


Original article

Study of eosinophil cationic protein serum levels in patients with toxocariasis

Eleonara Marinova Kaneva^a , Rumen Nenkov Harizanov^{a,*} , Iskra Georgieva Rainova^a , Iskren Tsvetkov Kaftandjiev^a , Nina Dimitrova Tsvetkova^a 

^aDepartment of Parasitology and Tropical Medicine, National Centre of Infectious and Parasitic Diseases, Sofia, Bulgaria

ARTICLE INFO
Article history:

Received 04 May 2020

Received in revised form 27 May 2020

Accepted 27 May 2020

Keywords:

Toxocariasis
Eosinophilic cationic protein
IgG antibodies
Recent infection

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Toxocariasis is a zoonotic helminth infection with difficult diagnosis. Determination of specific IgG antibodies alone does not allow to establish the disease stage and to evaluate the treatment efficacy. Therefore it is necessary to identify additional markers that will assist the diagnosis. The purpose of our study was to identify and monitor eosinophil cationic protein (ECP) levels in patients with toxocariasis confirmed by serology and to compare our data with the literature to determine the relevance of this protein as an indicator for recent infection and the effectiveness of the therapy.

Material and methods: ELISA (CUSABIO) commercial kit was used for determination of ECP concentration. Sixty serum samples were studied from individuals previously tested and confirmed for toxocariasis by the presence of specific anti-*Toxocara* IgG antibodies in ELISA (Toxocara IgG Rbiopharm) and the presence of specific bands in Western blot as confirmatory test (LD BIO). Twenty serum samples from clinically healthy blood donors were used as a control group.

Results: The mean concentration of serum ECP in the patients with toxocariasis was significantly higher than in clinically healthy subjects. Seventy-two percent of patients affected by toxicariasis showed increased serum concentration of ECP. However, no statistically significant differences were observed in terms of age ($p = 0.451$) and sex ($p = 0.682$) of the patients or clinical form of the disease. ECP levels among patients with visceral toxocariasis were relatively higher (mean 22.99 ng / ml \pm 13.16 SD) in comparison to those with ocular involvement (15.60 ng/ml \pm 9.92 SD). Correlation between the presence of peripheral eosinophilia and the concentration of serum ECP was not also established.

Conclusion: Data from our study give us reason to believe that serum levels of ECP could serve as an additional marker indicating recent infection, especially in patients without marked increase in the blood eosinophils.

© 2020 The Authors. Published by Iberoamerican Journal of Medicine. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. INTRODUCTION

Eosinophils are inflammatory effector cells associated with various allergic and parasitic diseases [1]. They are

characterized by the presence of large secondary granules containing four proteins: eosinophil cationic protein (ECP), eosinophil protein X (EPX), eosinophil peroxidase (EPO) and major basic protein (MBP), playing a role in the host's immune response to parasites and in the pathophysiology

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: harizanov@ncipd.org

© 2020 The Authors. Published by Iberoamerican Journal of Medicine. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

<http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3860581>

of chronic allergic responses. ECP (also known under the name ribonuclease 3 or Rnase3) is one of four proteins secreted by activated eosinophils and is currently the most widely used clinical marker of eosinophil activity in asthma and other inflammatory diseases [2]. Eosinophilic cells make up 1 to 4% of white blood cells circulating in the bloodstream. ECP is readily detectable in the blood with plasma levels of an average of 3 µg/L (serum 7 µg/L) in healthy subjects and correlates with the circulating number of eosinophils [3]. Human parasitic infections elicit an immune response associated with the production of cytokines by Th2 lymphocytes and eosinophilia. Eosinophilic infiltration into invaded tissues and skin is a common finding and therefore these cells are thought to play a specific role in the process of parasite destruction. Although still controversial, there is a theory that cytotoxic ECP deposition is part of the mechanism by which the immune system kills the parasite. In general, eosinophilia is also associated with an increase in circulating ECP levels [4, 5]. ECP has also been found around parasites in cutaneous and lymph nodes [6, 7]. The ability of ECP to kill or paralyze protozoa and helminths has been investigated in vitro and it has been found that high levels are required to respond to the parasite. *Schistosoma mansoni* larvae were incubated with 10 µM (190 µg/ml) ECP and 60% of them died. Concentrations of 50 µM (950 µg/ml) ECP killed 40% of *Trypanosoma cruzi* in about 6 hours and 90% of *Brugia malayi* in 48 hours [8-10].

