The relationship between condylar hyperplasia and the presence or development of temporomandibular joint (TMJ) dysfunction has been approached very scarcely in the literature. In fact, there are very few clinical series that refer to the coexistence of these two entities and, in most of these few exceptions, the casuistry that refers to this association is lost in the stalemate of data in the results sections of papers involved in the study of TMJ involvement in patients with dentofacial deformity.

Israel has recently defined internal derangement (ID) as a condition in which there are damaged intra-articular tissues leading to disturbances in the biomechanical functioning of the TMJ (Figure 1). Based on this broader definition, anterior disc displacement is considered one type of internal derangement without exclusivity. Thus, a patient who has severe limited mouth opening with decreased translation of the TMJ caused by adhesions, osteoarthritis, synovial inflammation, disc displacement, or other intra-articular disorder is considered to have the clinical signs and symptoms of ID. Besides, condylar hyperplasia (CH) is a non-neoplastic pathology resulting from the excessive growth of the condylar head and neck. It can

Figure 1. Proposed pathway for development of internal derangement of the temporomandibular joint (TMJ).
adversely affect mandibular morphology, dental occlusion, maxillary growth and, which is the focus of our attention in this editorial, the TMJ function.

Some important series have tangentially addressed this clinical association. So, Nitzan et al. in a series of 61 patients, reported 40 patients (65.6%) in which the main complaint was progressive facial asymmetry; 17 (27.9%) complained of pain, dysfunction, or both. Joint pain, dysfunction, or clicking was found in 24 patients (38.7%). They stated that the increased functional load may cause contralateral TMJ dysfunction with associated pain and clicking, and concluded that clinicians should be aware that the primary complaint is facial asymmetry in only some patients, and that signs and symptoms of TMJ disease can be present. In a paper from our group comprising a series of 36 patients with unilateral CH, we observed that some patients may also present with symptoms from the TMJ such as joint sounds, and limitation of mouth opening. TMJ symptoms were present in 13 out of 36 patients (36%), involving all clinical types of CH.

Also, in the study by Lippold et al., they found that affected joints of patients with CH all have signs of arthrosis in some form, as shown by the histological examination of the condyles (degenerative cartilaginous processes combined with reactive subchondral bony reaction) while these findings were more pronounced in those cases with severe activity in the scintigraphic scans. In the paper by Fushima et al., the incidence of TMJ disorders was 33.6% of total series of patients with malocclusion. In symptomatic subjects, the most frequent sign of TMJ disorders was joint noise (76%), followed by joint pain (68%) and disturbance of mandibular movement (38%). Symmetrical anterior cross bite malocclusion showed low incidence of TMJ disorders. In posterior crossbite malocclusion and mandibular displacement case, however, the signs of TMJ disorders were found frequently. Conclusion was that lateral shift of mandibular head in fossa may relate with the appearance of TMJ disorders.

In the patient with CH, remodelling of the mandibular condyle is mainly related to bone neoformation with displacement of the cephalic portion of the condyle. This scenario changes following condylectomy, which produces a sudden reduction in the intraarticular pressure of both joints. For instance, in the series by Kim et al, about 27 patients with unilateral CH undergoing high condylectomy, there was a decrease in the amount of the maximal mouth opening (MMO), but the degree was not severe (about 2.2 mm), and there was an improvement in terms of reduction of joint pain and clicking. Mouallem et al. found that condylectomy through a joint interruption did not result in limitation in function, with a 93% of patients showing normal MMO. In fact, only 6 patients showed a worsening of their pre-op TMJ dysfunction after a condylectomy, but they were only suffering of clicking, without any associated pain.

