Description of the patients treated at the Fracture and Fall Prevention Unit in the context of a Fracture Liaison Service. FLS Anoia
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Summary
Objective: The aim of this study is to present the performance, treatment and functional results obtained in a Fracture and Fall Prevention Unit.

Material and methods: Descriptive prospective study of patients with previous osteoporotic fracture, treated between April 25, 2016 and November 20, 2017.

Results: We analyzed 43 patients with a mean age of 80.2 years (SD±5.19), 81.40% women (n=35). Number of fractures 61, 28% hip (n=17), 25% vertebral (n=15) and 21% distal radius (n=13). At discharge, all the assessment scales used improved, highlighting the results of the SPPB (39.80%), TUG (30.66%) and Tinetti (21.60%).

Conclusions: The profile patient treated corresponds to an 80.2-year-old woman, with a hip fracture, Tinetti 22:09, Daniels in extremities of 3.95, 4:05, 3.81, 3.91, SPPB of 6.63, TUG of 17.81 and FIM of 87.19 points. An improved score in all the assessed scales is reported.
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INTRODUCTION
Worldwide, 1 in 3 women and 1 in 5 men will experience a fragility fracture in their lifetime. Every 3 seconds there is 1 fragility fracture in the world. The most frequent fractures associated with osteoporosis are located in the hip, spine and wrist1,2. Hip fracture has become an international barometer of osteoporosis, associated with low bone mineral density, high health care costs, and greater disability than other types of osteoporotic fracture1. Only 30% of people with a hip fracture regain their pre-fracture level of physical function, and many are left with reduced mobility, loss of functional independence, and requiring long-term care. For this reason, among other reasons, the International Osteoporosis Foundation (IOF) has developed the Capture the Fracture program, aimed at reducing secondary and posterior fractures by facilitating the implementation of Fracture Liaison Services (FLS)1,2.

The IOF Best Practice Framework (BPF) is an internationally recognized clinical guideline for the secondary prevention of osteoporotic fractures. Structured in a series of 13 standards, the BPF addresses key elements for the success of the FLS and also includes suitability objectives, thereby stimulating excellence. Specifically, in standard number 7, fall prevention is mentioned as one of the key elements1,2. This led us to found a Fall Prevention Unit which we named the School of Secondary Prevention of Fractures and Falls (EPFiC, based on the Spanish title) of the Sant Josep Health Foundation (FSSJ) within the framework of the FLS Anoia.

When we focus on frailty models, such as Fried’s or Watson’s, many of the risk factors associated with falls are included, such as: muscle weakness, weight loss, balance disturbances, decreased gait speed, fatigue, low level of physical activity and cognitive impairment5. Frailty, expressed as vulnerability to adverse events, explains loss of functional capacity, falls, disability, and dependency. Between 25-28% of 80-year-olds are frail, and there is a direct relationship between frailty and falls, these being the leading cause of mortality in the el-
In elderly patients, falls generate fear of falling and reduce physical functioning, social activities, loss of confidence, dependency, social isolation, and decreased health and functionality are predictors of mortality. In elderly patients, falls are among the most robust factors that tend to activate the negative spiral of frailty.

The only interventions that have been shown to be effective in preventing, and even reversing, the state of frailty in elderly patients are physical exercise, comprehensive geriatric assessment and management of the main geriatric syndromes, and nutritional interventions or the use of certain drugs.

Having a good state of health and functionality are predictors of residing at home and maintaining functionality prior to a year after a hip fracture, while the worst state of health and functionality are predictors of mortality.

It is worth noting, therefore, the importance of the implementation of Fall Prevention Units, such as the School for the Prevention of Fractures and Falls (EPFiC) of the FSSJ, which began its activity in April 2016 within the framework of the FLS Anoia, in which they can provide a reduction in the risk of falls between 30% and 50%. It is reported that 50% of falls are due to multiple factors. The most prevalent associated factors are orthostatic hypotension, chronic arthropathy pain, and vestibular syndrome.