Toxocariasis is a parasitic disease caused by the migration of nematode larvae of *Toxocara spp.* (mainly the canine and feline ascarids *T. canis* and *T. cati*) in the human body. Eosinophilia is one of the most common findings in *Toxocara spp.* infection in both humans and animals [11]. Increased levels of blood eosinophils may be lacking in some patients [12]. Due to the variety of clinical symptoms and difficulty in determining the activity of the disease, the importance of various other markers is being explored to assist the main methods of diagnosing the disease (serology). A study by Magnaval et al. (2001) reported that ECP levels in patients with clinical and laboratory data of toxocariasis were significantly higher than in clinically healthy patients or those who were ill in the past, and according to the authors ECP may be a useful marker for distinguishing between present and past toxocariasis [13], especially in patients without eosinophilia. Another study found that in children with toxocariasis, the concentration of ECP was significantly higher than that of the control group and decreased significantly 6 months after therapy. According to the authors, ECP may be a marker of the activity of *Toxocara spp.* infection and determining its concentration may be useful for monitoring the effectiveness of administered therapy [14].

The purpose of our study was to identify and monitor ECP levels in patients with toxocariasis confirmed by serology and to compare our data with the literature to determine the relevance of this protein as an indicator for recent infection and the effectiveness of the therapy.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. STUDY DESIGN

It is a retrospective study of serum samples from individuals previously tested for toxocariasis. Samples were collected in the course of a diagnostic process in relation to clinical symptoms suggestive of toxocariasis and were taken in accordance with national law and good medical practice. After centrifugation for 15 min. at 1000 g samples were stored at -20° C until testing.

2.2. EXAMINED GROUPS

A group of sixty patients with clinical and serological data of toxocariasis (33 men and 27 women; ages 1 to 86 years; mean 37,2) were studied. The patients with leading symptom of eosinophilia were classified into 3 groups: mild eosinophilia (up to 10%), moderate eosinophilia (between 10-20%) and patients with high eosinophilia (over 20%).

The diagnosis of toxocariasis was confirmed by the presence of specific anti-*Toxocara* IgG antibodies in ELISA (RIDASCREEN® *Toxocara* IgG Rbiopharm) and the presence of specific bands in Western blot as confirmatory test (LD BIO). Twenty clinically healthy blood donors: 8 men and 12 women aged 35 to 49 (mean age 43) were used as control group.

2.3. DETERMINATION OF EOSINOPHIL CATIONIC PROTEIN (ECP) CONCENTRATION

ELISA (CUSABIO) commercial kit was used in this research. Detection range 1.56 ng/ml-100 ng/ml, sensitivity - 0.39 ng/ml. Standards (Standard) - S0-0 ng/ml (zero standard - sample diluent) and 2-fold dilution series - S1 - 1.56 ng/ml, S2 - 3.12 ng/ml, S3 - 6.25 ng/ml, S4 - 12.5 ng/ml, S5 - 25 ng/ml, S6 - 50 ng/ml, S7 - 100 ng/ml. Testing of serum samples was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions, and the ECP concentration was determined after photometric reading at 450 nm and construction of a Standard curve according to the used standards.

2.4. STATISTICAL WORK

Statistical data processing was performed with statistical package SPSS Version 20. The significance level at which the null hypothesis is rejected was $p < 0.05$. The following methods of analysis were used: descriptive analysis (mean and standard deviation), parametric methods were used to look for statistical relationships between quantitative variables - ANOVA.

