal circulation. Mean patient age was 56 years.

RESULTS: Tumor thrombus was grade I in one patient, grade II in 4 patients, grade III in one patient, and grade IV in two patients. In all patients with tumor grade ≥ III, as well as two with grade II, surgery was performed in conjunction with the department of heart surgery. The operation with extracorporeal circulation, deep hypothermia, cardioplegia, and antegrade and retrograde brain perfusion was performed in grades III and IV. Midline incision was performed, with or without sternotomy, depending on the level of the thrombus. Hemorrhage was the most frequent perioperative complication.

DISCUSSION: It is essential to know the exact level of the cephalic extreme of the tumor thrombus to design the proper surgical strategy; for that, we can use MRI, CT scan or ultrasound. Therefore, surgical approach, multidisciplinary cooperation and use of extracorporeal circulation will depend on such extension of the thrombus and concurrent factors of the patient. A good surgical strategy, as well as early surgery may avoid the use of venous filters preoperatively.

CONCLUSIONS: Venous wall invasion seems to be related with a greater incidence of lymph node disease, but these patients are candidates to intention-to-cure radical surgery. Thrombus level is not a prognostic factor per se, but it should be taken into consideration for surgical planning. After radical surgery survival rates achieved are similar to those of tumors without venous thrombus.
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Resumen.- OBJETIVO: El carcinoma renal supone un 3% de los tumores malignos urológicos. Más infrecuente es la existencia de trombo tumoral dentro del sistema venoso y, si bien hasta hace poco se pensaba que su existencia ensombrecía el pronóstico de esta enfermedad, actualmente se acepta que en ausencia de enfermedad metastásica o ganglionar, la cirugía es el tratamiento de elección y potencialmente curativo para estos tumores.

MÉTODOS: Entre junio de 2003 y noviembre de 2007 hemos intervenido un total de 8 pacientes con enfermedad renal y trombo venoso, de los cuales 2 eran T3c y seis T3b, cinco de ellos fueron intervenidos junto con el servicio de cirugía cardiaca de nuestro centro. Tres de ellos fueron intervenidos con circulación extracorpórea (CEC). La media de edad de los pacientes fue de 56 años.

RESULTADOS: El trombo tumoral era grado I en un paciente, grado II en 4 pacientes, grado III en 1 paciente y grado IV en dos pacientes. Todos los pacientes con grado tumoral igual o mayor de III, así como dos grado II, fueron intervenidos conjuntamente con el servicio de cirugía cardiaca, realizando en los grado III y IV la intervención con circulación extracorpórea, hipotermia profunda con parada cardiorrespiratoria y perfusión cerebral anterógrada y retrógrada. Se realizó incisión media con o sin estereotomía media dependiendo del nivel del trombo. La complicación más frecuente acaecida peroperatoriamente fue la hemorragia.

DISCUSIÓN: Es esencial conocer el nivel exacto de la extensión cefálica del trombo tumoral para diseñar una adecuada estrategia quirúrgica, para lo que nos podemos valer de la resonancia magnética (RM), de la tomografía computarizada (TC) y de la ecocardiografía. Así el abordaje quirúrgico, la colaboración multidisciplinar y el empleo de CEC dependerá de dicha extensión y de los factores concomitantes presentes en el enfermo. Una buena estrategia quirúrgica, así como una cirugía temprana pueden evitar el uso de filtros venosos de forma peroperatoriamente.

CONCLUSIONES: La invasión de la pared venosa parece estar relacionada con una mayor incidencia de enfermedad ganglionar, pero estos pacientes son candidatos a la cirugía radical con intención curativa. El nivel del trombo, si bien puede dificultar la cirugía, no es un factor pronóstico per se, y si debe ser tenido en cuenta para la planificación quirúrgica. Tras la cirugía radical se alcanzan cifras de supervivencia superponibles a los tumores sin trombo venoso tumoral.
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Hospital with vena cava thrombus excision in the treatment of T3b and T3c patients. Mean age was 56 years (range 25-80) half of them were male. We used ECC with circulatory arrest, deep hypothermia antegrade and retrograde perfusion to assist thrombectomy in 3 patients. Preoperatively, all patients underwent detailed anamnesis, physical exam, computed tomography of the pelvis, abdomen and thorax and vascular and urographic CT, four patients underwent MR and all of them completed an ultrasonographic heart study.

We collect and analyze intraoperative and postoperative complications. Patients underwent CT controls for their surveillance at 3, 6, 9 and 12 months, every 6 months from then on and one each year later on.

RESULTS

Five of the patients presented hematuria at the time or before the time of diagnosis, three of them referred flank pain too. Of the other three, one was an incidental diagnosis after the labor and absolutely incidental in other two. All of them presented a good Karnofsky preoperatively (all above 80). Five patients were smokers at the time of diagnosis or have left the habit on the last five years, two of them took antihypertensive treatment. A Renal mass was palpable in two patients.