Carrying out preventive actions to keep our elderly population out of risk and maintain a good level of functionality is essential planned objectives. One of our maxims as health professionals should be to empower our society to guarantee active aging.

Unlike the proposed functional plan of the Fall Prevention Units of the Spanish Society of Geriatrics and Gerontology, our EPFiC’s target population encompasses those patients with a previous fracture, since it is this group that benefits most by reducing the risk of new fractures when starting an anti-osteoporotic pharmacological treatment.

Here we describe the School of Secondary Prevention of Fractures and Falls (EPFiC) care protocol and show the functional results obtained in the first 19 months after its implementation.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Description of the EPFiC care protocol**

Patients with fractures due to bone fragility are recruited at the Social Health Center of the FSSJ, by telephone, after receiving the request for assessment through 3 recruitment routes: 1. Outpatient Consultations of the Hospital of Igualada; a) Geriatrics (Consultation for fractures due to bone fragility), b) Rheumatology and c) Physical Medicine; 2. Primary Care and 3. Socio-Health Care. The inclusion and exclusion criteria agreed upon in the FLS Anoia are followed and are shown in figure 1.

They are scheduled for initial functional assessment of occupational therapy and physiotherapy, with nursing supervision and support from the FFSJ rehabilitation day hospital. In this functional assessment, our staff recorded Tinetti and Daniels balance scale values for muscle strength, the Timed Up and Go (TUG) for the risk of falls, the Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB) for frailty and Functional Independence Measure (FIM) for functional independence.

After this first assessment, the patient will carry out 24 group sessions, with a maximum of 8 people, 3 days a week on alternate days and lasting 1.45 hours. The main therapeutic components are balance re-education, strength re-training, active mobility, proprioception, vestibular re-education and re-education of the motor sequence to get up after a fall, as well as ABLs and IADLs. This therapeutic prescription schedule is coupled with exercise recommendations to improve balance and strength explained in the PreFIT Clinical Trial protocol and in the systematic review by Sherrington C, et al., as essential elements in exercise programs to prevent falls.

In addition, the patient receives an informative and educational class on nutrition and healthy habits by the dietician-nutritionist of the FSSJ for 1.5 hours, having completed the 24 group sessions. Finally, all the functional assessment scales are reassessed before discharge, and they respond to a satisfaction questionnaire.

**Study design and participants**

This is a prospective descriptive study of patients treated at the EPFiC in the period between April 25, 2016 and November 20, 2017.

**Variables**

For the analysis of the data obtained, pre-post intervention, socio-demographic variables have been collected: age, sex and type of fracture; Functional assessment variables: Tinetti scale, Daniels (right (R) and left (L) of upper limbs (UL) and lower extremities (LE)), Timed Up and Go (TUG), Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB) and the Functional Independence Measure (FIM).

The data obtained from the satisfaction questionnaire is obtained through an unvalidated questionnaire for internal use.

This study has been approved by the Hospital de Bellvitge Ethics Committee (PR22/15).

**Statistics**

In the description of the cohort, percentages and frequencies have been used for qualitative variables and medians and standard deviations for quantitative variables. To study the relationship between categorical variables, the Chi square test was used, with the correlation of Fisher’s exact test for the comparison of proportions, depending on the frequencies. P-values less than or equal to 0.05 were considered statistically significant. The statistical program SPSS version 19.0 (IBM Corporation, Chicago Illinois) was used.

**RESULTS**

During the study period, 45 patients were treated, 2 of whom did not complete the study, leaving a total sample of 43 patients with a mean age of 80.2 years (SD±5.19), 81.40% being women (n=35).

Number of fractures 61, of which 28% were of the hip (n=17), 25% vertebral (n=15), 21% Colles-Distal radius (n=13), 8% humerus (n=5), 5% pelvis (n=3), 5% ribs (n=3), 2% femur (n=1) and 6% other fractures (n=4).

At the time of discharge, an improvement is shown in all the assessment scales used, highlighting the improvement in the SPPB of 39.80%, of the TUG in 30.66% and in the Tinetti balance scale of 21.60% (table 1).