3. RESULTS

In patients with toxocariasis, the found ECP levels ranged from 1.56 ng/ml to 66.22 ng/ml (mean of 22.51 ng/ml \pm 13.03 ng/ml standard deviation) for all studied patients. Levels of up to 16 ng/ml were found in 17 patients (28.3%); 31 (51.7%) were with levels from 16 to 30 ng/ml and 12 (20%) with levels above 30 ng/ml. ECP levels above the reference limit were found in 71.7% of patients with toxocariasis. Patients having ECP levels in the range of 16 to 30 ng/ml were with highest relative share.

In the control group of clinically healthy subjects, we found that the concentration of ECP ranged from 1.56 ng/ml to 18.84 ng/ml (mean 10.37 ng/ml \pm 5.68 ng/ml standard deviation). Statistical data processing showed statistically significant differences in mean ESR levels between the two studied groups (ANOVA, $p = 0.005$).

No statistically significant differences in mean ECP values by gender were observed in the group of patients with toxocariasis (ANOVA, $p = 0.682$), although the mean ECP was slightly higher in male subjects - 23.14 ng/ml (min = 1.56 - max = 66.22 ng/ml) from the mean for women - 21.74 ng/ml (min = 6.62 - max = 46.86 ng/ml). Both sexes had the highest relative proportion of patients with ECP levels of 16 to 30 ng/ml - 42.4% of the males and 63% of the females. Among persons with an ECP concentration above 30 ng/ml ($n = 12$) predominated male patients ($n = 9$, 75%) (Table 1).

visceral toxocariasis (mean 22.99 ng/ml \pm 13.16 standard deviation) compared to those with ocular involvement (15.60 ng/ml \pm 9.92 standard deviation), with these differences in values not being statistically significant (ANOVA, $p = 0.277$). Both clinical forms of the disease were dominated by patients with ECP levels between 16 and 30 ng/ml (50% of the patients with visceral form and 75% of the patients with ophthalmic disease). All patients with an ECP concentration above 30 ng/ml were with visceral toxocariasis (Table 1). Patients in whom we found ECP levels above 30 ng/ml ($n = 12$) were predominantly with clinically pronounced urticaria ($n = 7$, 58.3%) and pulmonary symptoms (pneumonia, chronic bronchitis) ($n = 2$, 16, 7%). Among patients with a leading clinical symptom of eosinophilia ($n = 15$), subjects with ECP levels from 16 to 30 ng/ml ($n = 11$, 73.3%) predominated, with only one person having ESR levels above 30 ng/ml. The mean concentration of ECP in eosinophilia patients was 21.52 ng/ml \pm 7.72 standard deviation, which is value not significantly different from that in patients with other leading symptoms (Table 2) and there were no statistically significant differences (ANOVA, $p = 0.371$).

To determine the correlation between the relative proportion of eosinophil cells in the peripheral blood and the concentration of ECP, the patients with leading symptom of eosinophilia were classified into 3 groups: mild eosinophilia (up to 10%), moderate eosinophilia (between 10-20%) and patients with high eosinophilia

Table 1. Serum ECP levels in patients with toxocariasis by sex, age and clinical form of disease

	< 16 ng/ml <i>n</i> (% of total)	16-30 ng/ml <i>n</i> (% of total)	> 30 ng/ml <i>n</i> (% of total)	Mean ECP (ng/ml)	<i>p</i> value
Male sex	10 (16.7%)	14 (23.3%)	9 (15%)	23.14 ng/ml	$p = 0.682$
Female sex	7 (11.7%)	17 (28.3%)	3 (5%)	21.74 ng/ml	
Children and adolescents	5 (8.3%)	11 (18.3%)	1 (1.7%)	20.47 ng/ml	$p = 0.451$
Adults (> 18 years)	12 (20%)	20 (33.3%)	11 (18.3%)	23.31 ng/ml	
Visceral larva migrans (VLM)	16 (26.7%)	28 (46.7%)	12 (20%)	22.99 ng/ml	$p = 0.277$
Ocular larva migrans (OLM)	1 (1.7%)	3 (5%)	0 (0%)	15.60 ng/ml	

ECP: Eosinophil cationic protein.