Also, Niño-Sandoval et al., in their systematic review, showed that most of patients suffering presurgical TMJ dysfunction experienced variable results in terms of pain reduction and mandibular function, this illustrating that most series do not specifically deal with management of TMJ dysfunction. However, they concluded that regarding the TMJ after surgical intervention, there was an improvement in pathological condition, and there was no significant TMD complications. Moreover, if we attend to study the incidence of displacement of the disc in patients with dentofacial deformity by MRI, Ooi and colleagues found that: 1) DDwoR was present in 56.1% in class II compared to 19.3% in class III (p < 0.05); 2) In class III patients, DDwoR was significantly more common in joints with mandibular asymmetry (32.4%) than in joints with open bite (14.5%); 3) In class II patients, DDwoR was significantly less common in joints with mandibular asymmetry and without open bite (12.5%); and 4) DDwoR was only observed on the deviated side in both class III and class II with mandibular asymmetry.

Wolford’s group is among the few ones that have addressed the problem concerning disc position within the TMJ in the patient with CH. Whenever the disease is active, they always reposition the disc concomitantly with high condylectomy. They studied the evolution in terms of pain reduction and improvement of mouth opening for a group with orthognathic surgery alone compared with the group undergoing high condylectomy plus disc repositioning and orthognathic surgery, and found better results for this last one. Yang’s group has also achieved optimal results when repositioning the disc, not by open surgery but by means of arthroscopic suture, referring a 95% excellent replacement. This group also used this technique for cases with idiopathic condylar resorption (ICR), demonstrating new bone formation on the condylar head just below the repositioned disc. They concluded that the new anatomical position of the disc favors bone formation over the surface of the degenerated condyle by not completely known reasons, but maybe because of avoiding direct trauma of the posterior slope of the condyle over the temporalis fossa, which has been reported as a possible ethiogenic factor for developing ICR.
In 2009, the arthroscopic technique consisting on performing an anterior myotomy of the upper belly of the pterigoid muscle (which is pushing forward the disc) together with electrocoagulation of areas of synovitis at the bilaminar zone of the posterior ligament (which is responsible for pain) by using ultrasound by coblation was published. The objective of this technique is to free the disc from its anterior attachment and to generate a retractile tissue in the posterior attachment which may help to get the disc in a posterior fashion while providing its proper mobilization (Figure 2). Whatever open surgery or arthroscopy of the TMJ is performed, it seems that disc reposition is necessary in cases in which TMJ dysfunction persist after treatment of CH (Figure 3).

Unfortunately, we have to conclude that there are still few studies concerning the presence of TMJ internal derangement in patients suffering of CH. However, we hypothesize that these patients may develop a contralateral shift of the TMJ that may promote disc displacement and subsequent compression of retrodiscal tissues that may be responsible for the appearance of TMJ pain, clicking and limited mouth opening. Concerning management, whenever TMJ internal derangement persists after surgical treatment of condylar hyperplasia, operative arthroscopy by means of anterior miotomy and coblation of the retrodiscal tissues must be performed to achieve optimal results in terms of reduction of pain and increase of mouth opening.

Raúl González-García

1Director de RECOM. 2Facultativo Especialista de Área. Hospital Universitario de Badajoz. Badajoz, España.
Práctica Privada, Hospital Quirónsalud Clídeba. Badajoz, España.
Figure 3. A. This patient came for consultation at 2016 showing a mandibular asymmetry to the right side. The SPECT at January 2017 showed an uptake of 56% in the left condyle in contrast to 44% for the right condyle. At September 2017, this difference persisted, and the patient was diagnosed of Type I CH, so he underwent a high condylectomy of the left side. B. We can see the flat remnant condyle and an adequate position of the disc above it, with adequate mobilization. C. Almost a total correction of the asymmetry was achieved, so in October 2019 he underwent a LeFort I osteotomy for advancement of the upper maxilla without mandibular surgery for correction of a dento-osseous class III in the sagittal plane. D. In February 2021 he developed a closed lock of the right TMJ. MRI showed a normal position of the disc in the left joint in both closed and open mouth, while the disc in the right TMJ was anteriorly displaced with the mouth closed and recaptured when mouth was completely opened. Thus a DDwr of the right TMJ was diagnosed, and the patient underwent an operative TMJ arthroscopy by means of anterior release by miotomy of lateral pterygoid muscle and coblation of the retrodiscal tissues, with an optimal functional outcome.
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