



ADMINISTRACIÓN – GESTIÓN – CALIDAD

The primary health care from the users perspective

La atención primaria de salud desde la perspectiva de los usuarios

***Giraldo Osorio, Alexandra **Vélez Álvarez, Consuelo**

*Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Research group, Program for Young Researchers and Innovators. E-mail: alegiros@hotmail.com **Public Health Department, Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Research group. University of Caldas. Colombia.

(This article is the result of the Research Project “ The current status of the strategy of Primary Health Care in Manizales” developed under the grant for Young Researchers and Innovators of Colciencias (Convening 525), Colombia. April 2012 - April 2013.)

Keywords: Primary health care; patient satisfaction; quality of health care; delivery of health care; health services; patient care.

Palabras clave: Atención primaria de salud; satisfacción del paciente; calidad de la atención de salud; prestación de atención de salud; servicios de salud; atención al paciente..

.ABSTRACT

Objective: To identify the characteristics of the development of the Primary Health Care strategy according to the users and factors that relate to the use of services.

Materials and methods: Descriptive and mixed study. This study included 393 primary health care users. The sample selection was made according to established criteria. It used the focus group and the survey. The information was categorized and processed in SPSS 15.0.

Results: The average age was 37.62 years. The main reasons for seeking care are recovering and disease prevent. The age was associated significantly with recover as one of the reasons for seeking attention $p < 0.05$. The highest level of studies showed statistically significant association with recovery as a reason for requesting attention $p < 0.05$ and the health status perception was associated with satisfaction with care last was offered or requested $p < 0.05$.

Conclusions: The activities were directed to priority groups. The users benefited from the services through family visit, health day, mobile unit and community meetings. The factors related to services use were age, gender, geographic accessibility, satisfaction with the last care received, health status perception and recovery as one of the reasons for seeking health care.

RESUMEN

Objetivos: Identificar las características del desarrollo de la estrategia de Atención Primaria de Salud desde la perspectiva de los usuarios y los factores que se relacionan con la utilización de los servicios.

Materiales y métodos: Estudio descriptivo, mixto. Muestra 393 usuarios beneficiarios de la estrategia. La selección de la muestra se realizó según criterios establecidos. Se utilizaron el grupo focal y la encuesta. La información fue categorizada y procesada en el SPSS 15.0.

Resultados: La edad promedio fue 37.62 años. Las principales razones para solicitar una atención fueron recuperarse y prevenir la enfermedad. La edad se asoció significativamente con recuperarse como una razón para solicitar una atención $p < 0.05$, el máximo nivel de estudios presentó asociación estadísticamente significativa con recuperarse como una razón para solicitar una atención $p < 0.05$ y la percepción del estado de salud se asoció con la satisfacción con la última atención que se le ofreció o solicitó $p < 0.05$.

Conclusiones: Las actividades estaban dirigidas a grupos prioritarios. Los medios por los cuales los usuarios se beneficiaron del servicio fueron visita familiar, jornada de salud, unidad móvil y reuniones comunitarias. Los factores que se relacionaron con la utilización de los servicios fueron edad, género, accesibilidad geográfica, satisfacción con la última atención recibida, percepción del estado de salud y recuperarse como una razón para solicitar una atención.

INTRODUCTION

The primary health care (PHC) (APS for its initials in Spanish) is a strategy that has proven cost – effective results about the condition and quality of life of the population. The evaluation of health services is a type of research that provides scientifically valid information to those who must make substantiated decisions and have valid knowledge of the health policy planning ⁽¹⁾; which constitutes a key element for the continuous improvement of the quality of care ⁽²⁾.

Some authors have emphasized considering the users profiles in order to guide the institutional change efforts ⁽³⁾ and the need for assessments that integrate aspects of the diversity of functions performed within the framework of the strategy of primary health care. Studies agree that through the evaluation aimed at users, they give more importance to issues such as the availability of time and services, accessibility, continuity of care, information they receive about their health problems, and the doctor - patient relationship, while putting less emphasis on the administrative aspects ^(3,4).

The evaluation of the primary health care strategy from a user's perspective is essential in all areas of the health system ⁽⁵⁾. Several studies have evaluated the satisfaction of users of health as a measure of quality of care, satisfaction is seen as a positive evaluation of the patient on the care received. This encourages the adherence of these service providers and directs improvements in health organizations ^(2,3,6,7).