In order to use a standard for classification of venous involvement we used CT with vascular and urographic study, accomplishing the diagnosis with ecocardiography that confirmed the auricular involvement in two of our patients. MR was done in our four first cases.

Five cases were right and three were left kidneys. The length of the tumours ranged from 4 to 17 cm (media 8,5). Tumour thrombus following Montie et al classification were degree I in one patient, degree II in 4 patients, degree III in one patient and degree IV in two patients.

All patients with degree III or more and two in degree II underwent surgery with the help of cardiovascular surgeons, undergoing ECC in degree III and IV, in these cases we made a thoraco-abdominal incision with medium sternotomy, mobilizing liver and colon to achieve a better control of the affected kidney pedicle.

To the three patients operated in our service we made a subxifoid incision, upper or infraumbilical if necessary in order to mobilise later colon to expose the vena cava and aorta at both sides and a wide upper caval dissection avoiding to damage it in order to create a good scenery previously to clamp it.

We removed the ostium of the affected kidney in all cases.

In both techniques, the first step is the dissection of the affected pedicle dissect later the vena cava and aorta. Then we control the pedicle separately with a vessel loop and so do we with the aorta and the cava. The first step is to remove the kidney in order to proceed later to the excision of the venous thrombus opening the cava vein infradiaphragmatic or infra and supra diaphragmatic with auricular access too in degrees II and IV. The last step we made was to remove the ostium of the renal vein.

The case on degree III underwent extraction of a filter on cava vein, so we made a safe access to it opening right atrium and avoiding embolism. One of the cases on degree IV presented an embolism of a lung artery, so it was necessary to open right pulmonary artery to extract it.

Mean operative time was 210 minutes (range 150-300). Mean blood loss was 470 cc (range 200-2000 cc). We used a system to recovery blood from the operative field. A media of 2,3 transfusions were indicated intra and postoperatively (range 0-6). We didn’t notice any other major complication during surgery, all patients were discharged the eight day postoperative. None of our patients presented neurological alterations postoperatively.

In two of the eight tumours resected, we found venous wall invasion that did not achieve to
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the ostium. In four cases tumoral thrombus component was identified with extension far away the renal vein, being in all the rest of cases hematic the component of the thrombus.

Just 1 patients had Furhmen degree 1, 3 degree 2 and 4 degree 3. Resection limits were negative for tumour in all cases.

Currently the follow-up range is from 1 to 48 months, two of the patients have died, media of disease free survival was 38 months, one of our patients presented recurrent disease on the month 38 of follow up and another one on the seventh month.

**DISCUSSION**

Until the decade of 90, the most commonly system used to classify renal tumours was the modification of Flocks and Kadesky made by Robson (2). This system presents a problem for tumours on stage III, and includes in the same stage neoplasms with nodal and vascular involvement, and as now we know the first ones present worst prognostic and the second ones are due to be treated with salvage surgery successfully. Separation between both kinds of affection reports similar survival rates for stages II and III.

TNM introduced an advance in classification as it distinguishes between nodal and venous involvement, so it is 1997 TNM classification the one we use nowadays. This classification separates the tumours according to the thrombus level on T3b renal vein and cava vein subdiaphragmatic involvement and T3c supradiaphragmatic involvement. We have used Montie et al classification because we consider it is more useful at the time of planning surgery, and it is helpful to make the level of the thrombus more comprehensive.

The presence of non reducible varicocele, low extremity edema, dilatation of abdominal superficial veins, or mass on right atrium in the context of renal kidney tumours is indicative of venous involvement. In our patients, the most common sign was hematuria, being the renal mass less common.

Sensitivity rates for CT to detect venous involvement ranges for 78% on renal vein to 98% on cava vein. Indirect signs of venous involvement are, increase on venous diameter, decrease of density and filling defects and collateral circulation (1,2). MR is
a safe diagnostic technique, avoids renal toxicity of iodated contrasts and is considered by many authors \( (6,7) \) to be the elective one to explore the venous invasion in inferior cava vein, and it is able to distinguish between haematic and tumoral thrombus. So we consider it elective on allergic patients to iodate contrast media and those with renal impairment, echo gradient sequences represent the most effective way to determine the thrombus extension and detection allowing reconstruct venograms. Paramagnetic contrast is a useful weapon to difference simple thrombus of tumoral ones \( (8) \).

In our experience, CT offers a better image quality, higher sensitivity to detect venous thrombus and the capability to obtain vascular and urographic images in only one time if necessary, and this is quite useful to plan surgery. It is a cheaper and more accessible exploration. In all our patients, the thrombus level described on CT did not differed from the one seen intraoperatively, but in two of our four first cases the level described on MR did. Just because of this we stopped performing MR routinely in our patients. The mayor problem for radiological exams is to determine accurately the invasion of the venous wall of the tumour, and this is an ominous prognostic factor for this patients and most of the times we have to wait to pathological findings to ensure there is no wall invasion. Venocavography has been used to complete the study of these patients when MR or CT are not enough to achieve an accurate diagnostic, but nowadays its use is out of order. Transesophagic echography is an invasive procedure with a high efficacy and in many times do not improve CT or MR accuracy. Coronary angiography may be necessary in order to plan ECC depending on the cardiovascular risk and patient’s comorbidity.