Of the patients studied with toxocariasis, 17 were children and adolescents and 43 were adults (> 18 years). In both age groups, prevailed individuals with ECP values in range from 16 to 30 ng/ml ($n = 11$, 64.7% of children and $n = 20$, 46.5% of adults). No statistically significant differences (ANOVA, $p = 0.451$) were found between mean ECP concentrations in children (20.46 ng/ml \pm 7.63 standard deviation) and in patients over 18 years of age (23.31 ng/ml \pm 14.63 standard deviation). Most of the patients with values above 30 ng/ml were over 18 years of age ($n = 11$, 91.7%), and only one of the children was with an ECP concentration above 30 ng/ml (Table 1).

According to the clinical form of the disease, we found relatively higher levels of ECP among patients with

(over 20%). Although the established mean ECP levels in patients with high eosinophilia were higher (23.09 ng/ml \pm 7.85 standard deviation), no statistically significant difference was found from patients with moderate (20.88 ng/ml \pm 8.41 standard deviation) and mild (21.61 ng/ml; $n = 1$) eosinophilia (ANOVA, $p = 0.904$).

4. DISCUSSION

Eosinophils are pro-inflammatory cells that mediate allergic symptoms [15]. Their traditional role is related to

Table 2. ECP concentration (ng/ml) in patients with toxocariasis according to the leading clinical symptom

Leading clinical symptom	Number of cases	Mean \pm SD ECP (ng/ml)
Eosinophilia	15	21.52 \pm 7.72
Urticaria (hives)	25	24.14 \pm 15.1
Pulmonary symptoms (pneumonia, prolonged cough)	3	34.96 \pm 23.92
Gastrointestinal symptoms (diarrhea, flatulence, abdominal pain)	4	15.84 \pm 12.86
Ocular granuloma / inflammatory process	4	15.60 \pm 9.92
Neurological symptoms (epilepsy)	1	4.09
Alopecia areata / dermatitis with unclear etiology	1	17.91
Dog owners without clinical symptoms	7	24.49 \pm 8.93

P value = 0.371. ECP: Eosinophil cationic protein; SD: Standard deviation.

the immune response in allergic diseases or parasitic infections [16]. They are involved in the development of the inflammatory reactions by secreting proteins and mediators of the inflammation [17]. At the site of inflammation, upon stimulation, eosinophils secrete toxic cationic proteins and this process is thought to be important for host defense [18], but the role of these proteins has not been fully understood yet. One of them is ECP (highly basic, cytotoxic, heparin binding ribonuclease), which is released by activated eosinophils [19]. Experimental data identify eosinophils and their granular proteins as significant participants in the inflammatory process in bronchial asthma [20] and in the interactions between parasite and host [21]. Secretion of the granular contents leads to damage of the bronchial epithelium and late asthmatic reactions, as well as to the killing and disintegration of larvae of various helminths [8, 10]. Since the discovery of ECP in 1977, a number of diagnostic kits have been developed to determine its level in biological fluids in various diseases. In infected individuals and those with hypereosinophilic syndrome, serum ECP may reach levels that are about 30 times increased compared to serum ECP levels in clinically healthy subjects [22].

The average ECP levels found by us in patients with toxocariasis exceed with statistically significant difference those in the control group of clinically healthy subjects and confirm existing data in the literature [13, 14]. The high relative share of patients with toxocariasis (about 72%) in which ECP values exceed the referent values gives us reason to believe that this protein is probably of key importance for the host defense against the parasite. We found no effect of age and gender on ECP production in patients with toxocariasis in this study. However, we found that in patients with visceral disease the mean serum concentrations of ECP were clearly higher than in those with ophthalmic disease, although the differences were not statistically significant. There are literature evidences for a correlation between serum ECP values and peripheral blood eosinophil counts [13, 23, 24], but no evidence has been found in patients whose leading symptom was eosinophilia. The lack of such correlation can be explained by the fact that serum granule proteins are derived not only