Service evaluation is an exercise that promotes reflection and oriented analyses that leads to improvements in health care for the population; this purpose is useful and relevant to assessments at local, national and international level ⁽⁸⁻¹⁰⁾.

The objective of this work is to identify the characteristics of the development of the primary health care strategy, assessed from the perspective of users and factors that relate to the use of services by them.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

A mixed approach that values the characteristics of primary health care from the users perspective. Group discussion and surveys were used as techniques, and as tools, discussion guides and questionnaires and semi-structured questions were used. The study population consisted of 72.804 people beneficiaries of the strategy ⁽¹¹⁾. The sample size was calculated with a reliability level of 95%, a margin of error of 5% and a value of $p=0,5$ (proportion of people who are beneficiaries of The primary health strategy). The final sample was 393 people. 5 focus groups composed of minimum five and maximum seven people were performed, with participation of both genders, attendees of the health center groups, different from the people surveyed.

Inclusion criteria for the selection of the sample were residents of the geographic area for the development of the strategy, who have been the beneficiary of health care and must be 18 or older. For data collection a pilot test was conducted in health centers corresponding to the geographic areas prioritized for development of the strategy and then all of the information was collected; in a manner directed upon request of informed consent. For the analysis the qualitative information is categorized and retaking the characteristics of primary health care. The quantitative data were processed and analyzed in the program SPSS 15.0. A descriptive analysis was performed (frequency distribution tables, measures of central tendency and dispersion), and bivariate analysis (χ^2 test and contingency coefficient, according to the nature of the variable).

Based on Resolution 08430 of 1993 and the Declaration of Helsinki in 2000, research conducted is part of the projects without risk. It had the consent of participants ^(12,13).

Outcomes

393 users who had been treated in the framework of the the primary health care strategy were included in different health centers; 389 female and 4 male. The minimum age was 18 years with a maximum of 93 years, the average was 37.62 years + / -15.7 years. 51.4% had their residence in the socioeconomic status 1. 52.2% belonged to a type of large families (Table I).

Table I
Distribution of sociodemographic variables. The primary health care strategy users

Gender		
Female	389	99.0
Male	4	1.0
Total	393	100.0
Age		
15 to 19 years	55	14.0
20 to 24 years	52	13.2
25 to 29 years	53	13.5
30 to 34 years	36	9.2
35 to 39 years	35	8.9
40 to 44 years	37	9.4
45 to 49 years	28	7.1
50 to 54 years	33	8.4
55 to 59 years	17	4.3
60 and over	47	12.0
Total	393	100.0

Municipality of residence		
Ciudadela del Norte	134	34.1
La Fuente	70	17.8
San José	67	17.0
Universitaria	65	16.5
La Macarena	57	14.5
Total	393	100.0
Socioeconomic status of residence		
0	5	1.3
1	202	51.4
2	156	39.7
3	30	7.6
Total	393	100.0
Marital status		
Single	145	36.9
Married	77	19.6
Living together	127	32.3
Separated / Divorced	27	6.9
Widow	17	4.3
Total	393	100.0
Type of family		
Nuclear	155	39.4
Extended	205	52.2
Single parent	28	7.1
Step / blended family	5	1.3
Total	393	100.0
Type of affiliation		
Subsidized	370	94.1
Contributory	13	3.3
Poor unaffiliated	10	2.5
Total	393	100.0
Highest educational level		
Uneducated	12	3.1
Complete primary education	69	17.6
Incomplete primary education	63	16.0
Completion of secondary school	86	21.9
Incomplete high school	133	33.8
Complete technical / technological studies	16	4.1
Incomplete technical / technological studies	5	1.3
Completed university studies	5	1.3
Incomplete university education	4	1.0
Total	393	100.0
Current occupation		
Student	23	5.9
Employee	55	14.0
Independent	35	8.9
housekeeping activities	280	71.2
Total	393	100.0

Source: own research

It was found that the activities were carried out mainly in priority groups such as children under 10 years of age, adolescents, pregnant women and adults over 65. It is emphasized that the services that have been offered at higher rates are: education for adolescents (n=265) and adults (n=251), vaccination for women of childbearing age (n=247), youth advice services / care to adolescents (n=213), education for children under 10 years (n=202), oral health care and oral hygiene education in adolescents (n=173), growth and development program (n=146), vaccination for children under 6 years (n=144), oral health care and oral hygiene education in adults (n=143) and counseling and family planning consultations (n=137). Services that have requested a higher proportion of care have been related to the most common diseases in children