The satisfaction surveys collected were 36 of the 43 patients recruited, representing 83.7% participation. Of these, the average satisfaction score of the EPFiC is 9.7 points out of a maximum of 10. The 7 patients for whom there is no record of the survey was due to the fact that they did not submit it at the time of discharge.
DISCUSSION

In terms of methodology, our study coincides with the Clinical Trial Prefit\textsuperscript{14} in the recruitment of patients who are in the community and who are older than 70 years.

The functional recovery obtained reflected in the improvement in the score of the scales used, especially the Tinetti scale with 21.60%, the SPPB with 39.60% and the TUG with 30.66%, cannot be correlated with a decrease in the number of falls, nor in the reduction in the number of fractures due to the design of our descriptive study. To a certain extent, the score improvement of these 3 scales could lead us to believe that the risk of falls will be reduced. This is implied by the very definition of each of the three scales in which, the better the score improvement of these 3 scales could lead us to believe that the risk of falls will be reduced.

In our study, the most prevalent fractures were hip in 28%, vertebra in 25% and distal radius in 21%. Currently we have not found studies of fall prevention units that provide data that allow us to compare in this regard. We have already commented recently that the great challenge of the 21st century should be the creation of multiprofessional osteoporotic fracture units in an effort to reduce the incidence of major fractures due to bone fragility (vertebra, pelvis, hip and humerus) and especially hip fractures.

Thus, we believe that we must redirect our efforts towards post-fracture secondary prevention, in its double version of treating osteoporosis and falls, in those people who have already had a fracture due to bone fragility.

In contrast to the proposed functional plan of a Unit for the Falls Prevention and Osteoporotic Fractures of the Spanish Society of Geriatrics and Gerontology\textsuperscript{12} who recommend working with the elderly person who was at risk of falling or who had already fallen as a result of an osteoporotic fracture or not, our study, we focus on working with people who, although they may be at risk of falling, or have suffered previous falls, must all have a history of fracture due to bone fragility. We have considered this modification, following the recommendations of the IOF Capture the Fracture program, in which it is this population group, with a previous osteoporotic fracture, who benefits most from pharmacological intervention. Along these lines, we believe that it is this population that could benefit most from fracture and fall prevention units, bearing in mind that studies with a robust design and methodology are required to demonstrate this.

As a multidisciplinary and multilevel group, within the framework of the FLS, our aim was to implement a fracture and fall prevention unit in the context of secondary prevention in osteoporotic fractures or due to bone fragility. We are aware of the difficulties involved in organizing referral circuits and recruiting patients, given FLS Anoia’s different health institutions.

Last but not least, the participants’ degree of satisfaction is noteworthy, with an average score of 9.7 points out of 10. This was one of the crucial aspects for adherence during the intervention.
Based on our experience, we would encourage EPFiC implementation in the public health system, as well as city council strategic community health plans to guarantee adherence to therapeutic physical activity that the EPFiC has already begun. Without a doubt, this needs continuity in the community.

**Limitations**

As this is a descriptive study, we cannot correlate the findings of functional improvement with a decrease, or not, in falls or new fractures in this target population. Furthermore, the satisfaction questionnaire is for internal use and not validated. However, these limitations are elements for improvement in future research studies already under way.

**Conclusions**

Based on our findings, the profile of the post-fracture patient due to bone fragility treated at the EPFiC of the San José Health Foundation (FSSJ) in Igualada, is an 80.2-year-old woman with a hip fracture, Tinetti 22.09, Daniels in LLL, RLL, LUL and RUL of 3.95, 4:05, 3.81, 3.91 respectively, SPPB of 6.63, TUG of 17.81 and FIM of 87.19 points.

At the time of discharge, after the 24-session group treatment, a statistically significant improvement was observed in the scores of all the assessed scales. This would correlate with a reduced risk of falling. However, we do not know if this correlates with a reduction in the number of falls and, specifically, with a reduction in the number of new fractures due to bone fragility. Future studies with a very robust methodology are required.
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