Venous involvement in renal cell carcinomas has usually been considered as a poor prognostic factor, but in recently it has been demonstrated that 5 years survival rate in these patients with no metastatic disease rises to 70% \( (2,9) \). Prognostic value of upper extension of the thrombus is still under disclosure, but in our experience, to divide it on upper or lower diaphragmatic extension, distinguishing the atrium involvement and the relation with the liver has helped us to decide the optimal surgical technique and the approach to the tumour. We think that Montie et al classification is the most adequate to plan surgical treatment, but it has a problem to distinguish between upper or lower thrombus respect to the liver and atrial involvement, and in our opinion it has a great importance in order to plan liver mobilisation and to perform ECC.

We do not agree with other authors \( (10,11) \) of the convenience to put a cava filter preoperatively, we prefer to start antithromboembolic therapy early and avoid to deley surgery as much as possible. An optimal and fast preoperative planning avoids using filter in most cases.
The aim of every oncological surgery must be the complete resection of the tumour and thrombus, but this surgery often requires a multidisciplinary approach including urological, cardiovascular surgeons as well as anaesthesiologist and oncologists. The first item to determine whether to choose one access to the tumour will depend on the level of the thrombus, traditionally for a thrombus above the diaphragm an toracoabdominal laparotomy was needed, but nowadays some advantages have been described with a Chevron incision extended on inverted T with or without Langebuch manoeuvre in order to mobilise the liver, we still think that the first incision offers a good exposition of the retroperitoneal structures and allows an easier access to retrohepathic cava vein (12). In case of only infradiaphragmatic thrombus multiple accesses have been described as hemichevron, chevron plus xifoid extension or subcostal incision (9,13,14). So, based on the anterior comments we use laparotomy as the incision of choice and it allows us to extend the incision upper to de sternum. Also we try not to move, as much as possible, the cava vein in order to not spread the thrombus at least before to clamp the cava (15).

The use of ECC seems to be unnecessary for level I and II tumours, it its controversial its use on level II tumours, but we used it because of the risk to extract the filter, in order to extract it on safely we designed a double access to cava vein plus an incision on atrium. It is less controversial to use ECC in thrombus above de diaphragm, mainly whe it gets to right atrium. ECC allows to make an extended dissection avoiding massive bleeding and to achieve a better surgical field because of the better surgical field (5,16). On the other hand, as disadvantages we find the higher risk of solid organs ischemia, coagulopathy and neurological events. Some author prefers not to do it if the thrombus level does not get to the atrium or to do moderate hypothermia on suprahepatic thrombus (15).

Less frequent is the invasion of the venous wall either renal or cava vein. In these cases and those chronically obstructed, it is indicated to resect affected vascular wall (17)with or without interposition of synthetic polyethylene patch. In our series we systematically extract the ostium of the renal vein independently of the suspicion on its affection we think it does not rise surgical risk and may determinant on prognostic.

It is more controversial to make lymphatic node dissection, because, although it does not offer any advantage on metastasic disease, nor increases morbidity, its usefulness is not yet demonstrated in cases with located or locally advanced tumour in terms of oncological results (17).

Intraoperative mortality varies between 6 and 10% depending on series (10,18). The first cause of death in these patients is massive lung thromboembolism and strokes. In our series, we did not find remarkable morbidity, although it is too short to extract consistent conclusions.

Metastasic or nodal disease results in a decrease of free disease survival, but venous and fatty tissue involvement implication on survival seems to be more controversial (19). Ficarra et al (3) describe a risk stratification on three groups low risk, with those on lower diaphragmatical level of thrombus or fatty tissue infiltration, an intermediate risk group with lower diaphragmatic and fatty tissue infiltration or suprarenal involvement and a high risk group including supradiaphragmatic thrombus, gerota’s involvement and infradiaphragmatic and suprarenal affectation. Survival is of 67 to 117, 24 and 12 months respectively (2). Survival rates at five years in patients with no metastatic disease and venous involvement veries from 30 to 72% (18) in patients undergoing salvage surgical therapy.

CONCLUSIONS

Venous wall infiltration increases the risk of nodal affection but venous thrombus is not so related with nodal involvement or metastatic disease, becaus of this, these patients are candidates to salvage surgical therapy.

In our experience, the level of thrombus has changed the surgical approach, but it has nor been a prognostic factor itself, so it has to be taken in account to plan surgery. We think as other authors, that employing ECC is a very useful technique when Thrombus level is over suprahepatic veins, and it does not increase morbidity and allows an easier access to cava vein, so we think it is recommendable when a prepared surgical team is familiar with this technique, so a multidisciplinary approach is mandatory on these tumours.
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