(n=201), smear tests, breast exam and adult testicular tests (n=154). In contrast to this, the services have been offered or that users have requested to a lesser degree are mental health care in children under 10 years and adults caring for people with disabilities and children under 10 years, adolescents and adults; identifying cases of abuse in children under 10 years, in adolescents and adults, and care epidemics in children under 10 years, in adolescents and adults. The means by which users have benefited from health care, has been family visits (100%), health day (34.1%) mobile unit (27.5%) and community meetings (1.4%). 92.4% reported that the time in which the family visits were performed, was appropriate for their personal and family needs. In the focus groups it was found that the care team frequently performed monitoring of the health status of users through family visits and phone calls, whereupon participants stated that "usually they get a call to find out if they are taking the drug or they are reminded if and when there is a pending appointment ... also when the test results come out wrong, they get a call where they get an explanation of what to do next." The reasons why users request health care attention are mainly for the purpose of recovering from any illness and to prevent disease (47.8% and 41.2% respectively).

In Table II it should be noted that between age and recovery time one of the reasons for seeking health care a statistically significant association exists ($\chi^2=32,6/ p=0,00/$ Contingency coefficient =0,27). The same way at applying statistical tests, association and dependency between the maximum level of recovery studies were found to be one of the reasons for seeking health care ($\chi^2=19,25/ p=0,001/$ Contingency coefficient =0,21) (Tabla III). No association and dependence between the type of family and the reasons for seeking health care was found.

Table II
Age - Reasons for requesting health care assistant. Users of The primary health care strategy

Age x Reasons for requesting health care assistant									
AGE	One of the reasons for seeking health care is to improve the health						X2	P Value	Coefficient
	Yes	%	No	%	Total	%			
18 – 29	53	33.1	107	66.9	160	100.0	2.199	0.53	0.075
30 – 44	44	40.7	64	59.3	108	100.0			
45 – 64	39	39.4	60	60.6	99	100.0			
65 +	11	42.3	15	57.7	26	100.0			
AGE	One of the reasons for seeking health care is to prevent disease						X2	P Value	Coefficient
	Yes	%	No	%	Total	%			
18 – 29	73	45.6	87	54.4	160	100.0	6.323	0.097	0.126
30 – 44	34	31.5	74	68.5	108	100.0			
45 – 64	45	45.5	54	54.5	99	100.0			
65 +	10	38.5	16	61.5	26	100.0			
AGE	One of the reasons for seeking health care is to strengthen rehabilitation processes						X2	P Value	Coefficient
	Yes	%	No	%	Total	%			
18 – 29	11	6.9	149	93.1	160	100.0	2.421	0.49	0.78
30 – 44	8	7.4	100	92.6	108	100.0			
45 – 64	12	12.1	87	87.9	99	100.0			
65 +	2	7.7	24	92.3	26	100.0			
AGE	One of the reasons for seeking health care is to recover						X2	P Value	Coefficient
	Yes	%	No	%	Total	%			
18 – 29	53	33.1	107	66.9	160	100.0	32.601	0.00	0.277
30 – 44	52	48.1	56	51.9	108	100.0			
45 – 64	63	63.6	36	36.4	99	100.0			
65 +	20	76.9	6	23.1	26	100.0			

Source: own research

Table III
Maximum level of education - Reasons for requesting a health care. Users of The Primary Health Care strategy