from peripheral cells but also from activated eosinophils in the tissues. Eosinophils are actively involved in the tissue-dwelling inflammatory reaction and the circulating pool of eosinophils represents a small fraction of total eosinophil mass and lack of blood eosinophilia does not mean lack of eosinophilia in the body [25]. Eosinophilia in peripheral blood is an indicator of increased eosinophil migration. Leaving the bone marrow, the eosinophils remain in circulation for 8-12 hours, and then migrate to the tissues, where they remain for up to 10 days. The number of eosinophils in tissues is 200-300 times higher. The level of ECP reflects the activation and degranulation of eosinophils in target organs. Therefore, in monitoring eosinophil activity, the determination of ECP may be a more reliable indicator. Eosinophilia is a characteristic laboratory finding in toxocariasis, but it has different kinetics in individual patients. Eosinophilia in peripheral blood is proportional to the tissue eosinophilia, where a local reaction to the *Toxocara* larvae or their antigens remaining in the tissues after larval migration develops [26]. The occurrence of eosinophilia in patients with toxocariasis is evidence for activity of the infection and for presence of antibody response. Increases in ECP levels have been identified not only in patients with a leading symptom of eosinophilia, which leads us to believe that an ECP concentration determination in patients with toxocariasis may be useful in establishing the disease activity.

Undoubtedly, the clinical interpretation of established levels of serum ECP is difficult as the protein is involved in a large number of biological reactions, characterizing it as a non-specific marker. However, determining its levels in patients with toxocariasis may provide an additional information, that when is analyzed together with serologic data, can improve the diagnosis of the disease. Our study may give us reason to believe that serum levels of ECP could serve as an additional marker indicating recent infection, especially in patients without marked increase in the blood eosinophils and further studies of larger number of patients should be conducted to confirm the finding.