Maximum level of education - Reasons for requesting a health care is to improve health									
Maximum level of education	One of the reasons for requesting a health care is to improve your health						X ²	P Value	Coefficient
	Si	%	No	%	Total	%			
No education	2	16.7	10	83.3	12	100.0	5.73	0.220	0.120
Primary Education	49	37.1	83	62.9	132	100.0			
High School Education	88	40.2	131	59.8	219	100.0			
Technical / technological studies	7	33.3	14	66.7	21	100.0			
university education	1	11.1	8	88.9	9	100.0			
Highest educational level	One of the reasons for seeking health care is to prevent disease						X ²	P Value	Coefficient
	Yes	%	No	%	Total	%			
No education	5	41.7	7	58.3	12	100.0	2.61	0.625	0.081
Primary Education	52	39.4	80	60.6	132	100.0			
High School Education	90	41.1	129	58.9	219	100.0			
Technical / technological studies	9	42.9	12	57.1	21	100.0			
university education	6	66.7	3	33.3	9	100.0			
Highest educational level	One of the reasons for seeking health care is to strengthen rehabilitation processes						X ²	P Value	Coefficient
	Yes	%	No	%	Total	%			
No education	0	0	12	100.0	12	100.0	1.49	0.828	0.061
Primary Education	10	7.6	122	92.4	132	100.0			
High School Education	20	9.1	199	90.9	219	100.0			
Technical / technological studies	2	9.5	19	90.5	21	100.0			
university education	1	11.1	8	88.9	9	100.0			
Highest educational level	One of the reasons for seeking health care is to recovered						X ²	P Value	Coefficient
	Yes	%	No	%	Total	%			
No education	8	66.7	4	33.3	12	100.0	19.25	0.001	0.216
Primary Education	81	61.4	51	38.6	132	100.0			
High School Education	90	41.1	129	58.9	219	100.0			
Technical / technological studies	6	28.6	15	71.4	21	100.0			
university education	3	33.3	6	66.7	9	100.0			

Source: own research

87.5% of users reported that their perceived health status was between good and regular. Association and moderate dependence was found between the perception of current health status and satisfaction with the last care offered or requested ($\chi^2=30,71/ p=0,015/$ Contingency coefficient =0,269) (Table IV). Users rated as a high or very high (83.7% satisfaction level with the latest health care offered or requested).

Table IV
Perception of current health status - Satisfaction with the last care that was offered or requested. Users of The Primary Health Care strategy

Perception of current health status - Satisfaction with the last care that was offered or requested									
Perception of current health status	Satisfacción con la última atención que se le ofreció o que solicitó					Total	X ²	P Value	Coefficient
	Very high	High	Medium	Low	Very low				
Very Good	14 50.0%	10 35.7%	0 0%	1 3.6%	3 10.7%	28 100.0%	30.71	0.015	0.269
Good	58 25.0%	144 62.1%	19 8.2%	5 2.2%	6 2.6%	232 100.0%			
Regular	27 24.1%	61 54.5%	13 11.6%	6 5.4%	5 4.5%	112 100.0%			

Poor	2 12.5%	9 56.3%	4 25.0%	0 0%	1 6.3%	16 100.0%			
Very Poor	2 40.0%	2 40.0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%			

Source: own research

As for the time that users take to travel from their place of residence to the nearest health center, 44.2% said it is from 0 to 10 minutes, followed by 38.7%. that said it takes them 11 to 20 minutes.

In the focus groups the work done by health promoters was identified as a strength. Weaknesses were the lack of drug delivery in a timely manner and timeliness (in some cases) to the attention of scheduled appointments: "... Sometimes they do not give you the drugs from the health center that it is closest to you...". "... One often arrives 20 minutes before the appointment is scheduled, but the doctors see you 30 minutes later. However, it is very unfair that if you are five minutes late, you will miss the appointment". It was highlighted that the lack of continuity in health care was due to the barriers by the Health Promoting Entities (EPS initials in Spanish) it was stressed: "... No matter if they give us the referral here, if the Health Promoting Entities do not authorize it ..." "... referrals often expire then you have to start all over again by asking for a new general practitioner appointment ...".

DISCUSSION

Faced with the sociodemographic characteristics of users of the primary health care strategy, gender and age influenced the use of services, since on one hand it can act as modulators of care ⁽¹⁴⁾ and on the other hand it may be considered inherent to health factors, particularly when it has not been fully controlled ⁽¹⁵⁾. Bellón Et al ⁽¹⁶⁾ y Mello Et al ⁽¹⁷⁾ found that women use primary health care services more than men, as can be seen in this study. Bellón Et al, found that those who use these services were mostly in the ages 35 to 75 ⁽¹⁶⁾ years, in contrast to what was previously believed that the most users are between 18 and 29 years. Regarding the type of family the users of the strategy belong to, it has been found that the fact of belonging to a single parent family is associated with greater use of health services ⁽¹⁸⁾, different from the results obtained in this research which shows that most often the formation of families is extensive and of the nuclear type (52.2% y 39.4% respectively). Other scientific evidence shows that there are differences in accessibility to health services, especially in the waiting time in the office, depending on the socioeconomic status of individuals⁽²⁾. In regards to geographical accessibility Acosta L, et al, reported that this was considered to be acceptable by the respondents, such as users who participated in this study ⁽²⁾. Research has indicated an important determinant for the use of primary health care services is the educational level of the parents, especially the mother ⁽¹⁹⁾ and have also been reported in terms of occupation, the fact that independent work is related to lower utilization of health services compared with other workers ⁽²⁰⁾, in agreement with the results obtained in this study in which greater use of services is seen by persons engaged in household activities and work dependently (71.2% and 14% respectively). The evidence shows that the use of primary care services is primarily concerned with the health of the individual, whether it is self-perceived ^(17,21,22). This study found that 87.5% of users rated their health status between good and regular. Regarding the importance that users granted the health promoter for its work with the community, it was found in publications which are also assigned a higher level of qualification on other people who are part of the health team, since they are the ones who most often have contact with individuals, families

and communities ⁽²³⁾. Studies have reported that they have promoted a series of actions aimed at facilitating access to services among which it is worth noting the management of care offered by the EPS ⁽²⁴⁾, similar to what the primary care team has conducted in order to provide continuity of health care to the users.

One study reported that 66.7% of patients were satisfied or very satisfied with the care received ⁽²⁵⁾; similar to the level of satisfaction with the health care last reported in this work (83,7%). Given the lack of opportunity for the delivery of drugs, studies done ^(2,26) have noted this as one of the most critical aspect. In relation to the continuity of care - accessibility between levels, there is agreement in the literature that changes in level of care threatening the continuity of care ^(27,28); this was evident in the results obtained from users at higher levels of health care.

CONCLUSIONS

Among the main features of the primary health care strategy the biggest highlights are the services to which users have had access; most are aimed at children under 10 years of age, adolescents, pregnant women, and adults over 65. The means by which users who have had access to health care through the primary health care strategy have mostly been family visits, health days and the mobile unit.

The reasons that users have requested health care are mainly to recover and prevent disease. The users reported that they perceive their health status is between good and regular. The level of satisfaction with the health care service was between high and very high.

Factors relating to the utilization of services were age, gender, geographical accessibility, satisfaction with care received on the last visit, the perception of health status and the wishes to recover, as the reasons for seeking health care.

Acknowledgment

To the Colciencias Programme for Young Researchers and Innovators, To the Group of Health Research – Health Promoting and Disease Prevention at the University of Caldas, to the health facility in which the study was conducted and to the users for their participation in this study.

REFERENCES

1. Villalbí JR, Pasarín M, Montaner I, Cabezas C, Starfield B, y Grupo de Trabajo sobre Evaluación en la Atención Primaria de Salud de Barcelona. Evaluación de la atención primaria de salud. *Aten Primaria* 2003;31:382-385.
2. Acosta L, Burrone MS, Lopez de Neira MJ, Lucchese M, Cometto C, Ciuffolini B, et al. Análisis de la satisfacción del usuario en centros de salud del primer nivel de atención en la provincia de Córdoba, Argentina. *Enfermería Global* 2011; 21:1-14.
3. Cuba-Fuentes M, Jurado A, Estrella E. Evaluación del cumplimiento de los atributos de la Atención Primaria y grado de satisfacción de los usuarios de un establecimiento de primer nivel de atención. *Rev Med Hered* 2011; 22(1):4-9.
4. Kerssens JJ, Groenewegen P, Sixma HJ, Boerma WG, Van der Eijk I. Comparison of patient evaluations of health care quality in relation to WHO measures of achievement in 12 European countries. *Bull World Health Organ* 2004;82:106-14.