5. REFERENCES

1. Rothenberg ME. Eosinophilia. *N Engl J Med.* 1998;338(22):1592-600. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199805283382206.
2. Venge P. Monitoring the allergic inflammation. *Allergy.* 2004;59(1):26-32. doi: 10.1046/j.1398-9995.2003.00386.x.
3. Bjork A, Venge P, Peterson CG. Measurements of ECP in serum and the impact of plasma coagulation. *Allergy.* 2000;55(5):442-8.
4. Tischendorf FW, Brattig NW, Lintzel M, Buttner DW, Burchard GD, Bork K, et al. Eosinophil granule proteins in serum and urine of patients with helminth infections and atopic dermatitis. *Trop Med Int Health.* 2000;5(12):898-905. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-3156.2000.00649.x.
5. Kouriba B, Traore HA, Dabo A, Sangare L, Guindo H, Keita AS, et al. Urinary disease in 2 Dogon populations with different exposure to *Schistosoma haematobium* infection: progression of bladder and kidney diseases in children and adults. *J Infect Dis.* 2005;192(12):2152-9. doi: 10.1086/498214.
6. Gutierrez-Pena EJ, Knab J, Buttner DW. Immunoelectron microscopic evidence for release of eosinophil granule matrix protein onto microfilariae of *Onchocerca volvulus* in the skin after exposure to amocarzine. *Parasitol Res.* 1998;84(8):607-15. doi: 10.1007/s004360050459.
7. Wildenburg G, Darge K, Knab J, Tischendorf FW, Bonow I, Buttner DW. Lymph nodes of onchocerciasis patients after treatment with ivermectin: reaction of eosinophil granulocytes and their cationic granule proteins. *Trop Med Parasitol.* 1994;45(2):87-96.
8. Asuming-Brempong E, Gyan B, Amoah A, van der Puije W, Bimi L, Boakye D, et al. Relationship between eosinophil cationic protein and infection intensity in a schistosomiasis endemic community in Ghana. *Res Rep Trop Med.* 2015;6:1-10. doi: <https://doi.org/10.2147/RRTM.S51713>.
9. Molina HA, Kierszenbaum F, Hamann KJ, Gleich GJ. Toxic effects produced or mediated by human eosinophil granule components on *Trypanosoma cruzi*. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 1988; 38(2):327-34. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.1988.38.327.
10. Hamann KJ, Gleich GJ, Checkel JL, Loegering DA, McCall JW, Barker RL. In vitro killing of microfilariae of *Brugia pahangi* and *Brugia malayi* by eosinophil granule proteins. *J Immunol.* 1990;144(8):3166-73.
11. Chen J, Liu Q, Liu GH, Zheng WB, Hong SJ, Sugiyama H, et al. Toxocariasis: a silent threat with a progressive public health impact. *Infect Dis Poverty.* 2018;13;7(1):59. doi: 10.1186/s40249-018-0437-0.
12. Magnaval JF, Michault A., Calon N., Charlet JP. Epidemiology of human toxocariasis in La Reunion. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg.* 1994;88(5):531-3. doi: 10.1016/0035-9203(94)90148-1.
13. Magnaval JF, Berry A, Fabre R, Morassin B. Eosinophil cationic protein as a possible marker of active human *Toxocara* infection. *Allergy.* 2001; 56(11): 1096-9. doi: 10.1034/j.1398-9995.2001.00284.x.
14. Niedworok M, Sordyl B, Borecka A, Gawor J, Malecka-Panas E. Estimation of eosinophilia, immunoglobulin E and eosinophilic cationic protein concentration during the treatment of toxocariasis. *Wiad Parazytol.* 2008;54(3):225-30.
15. Weller PF. The immunobiology of eosinophils. *N Engl J Med.* 1991;324(16):1110-8. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199104183241607.
16. Hogan S, Rosenberg H, Moqbel R, Phipps S, Foster P, Lacy P, et al. Eosinophils: biological properties and role in health and disease. *Clin Exp Allergy.* 2008;38(5):709-50. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2222.2008.02958.x.
17. Venge P. Soluble markers of allergic inflammation. *Allergy.* 1994;49(1):1-8. doi: 10.1111/j.1398-9995.1994.tb00765.x.
18. Herrick JA, Nordstrom M, Maloney P, Rodriguez M, Naceanceno K, Gallo G, et al. Parasitic infections represent a significant health threat among recent immigrants in Chicago. *Parasitol Res.* 2020;119(3):1139-48. doi: 10.1007/s00436-020-06608-4.
19. Fillaux J, Magnaval JF. Laboratory diagnosis of human toxocariasis. *Vet Parasitol.* 2013;15;193(4):327-36. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2012.12.028.
20. Mosayebi M, Moini L, Hajhossein R, Didehdar M, Eslamirad Z. Detection of Specific Antibody Reactivity to *Toxocara* Larval Excretory-secretory Antigens in Asthmatic Patients (5-15 Years). *Open Microbiol J.* 2016;10:162-7. doi: 10.2174/1874285801610010162.
21. Klion AD, Nutman TB. The role of eosinophils in host defense against helminth parasites. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2004;113:30-7. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2003.10.050.
22. Plotz SG, Abeck D, Seitzer U, Hein R, Ring J. UVA1 for hypereosinophilic syndrome. *Acta Derm Venereol.* 2000;80(3):221. doi: 10.1080/000155500750043087.
23. Pronk-Admiraal CJ, Bartels PC, Mulder K. Eosinophil cationic protein in serum from nonatopic and asymptomatic atopic individuals after standardized blood clotting at 37°C. *Ann Clin Biochem.* 1999;36(pt3):353-8. doi: 10.1177/000456329903600308.
24. Tischendorf FW, Brattig NW, Büttner DW, Pieper A, Lintzel M. Serum levels of eosinophil cationic protein, eosinophil-derived neurotoxin and myeloperoxidase in infections with filariae and schistosomes. *Acta Trop.* 1996;62(3):171-82. doi: 10.1016/s0001-706x(96)00038-1.
25. McGuinness, SL, Leder K. Global Burden of Toxocariasis: A Common Neglected Infection of Poverty. *Curr Trop Med Rep.* 2014;1:52-61. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40475-013-0012-5>.
26. Meeusen EN, Balic A. Do eosinophils have a role in the killing of helminth parasites? *Parasitol Today.* 2000;16(3):95-101. doi: 10.1016/s0169-4758(99)01607-5.