5. Briggs C, Capdegelle P, Garner P. Strategies for integrating primary health services in middle and low-income countries: effects on performance, costs and patient outcomes. 1ra ed. The Cochrane Collaboration: Jhon Wiley & Sons, Ltda; 2006.
6. Pasarín Rúa M, Berra S, Rajmil L, Solans M, Borrell C, Starfield B. Un instrumento para la evaluación de la atención primaria de salud desde la perspectiva de la población. *Aten Primaria* 2007;39(8):395-403.
7. Jiménez Villa J. La evaluación necesita la perspectiva de la población. *Aten Primaria* 2007; 39(8):395-403.
8. Feldman L, Vivas E, Lugli Z, Alviarez V, Pérez MG, S B. La satisfacción del paciente hospitalario: una propuesta de evaluación. *Rev Calid Asist* 2007; 22:133-173.
9. Mira JJ, Rodríguez-Marín J, Pesetb R, Ybarra J, Pérez-Jover V, Palazón I, et al. Causas de satisfacción y de insatisfacción de los pacientes en hospitales y atención primaria. *Rev Calid Asist* 2002; 17:273-283.
10. Redondo Martín S, Bolaños Gallardo E, Almaraz Gómez A, Maderuelo Fernández J. Perceptions and expectations of Primary health care: a new form of identifying improvements. *Aten Primaria*. 2005; 36:358-366.
11. ASSBASALUD, Secretaría de salud Municipal. Propuesta para el desarrollo de la estrategia Atención Primaria en Salud en las comunas y corregimientos del Municipio de Manizales, en el marco de las Redes Integradas de Servicios de Salud. Manizales; 2012.
12. Resolución No. 008430 de 4 de octubre de 1993, por la cual se establecen las normas científicas, técnicas y administrativas para la investigación en salud. En: Bogotá D.C: Ministerio de Salud; 1993.
13. Asociación Médica Mundial. Declaración de Helsinki. Principios éticos para las investigaciones médicas en seres humanos. España: Universidad de Navarra; 2004.
14. Chang W. The meaning and goals of equity in health. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2002; 56:488-579.
15. Sáez M. Condicionantes en la utilización de los servicios de atención primaria. Evidencias empíricas e inconsistencias metodológicas. *Gac Sanit* 2003; 17(5):412-417.
16. Bellón J, Delgado A, Luna J, Lardelli P. Influencia de la edad y sexo sobre los distintos tipos de utilización en atención primaria. *Gac Sanit* 1995;9:343-396.
17. Mello M, Stearns S, Norton E. Do Medicare HMOs still reduce health services use after controlling for selection bias?. *Health Econ* 2002;11:323-363.
18. Muñoz-Pérez M, Mariscal E, Rubio E, Rey I. Desigualdades sociales en la utilización de servicios de atención primaria: un largo camino por recorrer. *Gac Sanit* 2000; 14:233-239.
19. Halldórsson M, Kunst A, Köhler L, Mackenbach J. Socioeconomic differences in children's use of physician services in the Nordic countries. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2002; 56:200-204.
20. Álvarez B. La demanda atendida de consultas médicas y servicios urgentes en España. *Investigaciones Económicas* 2001; 25:93-138.
21. Jiménez-Martín S, Labeaga J, Martínez-Granado M. Latent class versus two-part models in the demand for physician services across the European Union. *Health Econ* 2002; 11:301-322.
22. Vera-Hernández A. Duplicate coverage and demand for health care. The case of Catalonia. *Health Econ* 1999; 8:579-677.
23. Ruiz-Rodríguez M, Acosta-Ramírez N, Rodríguez Villamizar LA, Uribe LM, León-Franco M. Experiencia de implementación de un modelo de Atención Primaria. *Rev. salud pública* 2011; 13(6):885-896.

24. Mosquera PA, Hernández J, Vega-Romero R, Junca C. Experiencia de implementación de la estrategia de atención primaria en salud en la localidad de Bosa. Rev. Gerenc. Polit. Salud 2011;10(21):124-152.
25. Regidor E, Mateo S, Gutiérrez-Fisach J, Fernández K, Rodríguez C. Diferencias socioeconómicas en la utilización y accesibilidad de los servicios sanitarios en España. Med Clin (Barc) 1996; 107:285-293.
26. Orna Estebana MI, Torrubia Fernández MJ, Peña León I, Isanta Pomar C. Estudio del grado de satisfacción de la asistencia sanitaria recibida en atención primaria, durante los desplazamientos realizados en vacaciones de verano. Aten Primaria 2011; 43(3):158-163.
27. Aller Hernández MB, Vargas Lorenzo I, Sánchez Pérez I, Henao Martínez D, Coderch de Lassaletta J, Llopart López JR, et al. La continuidad asistencial entre niveles percibida por usuarios del Sistema de Salud en Cataluña. Rev Esp Salud Publica 2010; 84:371-387.
28. Gillian P, Anne C, Janet H. Synthesis and conceptual analysis of the SDO Programme's research on continuity of care. York: Report for the National Institute for Health Research service Delivery and Organisation Programme; 2009. SDO Project (08/1813/248).

ISSN 1695-6141

© [COPYRIGHT](#) Servicio de Publicaciones - Universidad de Murcia